JID: PULMOE

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Pulmonology 000 (xxxx) 1-15

[mSP6P;February 9, 2022;5:05]



PULMONOLOGY



www.journalpulmonology.org

REVIEW

Unsupervised physical activity interventions for people with COPD: A systematic review and meta-analysis

C. Paixão^{a,b,c}, V. Rocha^{a,b}, D. Brooks^{d,e}, A. Marques^{a,b,*}

^a Lab3R — Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences (ESSUA), University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

^b iBiMED – Institute of Biomedicine, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

^c Department of Medical Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

^d School of Rehabilitation Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

^e West Park Healthcare Centre, Toronto, Canada

Received 8 October 2021; accepted 10 January 2022 Available online xxx

KEYWORDS COPD; Physical activity; Unsupervised; Systematic review; Meta-analysis	Abstract Introduction and objectives: Unsupervised PA interventions might have a role in the manage- ment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) but their effectiveness is largely unknown. Thus, we aimed to identify and synthesise data on the effects of unsupervised PA inter- ventions in people with COPD. Material and methods: Databases were systematically searched in April 2020, with weekly updates until September 2021. Randomised controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies comparing unsupervised PA with usual care, were included. Primary outcomes were dyspnoea, exercise capacity and physical activity. The effect direction plot was performed to synthesise results. Meta-analysis with forest plots were conducted for the Chronic Respiratory Disease ques- tionnaire – dyspnoea domain (CRQ-D), 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) and incremental shuttle walk distance (ISWD)
	<i>Results:</i> Eleven studies with 900 participants with COPD (68 ± 10 years; 58.8% male, FEV ₁ $63.7\pm15.8\%$ predicted) were included. All interventions were conducted at home, most with daily sessions, for 8-12 weeks. Walking was the most common component. The effect direction plot showed that unsupervised PA interventions improved emotional function, fatigue, health-related quality of life, muscle strength and symptoms of anxiety and depression. Meta-analysis showed statistical, but not clinical, significant improvements in dyspnoea (CRQ-D, MD=0.12, 95% CI 0.09-0.15) and exercise capacity, measured with 6MWD (MD=13.70, 95% CI 3.58-23.83). Statistical and clinical significant improvements were observed in exercise capacity, measured with ISWD

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42020162311.

E-mail address: amarques@ua.pt (A. Marques).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2022.01.007

2531-0437/© 2022 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: C. Paixão, V. Rocha, D. Brooks et al., Unsupervised physical activity interventions for people with COPD: A systematic review and meta-analysis, Pulmonology (2022), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2022.01.007

^{*} Corresponding author at: Lab3R — Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences (ESSUA), University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal and iBiMED — Institute of Biomedicine, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal, Agras do Crasto - Campus Universitário de Santiago, Edifício 30, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal.

(MD=58.59, 95% CI 5.79-111.39). None to minor adverse events and a high adherence rate were found.

Conclusions: Unsupervised PA interventions benefits dyspnoea and exercise capacity of people with COPD, are safe and present a high adherence rate. Unsupervised PA interventions should be considered for people with COPD who cannot or do not want to engage in supervised PA interventions or as a maintenance strategy of PA levels.

© 2022 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a global public health concern.¹ People with COPD present higher sedentary behaviour and lower levels of physical activity (PA) than their healthy peers.² Physical inactivity has been associated with poor health outcomes (e.g., dyspnoea, exercise intolerance, reduced health-related quality of life [HRQoL]) in people with COPD,^{3,4} being an independent risk factor for hospitalisations due to acute exacerbations and early mortality.^{5,6} Therefore, improving PA levels in this population is imperative.^{1,7}

Physical activity has well-established physiological, social and psychological benefits in people with COPD.^{1,8} Despite these unequivocal benefits,¹ increasing PA levels in this population is often challenging.⁹ Barriers to engage in PA include low motivation,^{10,11} physical (e.g., symptoms-related) and psychological (e.g., fear) disease limitations,¹¹ limited access to¹² or lack of perceived benefit of PA interventions,^{13,14} time requirements and¹⁴ travel issues.^{13,14}

Unsupervised PA may contribute to overcome some of these barriers as it: i) is low cost;¹⁵ ii) presents a broad application (e.g., specialised equipment is not required);¹⁵ and iii) can be undertaken in any environment and/or at any time, whatever suits the individuals best,¹⁶ hence may enhance adherence to PA in people with COPD. Nevertheless, unsupervised PA interventions are still underused in this population.¹⁵ One possible explanation could be the lack of synthesis of the most common unsupervised PA interventions and respective evidence.

Recently, a systematic literature review of unsupervised exercise-based interventions in this population was published.¹⁷ However, they focussed on exercise interventions, which is just a subset of PA.¹⁸ PA refers to all movement performed by an individual, which means other components besides exercise, such as everyday tasks, are included.¹⁸ In fact, people with COPD reduce their participation in PA and adopt a sedentary lifestyle to avoid exertional dyspnoea,¹ leading to muscle deconditioning and accentuating exercise capacity impairment.^{19,20} Therefore, synthesising evidence of the benefits obtained with unsupervised PA interventions and also including activities integrated in individuals' daily life may be highly meaningful for participants, and provide relevant information to healthcare professionals for the management of COPD, especially in limited resource settings.

Therefore, this systematic review aimed to identify which unsupervised PA interventions have been used for people with COPD and explore their effectiveness.

Material and methods

This systematic review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO – registration no. CRD42020162311) and follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines²¹ and the Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM)²² recommendations.

Eligibility criteria

Studies were included if: i) their sample was composed of adult (>18 years) people with COPD in a stable phase of the disease (i.e., 4 weeks without hospital admissions or exacerbations, nor changes in medication, according to Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease - GOLD report¹); ii) included unsupervised PA interventions for people with COPD compared to usual care (i.e., had not received any PA intervention in the study period); iii) they were original randomised controlled trials (RCT) or guasiexperimental studies; iv) written in Portuguese, English, Spanish or French languages. Studies were excluded if they: i) involved proxy versions; ii) were qualitative studies; iii) included other treatments/activities as an intervention while performing PA; iv) included any directly supervised training (other than a single session), i.e., face-to-face or remote contact (e.g. video-conference); and, v) were performed in hospital-based settings.

For the purpose of this review, the following definition of PA was used: "any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure."¹⁸ Unsupervised PA interventions were defined as any PA without any supervision, undertaken in any environment and/or at any time, which best suits the person.¹⁶ It could include a single supervised session to explain and/or demonstrate the activities; and, remote contact with healthcare professional using technologies, such as, telephone, mobile phone and/or tablet devices, to check patients' health and monitor their evolution, without being used to interactively coach/instruct the patient (e.g., video-conference).

Information sources

A systematic literature search was conducted in April 2020, on the following electronic databases: Cochrane Library, PubMed, Scopus, Web of science, EBSCOhost. Electronic search was supplemented by weekly automatic updates retrieved from the databases until September 2021, and hand-searches of references in key systematic reviews.¹⁷ The search strategy was performed by title, abstract,

keywords/MESH term. The full search strategy is presented in Supplementary material - Appendix 1.

Study selection

After removing duplicates, two reviewers (CP and VR) independently screened the potential studies (title and abstract), according to the eligibility criteria. The full-text of each potentially relevant study was then independently screened by the same reviewers to decide on its inclusion. Discrepancies were solved by consensus, and if agreement could not be reached, the other authors' opinion was obtained. Primary outcomes were dyspnoea, exercise capacity and PA. Secondary outcomes included body composition, emotional function, fatigue, health behaviours, healthcare utilisation, HRQoL, mastery, muscle strength, self-efficacy, symptoms of anxiety and depression, adverse events and, dropouts and adherence to interventions.

Data extraction

One reviewer independently extracted the data from included studies, and the other authors checked the accuracy and completeness of information. Data extraction was performed using a pre-developed and structured table-format covering the following topics: characteristics of the study (first author, year of publication, country and study design); setting (i.e., home-based); population (number of participants, sex, age, forced expiratory volume in one second percentage of predicted [FEV_{1pp}], severity of airway limitation [GOLD grades 1-4]¹ and comorbidities [type and severity, classified with Charlson Comorbidity Index-CCI]; intervention (type, frequency and duration); outcome and outcome measures; and, results obtained in each outcome measure. Studies with multiple publications were identified to avoid duplicate reports (e.g., number of participants). Corresponding authors of the included studies were contacted via e-mail to request additional data (i.e., means and SD), whenever needed.

Quality assessment

Two reviewers independently assessed the methodological quality of each study using the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies, developed by the Effective Public Health Practice Project, Canada.²³ This tool is comprised of six domains of methodological quality: 1) selection bias; 2) study design; 3) confounders; 4) blinding; 5) data collection methods; and, 6) withdrawals and dropouts.²³ Each domain is rated as "strong", "moderate" or "weak", according to a standardized guide.²⁴ The overall rating of each study is determined by the total number of "weak" scores, i.e., if the study presented: i) no weak scores, it was rated as strong quality; ii) one weak rating - moderate quality; and, iii) two or more weak ratings - weak quality.²³

Data analysis and synthesis

Inter-rater agreement analysis was assessed using Cohen's kappa to explore the consistency of the quality assessment performed by the two reviewers. The Cohen's kappa ranges from 0 to 1 and agreement was interpreted as: slight (≤ 0.2),

fair (0.21–0.4), moderate (0.41–0.6), substantial (0.61–0.8), or almost perfect (\geq 0.81).²⁵

Studies were grouped according to the outcome measures reported. An effect direction plot was computed to deal with the diversity of outcome measures used in the included studies, following the SWiM recommendations.²⁶ This plot considers the study design, effect estimates of each outcome (represented with arrows, i.e., upward arrow \blacktriangle = positive health impact, downward arrow $\mathbf{\nabla}$ = negative health impact, sideways arrow $\square \triangleright = no change/mixed effects/con$ flicting findings), sample size and studies quality (using a traffic light system, i.e., green for studies of high quality, amber for moderate and red for weak quality of evidence).² The effect estimates were analysed with the Cohen's d effect sizes (ES) based on the Pre/Post means and SD, according to the formula of Morris.²⁷ The ES were interpreted as very small (\geq 0.01), small (\geq 0.20), medium (≥ 0.50) , large (≥ 0.80) , very large (≥ 1.20) and huge (>2.0).^{28,29} Results were analysed by counting the effect direction and interpreted using the proportion of effects favouring the intervention ³⁰ Proportions higher than 50% were considered as an improvement in the respective outcome measure.³⁰

Meta-analysis, with forest plots, only included studies reporting the mean changes between the experimental (EG) and control (CG) groups and the respective SD or data allowing the calculation of these estimates. Between-study heterogeneity was quantified using I-squared (I²) statistic. Statistical homogeneity was defined as $\leq 40\%$.³⁰

Some data transformation occurred to compute ES. Data presented as 95% of confidence intervals (95% CI) ³¹ were transformed into SD, using the formula: $SD=\sqrt{n} * (upper \ limit t - lower \ limit)/3.92$, where *n* is the sample size.³⁰ Additionally, data presented as median and interquartile range (IQR) ³¹ were converted into mean and SD using the summary table proposed by Wan and colleagues.^{30,32}

Data analysis were performed using IBM SPSS 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) and RStudio, V1.2.5033 (RStudio, Inc; Boston, MA, USA).

Results

Study selection

The literature search provided 738 studies. After duplicates removed, 396 records were screened and 303 were excluded. The full-text of 93 articles was assessed and four studies were included. Seven additional studies were identified and retrieved, two from the databases weekly automatic updates and five from the reference list of a key systematic review ¹⁷ (Fig. 1). A total of 11 articles were included.

Quality assessment

Four studies were rated as strong ³³⁻³⁶ (36%), three ^{31,37,38} (28%) as moderate and four ³⁹⁻⁴² (36%) as weak quality. Interrater agreement was substantial (Cohen's Kappa=0.72; 95% CI=0.37-1.07; p = 0.003; percentage of agreement= 82%). Quality assessment details can be found in Supplementary material (Table S1).

C. Paixão, V. Rocha, D. Brooks et al.



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the articles screened and included in the study (n = 11) according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA).

Abbreviations: RCT - randomised controlled trial.

Studies characteristics

Included studies were published between 1977⁴² and 2020³¹. and were mostly conducted in the United States of America,^{33,34} Australia,^{31,39} United Kingdom ^{36,38} and Taiwan.^{35,41} A total of 900 participants with COPD, 446 in the EG and 454 in the CG, were included. Sample sizes ranged between 20³⁸ to 305 participants.^{33,34} Participants were on average 68 ± 10 years old (*n* = 6), 541 (59%; *n* = 9) were male, presented a mean FEV₁ of 63.7 ± 15.8 % predicted (n = 3) and the majority had moderate to severe (GOLD grades 2-3) grades of the disease (n = 4). Comorbidities reported (n = 3) included: cardiovascular disease (hypertension, heart failure, myocardial infarction, and peripheral vascular diseases), metabolic syndrome (e.g., diabetes), depression, musculoskeletal disease (e.g., arthritis) and ulcer disease.^{33,34,36} Table 1 presents detail characteristics of the included articles.

Design of the interventions

Interventions lasted from 6 36,38 to 66 weeks, 33,34 being 8-12 weeks $^{31,35,37,39\cdot42}$ the most common range duration, and were performed 3 days/week, 37 4 days/week 38 or daily. $^{31,33\cdot36,39\cdot42}$ All interventions were designed by health professionals (i.e., general practioners, 35,42 nurses $^{35,37,39\cdot41}$, physiotherapists 31,36,38 and health coachs 33,34) and performed at home. $^{31,33\cdot42}$

Interventions were single-component in seven ³³⁻ ^{35,37,39,41,42} and multi-component in four ^{31,36,38,40} studies. Aerobic exercise 31,35,36,38,39,41,42 (e.g., walking) and muscle strength ${}^{31,36-38,40}$ were the main training components. Two studies 33,34 focused on promotion of lifestyle PA (i.e., promotion of activities of daily living). Interventions also included diaries; 31,41,42 action plans; 39,41 information about healthy behaviours; 39 phone calls to support the intervention, promote healthy behaviours or deliver self-management training; 31,33,34,36,39,40 distribution of handbook/ manual; 33,34,41 workbook activities; 33,34 reading assignments; 33,34 and nutritional and psychosocial support. 40 More details are presented in Table 1.

[mSP6P:February 9, 2022:5:05]

Effectiveness of unsupervised PA interventions

A total of 14 outcomes, evaluated by 44 different measurement tools were found in the included studies (Table S1, Figs. 2 and 3).

Primary outcomes

Dyspnoea

Dyspnoea was measured in seven studies, with the modified Borg scale (MBS) – dyspnoea,³⁵ the Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire - dyspnoea domain (CRQ-D) 31,34,36,38 and the modified British Medical Research Council (mMRC).^{31,41}

Unsupervised PA interventions had a positive effect on dyspnoea, with four 34,35,38,40 of six studies $^{31,34-36,38,40}$ favouring the EG (67%, 95% CI 22-96%) (Fig. 2). Meta-analysis of the CRQ-D^{34,35,38,40} showed this significant improvement (MD=0.12, 95% CI 0.09-0.15). Statistical heterogeneity was

Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results
McGavin <i>et al</i> . 1977 Scotland Non-RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 24 <u>EG:</u> n = 12 (61.4±5.6 yrs; FEV ₁ :	EG: Description: • Graded stair-climbing exercises.	Body composition	Weight, kg	EG: Pre 65.9 \pm 7.3 Post 66.7 \pm 8.4, p>0.05; CG: Pre 66 \pm 11.1 Post 65 \pm 10, p>0.05; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.19
		0.97±0.33 L) <u>CG:</u> n = 12 (57.2±7.9 yrs, FEV ₁ :	 Most disabled participants (walking distance <800m in 12 MWD): started with 2 steps up/down for 2 min. Less disabled (walking distance >800m in 12 MWD): 	Exercise capacity	12MWD, m	EG: Pre 1018±313 Post 1082±292, p < 0.001; CG: Pre 1053±132 Post 1034± 141, p>0.05; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.35
		1.15±0.72 L)	started with 5 steps up/down for 5 min. • Final aim: 10 steps (up/down) in 10 min. • Progress: assessed after 2 weeks of the baseline and		HR _{ex} , bpm	EG: Pre 118±14 Post 125±10, p>0.05; CG: Pre 123±10 Post 119±11, p>0.05; ESec. vs cs=0.95
			then monthly, at an outpatient clinic. Frequency: Once a day, at least 5 days/week. Duration: 3 months		HR _{st} , bpm	EG: Pre 118 \pm 15 Post 120 \pm 11, p>0.05; CG: Pre 119 \pm 10 Post 116 \pm 14, p>0.05; ESEG vs cc=0.39
			CG: Description: Regular assessments		R _{ex,} cpm	EG: Pre 0.94 \pm 0.082 Post 0.97 \pm 0.059, p>0.05; CG: Pre 0.95 \pm 0.117 Post 0.96 \pm 0.150, p>0.05: ES _{FG} vs cG=0.18
			Frequency: Monthly clinic visits Duration: 3 months		VE _{ex} , l/min	EG: Pre 35±13 Post 39±10, p>0.05; CG: Pre 36±14 Post 34±13, p>0.05; ES _{EG vs} cG=0.45
					VE _{st} , l/min	EG: Pre 35 \pm 4 Post 34 \pm 9, p>0.05; CG: Pre 32 \pm 12 Post 33 \pm 13, p>0.05; ES _{EG vs} cG=-0.19
					VO _{2ex} , mmol/min	EG: Pre 43.2 \pm 13.4 Post 50.0 \pm 15.3, p>0.05; CG: Pre 45.6 \pm 7.7 Post 40.3 \pm 8.4, p < 0.05; ES _{FG VE CG} =1.02
					WL _{ex} , W	EG: Pre 62.6 \pm 22.9 Post 77 \pm 26.7, $p < 0.05$; CG: Pre 63.9 \pm 14.7 Post 61.3 \pm 15.7, p>0.05; ES _{FG ve CG} =0.81
					Stride, mm	EG: Pre 790 \pm 90 Post 830 \pm 75, $p < 0.01$; CG: Pre 780 \pm 80 Post 760 \pm 89, $p>0.05$; ESEG vs cc=0.70
Elçi <i>et al</i> . 2008 Turkey Prospective RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 78 (58.9±10,1 yrs; 84.6% male) EG:	EG: Description: • Home-based PR:	Anxiety and depression	HADS total score, pts	EG: Pre 17.5 \pm 6.2 Post 13.5 \pm 3.9, $p = 0.001$; CG: Pre 20.4 \pm 7 Post 21.2 \pm 6.2, $p = 0.065$; ES FG vs CG ⁼ -0.80
		\overline{n} = 39 (59.7±8.6yrs; 84.6% male; FEV ₁ pp: 47.8±18.8; GOLD 1-7.7%, GOLD 2-30.8%,	 Endurance training (75% of 6MWT speed) Abdominal, upper and lower limb strengthening Nutritional and psychosocial counselling 	Dyspnoea	mMRC, pts	EG: Pre 3.2 \pm 0.6 Post 2.9 \pm 0.7, p = 0.001; CG: Pre 3.1 \pm 0.5 Post 3.0 \pm 0.6, p = 0.324; ES FG vs cG=-0.31
		GOLD 3-51.3 [%] , GOLD 4-10.3 [%]) <u>CG:</u> n = 39 (58.1±11.5yrs; 84.6 [%]	 ○ Weekly phone calls Frequency: 5 days/week, 90 min/day Duration: 3 months 	Exercise capacity	6MWD, m	EG: Pre 312.4 \pm 51.3 Post 328.9 \pm 48.8, $p = 0.001$; CG: Pre 305.1 \pm 54.6 Post 298.2 \pm 52.8, $p = 0.001$; ES FG vs CG=0.45
		male; FEV ₁ pp: 46.3±15.5; GOLD 1-7.7%, GOLD 2-30.8%, GOLD 3-51.3%, GOLD 4-10.3%)	CG: Description: Usual care	HRQoL	SF-36, pts	EG: Pre 37.6 \pm 9.7 Post 49.3 \pm 8, <i>p</i> < 0.05; CG: Pre 34.1 \pm 10.9 Post 32 \pm 9, p>0.05; ES EG vs cG=1.45
			Duration: 3 months		SGRQ total score, pts	EG: Pre 60.3 \pm 18.2 Post 45.9 \pm 11.6, $p < 0.05$; CG: Pre 61.7 \pm 19.9 Post 65.5 \pm 17.4 p>0.05; ES removes 1.06

Table 1 Design and effects of unsupervised physical activity interventions for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n = 11).

σ

NRTICLE Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1-15 N PRESS

[mSP6P;February 9, 2022;5:05]

JID: PULMOE

Table 1 (Continued)						
Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results
Moore <i>et al.</i> 2009 UK Pilot RCT	Home-based	ased n _{Total} = 20 (50% male) <u>EG:</u> <i>n</i> = 10 (70 [13]yrs, 60% male, FEV ₁ pp: 40 [36.5-49])	EG: Description: • Home exercise video/DVD programme: • Warm-up	Dyspnoea	CRQ-D, pts	EG: Pre Median 3.3 [1.8-4.1] Post Median 3.6 [2.6-4.4], $p = 0.027$; CG: Pre Median 2.7 [2.1-4.8] Post Median 2.5 [2-3.2], p = 0.326; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.68
		CG: n = 10 (70.5 [57.5-78.5] yrs, 40% male, FEV:pp: 41 5 [30-55])	 High-intensity interval exercise (upper and lower limb strengthening, aerobic exercise) Cool-down Frequency: 4 days (week 30 min/day 	Emotional function	CRQ emotional function domain, pts	EG: Pre Median 4.4 [3.2-5] Post Median $\overline{5.4}$ [4.8-6], $p = 0.002$; CG: Pre Median 4 [2.4-5.8] Post Median 4 [2.9-5.9], p = 0.73; ES er $w cr = 0.45$
		[99 0 [90 99])	CG: Curation: 6 weeks	Exercise capacity	ISWD, m	EG: Pre Median 110 [35-265] Post Median 200 [69-333], p = 0.021; CG: Pre Median 160 [48-288] Post Median 175 [39-215],
			Description: Usual care, educational COPD booklet Duration: 6 weeks	Fatigue	CRQ fatigue domain, pts	$p = 0.250; E_{2} \in S_{5} \subset U.43$ EG: Pre Median 2.9 [2-4.4] Post Median 4.9 [4-5.1], $p = 0.004; CG:$ Pre Median 2.5 [2-4.6] Post Median 2.5 [1.9-4.5],
				Mastery	CRQ mastery domain, pts	p = 0.74; <u>E5 Ec. vs CG=0.82</u> EG: Pre Median 4.8 [4.1-5.5] Post Median 5.4 [4.6-5.9], $p = 0.06$; <u>CG</u> : Pre Median 3.5 [2.9-5.6] Post Median 4.5 [3-5.4],
Ho et al. 2012 Taiwan Prospective RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 41 (74±10.3 yrs, 95.1% male) FG:	EG: Description: • Pace walking to music:	Dyspnoea	MBS – dyspnoea, pts	$p = 0.64; E_{2} \in s_{5} c_{6} = 0.11$ EG: Pre 2.2±1.3 Post 0.8±1.1, $p < 0.001; CG: Pre 1.4\pm1.6 Post 1.5\pm$ 1.6 $p > 0.05; ES: c_{2} = c_{6} = 1.05$
		$\frac{1}{n} = 20 (73.1 \pm 11.2 \text{ yrs}, 95\% \text{ male}, \text{FEV}_{1}\text{pp}: 60.6 \pm 18.9)$ $\frac{CG:}{n} = 21 (75.1 \pm 9.6 \text{ yrs}, 95.2\% \text{ male}, \text{FEV}_{1}\text{pp}: 61.2 \pm 26.3)$	 Endurance training walk: Endurance training walk: 80% VO₂ peak based on ISWD, increasing gradually each month Participants should match their walking speed to the tempo of their favourite songs Frequency: 5 days/week, 30 min/day Duration: 12 weeks CG: Description: Usual care Duration: 12 weeks 	Exercise capacity	ISWD, m	E6: Pre 243.5 \pm 135.4 Post 306 \pm 107.3, <i>p</i> < 0.001; C6: Pre 237.6 \pm 124.4 Post 218.5 \pm 119.4, p>0.05; E5 _{E6 vs C6} =0.79
				Health care utilisation	Emergency visits, no Hospitalisations,	EG: Mean Pre/Post 0.03 ± 0.2 ; CG: Mean Pre/Post 0.04 ± 0.3 ; p _{EG vs CG} = 0.52 EG: Mean Pre/Post 0.03 ± 0.2 ; CG: Mean
					no Length of hospi- talisations, days	Bre Pre Pre
				HRQoL	Unscheduled clinic visits, no SGRQ activity	EG: Mean Pre/Post 0.03 ± 0.2 ; CG: Mean Pre/Post 0 ± 0 ; p Eg vs CG. = 0.001 EG: Pre 45.2 \pm 14.9 Post $34.5 \pm 8.4 p$ =
					domain, pts SGRQ impact	ND; CG: Pre 44.8 \pm 20 Post 52.7 \pm 24.2 <i>p</i> = ND; ES _{EG vs CG} =-1.02 EG: Pre 22.7 \pm 18.1 Post 10.2 \pm 13.5, <i>p</i> =
					domain, pts SGRQ symptoms	ND; CG: Pre 18.4 \pm 15.2 Post 21.7 \pm 15, $p = \overline{\text{ND}}$; ES _{EG vs CG} =-1.01 EG: Pre 34.5 \pm 20.5 Post 14.5 \pm 9.6, $p =$
					domain, pts SGRQ total score,	ND; <u>CG</u> : Pre 27.5 \pm 21.1 Post 24.7 \pm 20.5 p = ND; <u>ES _{EG vs CG}</u> =-0.91 <u>EG</u> : Pre 31.6 \pm 14.4 Post 18.3 \pm 12.7 p =
Mitchell <i>et al</i> . 2014	Home-based	n _{Total} = 184 (54.9% male) Comor- bidities: Hypertension, Diabe-	EG:	Anxiety	pts HADS-A, pts	ND; CG: Pre Z .9±15.7 Post 31.6±16.3 p = ND; ES _{EG vs CG} =-1.13 EG: Mean difference -0.73 [-1.28;-1.17] 95% (C): CG: Mean difference 0.12
UK RCT		biottes: Hypertension, Diabe- tes, Heart Failure, Arthritis EG: n = 89 (69±8 yrs, 60.7% male, FEV ₁ pp: 56±16.8; GOLD 1-7, GOLD 2-51, GOLD 3-22, GOLD 4- 9; CG: n = 95 (69±10.1yrs, 49.5% male, FEV ₁ pp: 59.6±17.4; GOLD 1-8, GOLD 2-60, GOLD 3- 20, GOLD 4-7)	SPACE for COPD: Home-based manual: Education material	COPD-related knowledge	BCKO, pts	[-0.38;0.62]95% CI; p _{EG vs CG} =0.04; <u>ES _{EG}</u> vs _{CG} =-0.24 EG: Mean difference 2.79 [0.97;4.6] 95%
			 Exercise programme (walking, resistance training of upper limb and lower limb using free weights); Biweekly phone calls using metivational intension 	Depression	HADS-D, pts	CI; CG: Mean difference 0.44 [-1.02;1.9] 95% CI; p _{EG vs CG} =0.04; <u>ES _{EG vs CG}=0.20</u> EG: Mean difference -0.5 [-1.03:0.03]
			Frequency: Daily (walking), 3x/week (resistance training) Duration: 6 weeks			95% CI; <u>CG</u> : Mean difference 0.22 [-0.3;0.75] 95% CI; p _{EG vs CG} =0.1; <u>ES _{EG vs} cG</u> =-0.16
			CG: Description: Usual care Duration: 6 weeks	Dyspnoea	CRQ-D, pts	EG: Mean difference 0.71 [0.45;1] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 0.72 [0.2;0.65] 95% CI; p _{EG vs CG} =0.049; <u>ES</u> _{EG vs CG} =-0.01

6

Table 1 (Continued)								
Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results		
				Emotion	CRQ-emotion domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 0.34 [0.11;0.57] 95% Cl; CG: Mean difference -0.07 [-0.27;0.11] 95% Cl; p _{EG vs CG} =0.011; <u>ES</u> -0.02		
				Exercise capacity	ESWT, s	EG vs CG ^{-0.12} EG: Mean difference 209.7 [122.3;297.1] 95% Cl; CG: Mean difference 92.1 [32.8;151.4] 95% Cl; p _{EG vs CG} =0.006; <u>ES</u> 		
					ISWD, m	EG: Mean difference 9.4 [-5;24] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference -6.7 [-17.9;4.5] 95% CI; p EG vs cG=0.017; ES EG vs cG=0.08		
				Fatigue	CRQ-fatigue domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 0.49 [0.24;0.66] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 0.01 [-0.21;0.22] 95% CI; p _{EG vs CG} =0.013; ES FG vs CG ⁼ 0.26		
				Mastery	CRQ-mastery domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 0.15 [-0.06;0.37] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 0.11 [-0.35;0.13] 95% CI; p EG vs CG=0.1; ES EG vs CG=0.02		
				Self-efficacy	PRAISE, pts	EG: Mean difference 0.9 [-0.34;2.15] 95% Cl; CG: Mean difference -1.08 [-2.67;0.51] 95% Cl; p EG vs CG=0.32; ES EG vs cG=0.17		
Cameron-Tucker <i>et al</i> . 2016 Australia	Home-based	n _{Total} = 65 (69±9 yrs, 45% male) EG:	EG: Description:	Exercise capacity	6MWD, m	EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [41]; CG: Median Pre/Post 12 [39]; D FG VE CG ve = 0.01		
Parallel-group RCT		COLD 1-3, GOLD 2-12, GOLD 3- 10, GOLD 4-4) CG:	Home-based walking program: Walk at a moderate intensity to accumulate 30 minutes daily Personal walking action plan	Health behaviours	"SNAPPS" snapshot questionnaire, scale 0-60 "SNAPPS" snapshot	EG: Median Pre/Post 2 [6]; CG: Median Pre/Post 1 [4]; $p_{EG vs CG < 0}=\overline{0.42}$		
		n = 30 (70±7 yrs, 43% male; GOLD 1-1, GOLD 2-10, GOLD 3- 14, GOLD 4-3)	 Personal SNAPPS summary Information concerning health behaviours 2 phone calls weekly, with a minimum of 4 during the study, to support the home-walking action plan and any other health behaviour plan. Frequency: All week days, preferably Duration: 8-12 weeks 		domains, scale 0- 10: Smoking Nutrition Alcohol Physical activity Psychosocial Symptom man- agement	EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; CG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; p EG vs CG = 0.99 EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; CG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; p EG vs CG = 0.989 EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; CG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]; p EG vs CG = 0.28 EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [4]; CG: Median Pre/Post 0 [4]; p EG vs CG = 0.4 EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]: CG: Median Pre/Post 0 [4]; p EG vs CG = 0.4 EG: Median Pre/Post 0 [0]: CG: Median		
			CG: Description: Usual care Frequency: None Duration: 8-12 weeks	HROoL	CAT. scale 0-40	$\label{eq:pre-Post 0 [0]; $p_{EG \ vs \ CG < 0} = \overline{0.737}$} \\ EG: Median \ Pre-Post 0 [1]; CG: Median \\ Pre-Post 0 [2]; $p_{EG \ vs \ CG < 0} = \overline{0.85}$ \\ EG: Median \ Pre-Post 0 [6]; CG: Median \\ \end{array}$		
				Physical activity	SNAPPS snapshot self-reported walking, days/	$\label{eq:pre-Post 0 [6]; $p_{EG vs } G_{C=0} = \overline{0.48}$} \\ \frac{EG:}{Median} Pre/Post 0 [5]; $\frac{CG:}{CG:}$ Median $$Pre/Post 0 [0]; $p_{EG vs } G_{C=0} = \overline{0.64}$} \\ \hline$		
					SNAPPS snapshot self-reported walking, min/day	EG: Median Pre/Post 14 [26]; CG: Median Pre/Post 16 [40]; p _{EG vs CG<0} =0.10		
Coultas <i>et al</i> . 2016 USA Pragmatic RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 305 (70.3±9.5 yrs, 49.5% male) Comorbidities: Hyperten- sion, heart failure, myocardial	EG: Description: • Lifestyle PA intervention:	Dyspnoea	CRQ-D, pts	EG: Pre 4.48±1.30 Post 4.50±1.39, p = 0.82; CG: Pre 4.33±1.35 Post 4.23± 1.49, $p = 0.43$; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.09		
		Intarction, peripheral vascular diseases, depression, diabetes, ulcer disease <u>EG:</u>	 Weeks 1-6: self-management education (manual, weekly phone calls) Weeks 7-26: PA self-management (activation phase): Accumulate, at least, 30 min of moderate PA intensity 	Exercise capacity	6MWD, m	<u>EG:</u> Pre 342.8±91.03 Post 343.1±99.81, p < 0.001; <u>CG</u> : Pre 337.5±96.37 Post 324.1±107.5, $p < 0.001$; <u>ES</u> _{EG} vs _{CG} =0.14		

 \checkmark

Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1-15

Table 1 (Continued)									
Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results			
		n = 149 (70.8±9.5 yrs, 49.7% male; GOLD 2-59, GOLD 3-71, GOLD 4-19; CCI 3.1±2.2 pts) CG: n = 156 (69.8±9.5 yrs, 49.4% male; GOLD 2-75, GOLD 3-59, GOLD 4-22; CCI 2.9±1.7 pts)	 (4-5 on MBS-dyspnoea), taking 1-2 minutes to recover Those who not achieve the recommendations were instructed to strive for multiple intervals of moderate PA intensity (weekly workbook activities) Phone calls once every other week alternated by text messages; Weeks 27-66 (Maintenance phase): Maintenance of PA lifestyle 5 reading assignments; 1 monthly phone call. Frequency: Weeks 7-26, daily Duration: 66 weeks 						
Chap at al. 2017	Hame based	n − 47 (47 4 10 um 70 7%	CG: Description: • Weeks 1-6: self-management education (manual, weekly phone calls) • Usual care (regular FU with their physician) Frequency: NA Duration: 66 weeks FC.	Eversion connective		EC: Median Dro 450 [267, 5, 504] Prot			
China Prospective RCT	Home-based	$\frac{H_{\text{Total}}}{R} = 47 (67.1 \pm 10 \text{ yrs}, 78.7\%)$ male, FEV ₁ pp: 54.7±24.3) <u>EG:</u> $n = 25 (69 \pm 8.1 \text{ yrs}, 78.7\%)$	Description: • Home-based lower-limb resistance training, through theraband and self-gravity resistance.	Exercise Capacity	6MWD, III	ES: Median Pre 430 [367.5-304] PSL $488.29\pm92.66, p = 0.014; CG: Pre$ $441.19\pm98.62 Post 484.2\pm97.29, p = 0.018; ES FG, pr cc=0.05$			
		88% male, FEV1pp: 54.5±23.6) <u>CG:</u>	 6 different sets of exercises: straight-leg lifting, prone hip extension, thigh abduction, posterior muscle group, anterior muscle group and standing calf raise. 	HRQoL	CAT, pts	EG: Pre 18.48±4.92 Post 15.28±4.7, p = 0.002; CG: Pre 16.41±4.88 Post 15.14±4.25, $p = 0.203$; ES _{EG vs CG} =-0.41			
		n = 22 (65±11.6 yrs, 68.2% male, FEV ₁ pp: 54.9±25.6)	 Best effort, not exceeding MBS-dyspnoea of 5. Frequency: 3 day/week, 20-30 minute each day Duration: 12 weeks 	Muscle strength	5STS, s	EG: Pre 7.88 \pm 2.09 Post 6.77 \pm 1.85, p = 0.01; CG: Median Pre 7.62 [6.38- 8.64] Post 7.11 \pm 1.74, $p = 0.065$; ES EG vs $r_{c5}=0.36$			
			CG: Description: Usual care: Frequency: NA		Isokinetic knee extension PT, Nm	EG: Pre 69.1 \pm 24.22 Post 83.41 \pm 19.44, p < 0.001; CG: Median Pre 75.35 [57.13- 95.95] Median Post 79.95 [59.43-108.8],			
			Duration: 12 weeks		lsokinetic knee extension PT/BW, Nm/Kg	$p = 0.003; \underbrace{c_{2}}_{EG v_{3}} \underbrace{c_{3}}_{C=0 v_{3}} \underbrace{c_{3}} \underbrace{c_{3}} \underbrace{c_{3}}_{C=0 v_{3}} $			
					Isometric knee extension PT, Nm	$p = 0.05; ES _{EG vs CG} = 0.08$ <u>EG:</u> Pre 82.41±28.57 Post 99.5±26.16, p < 0.001; CG: Median Pre 82.79 [63.69- 110.57] Median Post 94.56 [68.95- 132.38], $p = 0.017; ES _{EG vs CG} = 0.12$			
6 h / 1994					Isometric knee extension PT/BW, NM/Kg	EG: Pre 1.31 \pm 0.41 Post 1.57 \pm 0.39 \overline{p} = 0.001; CG: Pre 1.42 \pm 0.57 Post 1.57 \pm 0.58, p = 0.055; ES _{EG vs} _{CG} =0.22			
Coultas <i>et al</i> . 2018 USA Single-site, parallel RCT	Home-based	n_{Total} = 305 (70.3±9.5 yrs, 49.5% male, FEV ₁ pp: 46.5±13.1) EG: \overline{n} = 149 (70.8±9.5 yrs, 49.7% male, FEV ₁ pp:45.5± 12.6)	EG: Description: • Lifestyle PA intervention: • Weeks 1-6: self-management education (manual, weekly phone calls) • Weeks 7-26: PA self-management (Activation phase):	Lung-related health care utilisation	Prevalence, at 18 months Risk ratio, at 18 months Rate ratio, at 18 months	EG: 24% [17;32] 95% CI; <u>CG:</u> 34% [28;43] 95% CI EG: 0.68 (95% CI 0.47;1.00); <u>CG:</u> reference EG: 0.64 (95% CI 0.42;0.99); <u>CG:</u> reference			
		CG: $n = 156 (69.8 \pm 9.5 \text{ yrs},$ 49.4% male, FEV ₁ pp: 47.3 ± 13.5)	 Accumulate, at least, 30 min of moderate PA intensity (4-5 on MBS-dyspnoea), taking 1-2 minutes to recover Those who not achieve the recommendations were instructed to strive for multiple intervals of moderate PA intensity (weekly workbook activities) 	Physical activity	RAPA, Sedentary (%) RAPA, Underac- tive (%) RAPA, Active (%)	Mean absolute difference _{EG vs CG} (%) -10.6 [-20.4;-0.8] 95% Cl Mean absolute difference _{EG vs CG} (%) -5.2 [-14.4;3.9] 95% Cl			

œ

Table 1 (Continue)	d)					
Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results
			 Phone calls once every other week alternated by text messages; Weeks 27-66 (Maintenance phase): Maintenance of PA lifestyle 5 reading assignments; 1 monthly phone call. Frequency: Weeks 7-26, daily 			Mean absolute difference _{EG vs} _{CG} (%) 15.8 [4.0;27.7] 95% CI
			CG: Description: • Weeks 1-6: self-management education (manual, weekly phone calls) • Usual care (regular FU with their physician) Frequency: NA Duration: 66 weeks			
Lin <i>et al</i> . 2019 Taiwan RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 78 (95.2% male) <u>EG:</u> n = 38 (70.92±7.89 yrs)	EG: Description: • Breathing-based walking:	Anxiety	HADS-A, pts	EG: Pre 3.03 Post 1.16, $p < 0.05$; CG: Pre 1.63 Post 2.45, $p < 0.05$; p-value _{EG vs} _{CG} <0.05
		<u>CG:</u> n = 40 (73.5±8.31 yrs)	 Combination of breathing, mediation and walking One-to-one breathing-based walking guidance by the researcher until they practice correctly. 	Depression	HADS-D, pts	EG: Pre 7.0 Post 2.37, <i>p</i> < 0.05; CG: Pre 5.71 Post 5.90, p-value NS; p-value _{EG vs} cG<0.05
			 Handbook with instructions, pictures and a diary to practice breathing-based walking in daily life; Home diary, progress the exercise prescription and 	Dyspnoea	mMRC, pts	EG: Pre 1.16 Post 0.89, <i>p</i> < 0.05; CG: Pre 1.32 Post 1.35, p-value NS; p-value _{EG vs} cc < 0.05
			deliver self-management training. Frequency: 30 min/day, 5x/week. Duration: 2 months	HRQoL	CAT, pts	EG: Pre 11.63 Post 5.11, <i>p</i> < 0.05; <u>CG:</u> Pre 9.82 Post 11.33, p-value NS; p-val- ue _{EG vs CG} <0.05
			CG: Description: Usual care Frequency: None Duration: 2 months			
Lahham <i>et al.</i> 2020 Australia RCT	Home-based	n _{Total} = 58 (68±9 yrs, 58.6% male, FEV ₁ pp: 90±7) EG:	EG: Description: • Home-based PR:	Dyspnoea	mMRC, pts	EG: Mean difference -0.3 [-0.7;0.1] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference -0.1 [-0.5;0.3] 95% CI
		n = 29 (68±9 yrs 58.6% male, FEV ₁ pp: 90±8) CG:	 Endurance training: Initial walking speed: 80% of the speed walked during a 6-minute walk test (6MWT). The distance walked 		CRQ-D, pts	EG: Mean difference 2.6 [-0.9;5.8] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 2.2 [-1.1;5.6] 95% CI; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.03
		n = 29 (67±10 yrs 58.6% male, FEV ₁ pp: 92±7)	was recorded using a pedometer. • Strength training • Resistance training for the arms and legs used equip-	Emotional function	CRQ-emotional function domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 2.6 [-1.6;6.9] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference -0.6 [-4.8;5.6] 95% CI; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.21
			ment available at home (e.g. home stairs for step ups and sealed water bottles as weights). • Initial exercise prescription was established during a	Exercise capacity	6MWD, m	EG: Mean difference 15 [-43;76] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 29 [-28;87] 95% CI; ES FG vs cG=-0.07
			home visit by a physiotherapist to ensure safety and understanding of the exercise program. • Participants were encouraged to exercise for 30 min. 5x/	Fatigue	CRQ fatigue domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 3.8 [1.0;6.5] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 1.0 [-1.7;3.7] 95% CI: ES FG or CG=0.28
			 week and to record the completion of this activity in a home diary. 7 phone calls (1/week) to review the home diary. prog- 	HRQoL	CRQ total, points	EG: Mean difference 11.3 [1.8;20.8] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 4.6 [-4.8;14] 95% CI: ES FG or cc=0.19
			rest the exercise prescription and deliver self-manage- ment training.	Mastery	CRQ mastery domain, pts	EG: Mean difference 2.3 [-0.2;4.8] 95% Cl; CG: Mean difference 2.0 [-0.5;4.5] 95% Cl: FS remote 2.0 0.3
				Physical activity	METs/day	

JID: PULMOE

ARTICLE IN PRESS Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1–15

[mSP6P;February 9, 2022;5:05]

Table 1 (Continued)								
Study, country and design	Setting	Participants	Intervention	Outcome	Outcome measure	Results		
			CG: Description: Usual care (counselling to keep active and to follow medication) Frequency: 8 weekly phone calls to control for attention- Durations 9 works		MVPA bouts, no/	EG: Mean difference 0.1 [-0.1;0.2] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference 0 [-0.2;0.2] 95% CI; $\overline{\text{EG}}_{\text{EG vs} \text{ cg}}$ =0.05 EG: Mean difference -0.3 [-1.6;1.0] 95% CI: CG: Mean difference -0.6 [-1.0;0.7]		
			Duration. 0 weeks		MVDA time min/	95% CI; ES _{EG vs CG} =0.07		
					day	Cl; CG: Mean difference -211 [-497;76]		
					Sedentary bouts, no/day	EG: Mean difference 0.2 [-0.8;1.1] 95% CI: ES = c = c = -0.31		
					Sedentary time,	EG: Mean difference 32 [-63;128] 95% CI;		
				Ste	Steps/day, no	$ES_{EG, vs CG} = 0.08$ EG: Mean difference 303 [-1607;2215] 95% CI; <u>CG</u> : Mean difference -106 [-1962:1749] 95% CI: ES FG vs CG = 0.06		
							Time spent in MVPA bouts, min/ day	EG: Mean difference -4 [-29;22] 95% CI; CG: Mean difference -13 [-38;12] 95% CI; ES EG vs cG = 0.11
					IOTAL EE	<u>EG:</u> Mean difference -4 [-1425;1418] 95% Cl; <u>CG:</u> Mean difference 82 [-1299;1463] 95% Cl; <u>ES</u> _{EG vs CG} = -0.02		
5STS, five times sit-to-stand tes	t; 6MWD, 6-minute	e walking distance; 6MWT, 6-minute wa	alk test; 12MWD, 12-minute walking distance; 95% CI, 95% of confi	dence intervals; %, percentage; A	ADLs, activities of daily l	iving; BCKQ, Bristol COPD Knowledge Ques-		

tionnaire; bpm, beats per minute; CAT, COPD assessment test; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; CG, control group; cpm, cycles per minute; CRQ, Chronic Respiratory Questionnaire; CRQ-D, CRQ – dyspnoea domain; EE, energy expenditure; EG, experimental group; ES, effect size; ESWT, endurance shuttle walk test; FEV1pp, forced expiratory volume in 1 second – percentage predicted; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HADS-A, HADS – anxiety; HADS-D, HADS – depression; HRex, heart rate during the greatest work load that a subject could maintain for 1 minute; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; HR_{st}, heart rate during the greatest work load that was common to both the initial and follow-up exercise in any one subject; ISWD, incremental shuttle walk distance; kg, kilograms; l/min, litres per minute; m, meters; MBS, modified Borg scale; min/day; minutes per day; mm, millimetres; mmol/min, millimole per minute; mMRC, modified British Medical Research Council; MVPA, moderate-vigorous physical activity; NA, Not applicable; ND, Not described; Nm, Newton meters; no, number; PA, physical activity; PR, pulmonary rehabilitation; PRAISE, Pulmonary Rehabilitation Adapted Index of Self-Efficacy; PT, peak torque; pts, points; PT/BW, peak torque/body weight; pts, points; RAPA, Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire; Rex, respiratory exchange ratio during the greatest work load that a subject could maintain for 1 minute; RCT, randomised controlled trial; s, seconds; SF-36, 36-item short form survey; SGRQ, St. George's respiratory questionnaire; TDI, Transition Dyspnea Index; UK, United Kingdom; W, Watts; WLex, work load during the greatest work load that a subject could maintain for 1 minute; VEex, minute ventilation during the greatest work load that a subject could maintain for 1 minute; VO_{2ex}, oxygen uptake during the greatest work load that a subject could maintain for 1 minute.

ICLE

Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1-15

Study	Study Design	Body composition	COPD-related knowledge	Dyspnoea	Emotional function	Exercise capacity	Fatigue	HRQoL	Mastery	Muscle strength	PA	Self- efficacy	Symptoms of anxiety and depression
Mitchell 2014	RCT		A	 		A 2	•••		 			•••	A
Ho 2012	RCT												1211
Coultas 2016	RCT			A		A							
Coultas 2018	RCT												
Moore 2009	RCT												
Chen 2017	RCT					41				A 5			
Lahham 2020	RCT			 		•			 		 		
McGavin 1977	Non-RCT	41-				A .							
Elçi 2008	RCT			A									A
Cameron-Tucker 2016	RCT												
Lin 2019	RCT												

Legend:

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; PA, physical activity

Study design: Non-RCT, non-randomised controlled trial RCT, randomised controlled trial Effect direction: upward arrow ▲= positive health impact, downward arrow ▼= negative health impact, sideways arrow ▲>= no change/mixed effects/conflicting findings Sample size: Final sample size (individuals) in intervention group Large arrow ▲ >300; medium arrow ▲ 50-300; small arrow ▲ <50

Study guality: denoted by row colour: green = high guality; amber = moderate guality; red = low guality

Fig. 2. Effect direction plot of unsupervised physical activity interventions in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (*n* = 11).

not apparent $(I^2=0\%)$ however, the intervention effect was heavily weighted towards one trial³⁴ (Fig. 3).

Exercise capacity

Exercise capacity was measured in nine studies. 31,34-40,42 Most common measures used were the 6-minute walk distance $(6MWD)^{31,34,37,39,40}$ and the incremental shuttle walk distance (ISWD).^{35,36,38} The 12-minute walk distance,⁴² the heart rate,⁴² the respiratory exchange ratio,⁴² the minute ventilation,⁴² the oxygen uptake,⁴² the work load,⁴² the stride⁴² and the endurance shuttle walk test³⁶ were also reported. A positive effect on exercise capacity was observed, with six^{34-36,38,40,42} of the eight studies^{31,34-}

^{38,40,42} favouring the EG (75%, 95% CI 35-97%) (Fig. 2). These positive effects were also observed in meta-analysis of the 6MWD^{31,34,37,40} (MD=13.70, 95% CI 3.58-23.83) and ISWD^{35,36,38} (MD=58.59, 95% CI 5.79-111.39). However, a substantial heterogeneity was observed in both meta-analysis $(I^2=98\%, p < 0.01; I^2=86\%, p < 0.01; respectively)$ (Fig. 3).

Physical activity

Physical activity was assessed in three studies, ^{31,33,39} using METs/day,³¹ moderate-vigorous PA (MVPA) bouts and time,³ Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire, 33 "SNAPPS" (Smoking, Nutrition, Alcohol, Physical activity, Psychosocial wellbeing and symptom management) snapshot



Forest plots illustrating the effect of unsupervised PA interventions on: a) Chronic respiratory questionnaire – dyspnea Fig. 3. domain (CRQ-D), b) 6-minute walk distance (6MWD), and c) incremental shuttle walk distance (ISWD), in comparison to usual care.

questionnaire self-reported walking,³⁹ sedentary bouts and time,³¹ steps/day,³¹ time spent in MVPA³¹ and in sedentary bouts³¹ and total energy expenditure.³¹ The direction of effect was only evaluated in one study,³¹ however a consistent direction of the effect was not determined.

Secondary outcomes

Body composition

Body composition was assessed in one study using weight and no differences were observed between groups.⁴²

Emotional function

Emotional function was assessed with the CRQ-emotional function domain, in three studies^{31,36,38} and positive effects were observed, favouring the EG (100%, 95% CI 29-100%).

Fatigue

Fatigue was assessed with the CRQ-fatigue domain in three studies, ^{31,36,38} and a positive effect was found in two^{31,38} of these studies, favouring the EG (67%, 95% CI 9-99%) (Fig. 2).

Health behaviours

Health behaviours were evaluated using the SNAPPS snapshot questionnaire, total score and per domains, in one study.³⁹ Direction of the effect was not possible to be determined and no significant differences were observed between groups.³⁹

Healthcare utilisation

Healthcare utilisation was assessed in two studies.^{33,35} One used the number of emergency visits, hospitalisations, unscheduled clinic visits and length of hospitalisations³⁵ and the other the lung-related health care utilisation.³³ No differences were observed between groups, although lung-related health care utilisation was lower in the EG after the intervention (risk ratio=0.68, 95% CI 0.47-1 and rate ratio=0.64, 95% CI 0.42-0.99).³³

Health-related quality of life

Health-related quality of life was evaluated in six studies, $^{31,35,37,39.41}$ using the 36-item short form survey, ⁴⁰ the COPD assessment test, 37,39,41 the CRQ total score³¹ and the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. 35,40 Direction of effect was only possible to be determined in four studies. 31,35,37,40 Unsupervised PA interventions had a positive effect on HRQoL, favouring the EG (100%, 95% CI 40-100%) (Fig. 2).

Mastery

Mastery was assessed with the CRQ-mastery domain, in three studies 31,36,38 and no effects were observed, with only one study 38 favouring the EG (33%, 95% IC 1-96%) (Fig. 2).

Muscle strength

Lower-limb muscle strength was evaluated in one study,³⁷ with the five times sit-to-stand test, isokinetic and isometric peak torque and adjusted for body weight. Improvements were observed in all outcome measures (ES= -0.36 to 0.26) for the EG after the intervention.³⁷

Self-efficacy

Self-efficacy was assessed in one study³⁶ with the Pulmonary Rehabilitation Adapted Index of Self-Efficacy and no differences were observed between groups.

Symptoms of anxiety and depression

Symptoms of anxiety and depression were measured in three studies^{36,40,41} with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Positive effects were observed, with two^{36,40} studies favouring the EG (100%, 95% IC 16-100%) (Fig. 2).

Adverse events

Four studies^{33,34,37,41} explored the adverse events of unsupervised PA interventions. Two^{33,34} of these studies found that 63% (n = 192) of participants had no adverse events and 37% (n = 106) had, at least, one adverse event. The most common adverse event was acute exacerbation of COPD, with a twice higher prevalence in the CG (15%, n = 47) than in the EG (9%, n = 28) (p < 0.01).^{33,34}

Dropouts and adherence to interventions

Nine studies^{33-39,41,42} reported dropouts, ranging between 7.1%⁴¹ to 38.5%.³⁹ Reasons to dropout included: abrupt dizziness,³⁷ acute exacerbation of COPD,^{35,38} cataract surgery,³⁷ comorbidities,³⁶ death,⁴² failure to keep appointments,³⁹ intercurrent depressive illness,⁴² knee pain,³⁸ lack of enthusiasm,⁴² lost to follow-up,^{36,38,41} non-COPD related hospital admission,^{35,37} poor health,^{39,41} social reasons,³⁶ programme was too easy or not so serious,^{36,37} time constraints,^{35,39} travel issues,^{37,39} too busy to participate ⁴¹ and work commitement.³⁶

Only four studies reported adherence to the intervention, 31,35,37,39 which varied between limited 39 to 93%. 31

Discussion

This systematic review provided an overview of the unsupervised PA interventions implemented in people with COPD and showed that these interventions are effective in improving dyspnoea and exercise capacity.

Unsupervised PA interventions were conducted at home,^{31,33-42} in most cases lasted 8-12 weeks^{31,35,37,39-42} and were performed daily.^{31,33-36,39,41,42} Aerobic training was the most common component,^{31,35,36,38,39,41,42} namely, walking, however strength training ^{31,36-38,40} was also included and done in isolation^{33-35,37,39,41,42} or with others.^{31,36,38,40} These findings are of special importance, since people with COPD spend most of their day in a sedentary behaviour and at home.^{2,43} Therefore, conducting these interventions in patients' home-environment, integrated into their daily routines (e.g., aerobic training through walking^{31,35,39} or home stairs³¹) and using everyday resources (e.g., water bottles as weights³¹), may be a person-centred and feasible approach to increase participation in PA for people with COPD. Therefore, such interventions should be considered for those people with COPD who cannot or do not want to be involved in supervised PA interventions, either by limited access or disease restrictions, or as a

Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1–15

strategy for maintaining PA levels (e.g., after pulmonary rehabilitation), with regular assessments and/or phone calls for monitoring the individuals' progress.⁴⁴

Furthermore, these interventions were effective in improving dysphoea and exercise capacity in people with COPD. Nevertheless, some caution is needed when interpreting the effects of unsupervised PA interventions in dyspnoea for several reasons. First, the meta-analysis for the CRQ-D was greatly weighted by one large study,³⁴ with other studies showing no effects. This might have led to an underestimation of the effect. Also, the observed improvement (0.12 points) in the CRQ-D was statistically significant but not clinically relevant, based on the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 0.5 points of CRQ-D.⁴⁵ Therefore, further studies with higher sample sizes assessing the effects of unsupervised PA interventions in dyspnea should be conducted, since this outcome is a cardinal symptom for people with COPD.¹ In terms of exercise capacity, unsupervised PA interventions lead to statistical improvements under the MCID (25m⁴⁶) in the 6MWT, but statistical and meaningful improvements above the MCID (47.5m⁴⁶) in the ISWT. Heterogeneity of the interventions might explain this finding. Interventions included in the 6MWD meta-analysis were heterogeneous, whilst all the interventions included in the ISWD meta-analysis were walking-based. Integrating a walking component into the unsupervised PA interventions seems therefore important to improve exercise capacity clinically.

Similar results, for dyspnoea and exercise capacity (measured with 6MWD), were found recently in a systematic review of unsupervised exercise-based interventions in this population.¹⁷ This is of special importance for clinical practice and research communities, which have been increasingly focusing on the promotion of PA and can now see benefits in these outcomes also obtained with unsupervised PA interventions integrating activities of individuals' daily life.^{8,47}

We were unable to draw conclusions using the effect direction plot for PA. Indeed, it is surprising that PA, a strong predictor of COPD progression,⁴⁸ was just assessed in three studies. Thus, studies assessing the effects of unsupervised PA interventions in PA levels of individuals with COPD are urgently needed.

Our findings also showed that unsupervised PA interventions were effective in improving emotional function, fatigue, HRQoL, lung-related healthcare utilisation, muscle strength, self-efficacy and symptoms of anxiety and depression. Prior studies have shown that these parameters play a role in the disease management and progression^{1,49-53} however, evidence is still scarce. Given the social, economic and health burden of COPD worldwide,¹ further research focusing on the effects of unsupervised PA interventions on these outcomes is needed.

Overall, unsupervised PA interventions were shown to be safe, with no or minor adverse events being reported. Most of the included studies reported a high adherence to unsupervised PA interventions. Compared with supervised PA interventions, unsupervised PA interventions showed a higher rate of adherence.⁵⁴ These interventions are adapted to each person's context and needs, are low cost and have broad applicability, being easy to perform at home, which might explain the high levels of adherence.¹⁵ Future research should explore important variables such as GOLD grades and groups and its influence on the results obtained, as well as the long-term effects of such interventions.

Limitations

This systematic review has several limitations that need to be acknowledged. Firstly, the small number of existing studies; their large diversity of designs, outcomes and outcome measures; lack of consensus on the definition of unsupervised PA; and, the high heterogeneity observed in the metaanalysis, limited our conclusions. Nevertheless, a synthesis of the results, using the effect direction plot was computed which provided a thorough synthesis of data. Secondly, the imbalance between participants, i.e., more males than females and moderate to severe participants, limited the generalisation of results. Further studies including more people with COPD in mild and very severe grades and females should be conducted. Thirdly, our search was limited to studies published in English, Portuguese, Spanish or French included in databases. Additional studies may exist in the unpublished grey literature and may have been missed. A thorough search in different databases and scanning the references of key articles and systematic reviews were however conducted to minimise this limitation. Finally, approximately one third of the included studies were of low quality, nevertheless most studies presented moderate to high quality.

Conclusions

This systematic review showed that unsupervised PA interventions improved dyspnoea (statistically but not clinically) and exercise capacity in people with COPD. Overall, these interventions seem to be safe and present a high adherence rate. The inclusion of a walking component for 8-12 weeks in the unsupervised PA interventions is recommended to optimise results. Unsupervised PA interventions should be considered for people with COPD who cannot or do not want to engage in supervised PA interventions or as a maintenance strategy of PA levels. Future studies with robust methodologies should now be conducted to strengthen these promising results with potential to optimise COPD management.

Funding sources

This project is funded by Programa Operacional de Competitividade e Internacionalização – POCI, through Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional - FEDER (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-028806), by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through the European Social Fund and Programa Operacional Regional do Centro (PTDC/SAU-SER/28806/2017, PhD grant SFRH/BD/148741/2019) and under the project UIDB/ 04501/2020.

Disclosure of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

C. Paixão, V. Rocha, D. Brooks et al.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.pul moe.2022.01.007.

References

- Global Obstructive for Chronic Lung Disease. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (2022 Report). Available at: https:// goldcopd.org/2022-gold-reports-2/ [accessed 1 December 2021].
- Pitta F, Troosters T, Spruit MA, Probst VS, Decramer M, Gosselink R. Characteristics of physical activities in daily life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;171(9):972–7.
- **3.** Gimeno-Santos E, Frei A, Steurer-Stey C, et al. Determinants and outcomes of physical activity in patients with COPD: a systematic review. Thorax. 2014;69(8):731–9.
- Watz H, Pitta F, Rochester CL, et al. An official European Respiratory Society statement on physical activity in COPD. Eur Respir J. 2014;44(6):1521–37. Available at: https://erj.ersjournals.com/content/44/6/1521.long [accessed 1 December 2021].
- Garcia-Aymerich J, Lange P, Benet M, Schnohr P, Antó JM. Regular physical activity reduces hospital admission and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population based cohort study. Thorax. 2006;61(9):772–8.
- Yohannes AM, Baldwin RC, Connolly M. Mortality predictors in disabling chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in old age. Age Ageing. 2002;31(2):137–40.
- Pleguezuelos E, Gimeno-Santos E, Hernandez C, et al. Recommendations on non-pharmacological treatment in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease from the Spanish COPD guidelines (GesEPOC 2017). Arch Bronconeumol (English Edition). 2018;54(11):568–75.
- **8.** Demeyer H, Mohan D, Burtin C. Objectively measured physical activity in patients with COPD: recommendations from an international task force on physical activity. Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. 2021: 3.
- 9. O'Donnell DE. Increasing physical activity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease one step at a time. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;198(8):977-90.
- **10.** Sritharan SS, Østergaard EB, Callesen J, et al. Barriers toward physical activity in COPD: a quantitative cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study. COPD. 2021;18(3):272–80.
- Kosteli M-C, Heneghan NR, Roskell C, et al. Barriers and enablers of physical activity engagement for patients with COPD in primary care. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2017; 12:1019–31.
- 12. Holland AE, Cox NS, Houchen-Wolloff L, et al. Defining modern pulmonary rehabilitation. An official American Thoracic Society Workshop Report. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2021;18:e12–29.
- Keating A, Lee AL, Holland AE. Lack of perceived benefit and inadequate transport influence uptake and completion of pulmonary rehabilitation in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a qualitative study. J Physiother. 2011;57 (3):183–90.
- Milner SC, Boruff JT, Beaurepaire C, Ahmed S, Janaudis-Ferreira T. Rate of, and barriers and enablers to, pulmonary rehabilitation referral in COPD: a systematic scoping review. Respir Med. 2018;137:103–14.
- **15.** Holland AE, Mahal A, Hill CJ, et al. Home-based rehabilitation for COPD using minimal resources: a randomised, controlled equivalence trial. Thorax. 2017;72(1):57-65.

- **16.** Denton F, Power S, Waddell A, et al. Is it really home-based? A commentary on the necessity for accurate definitions across exercise and physical activity programmes. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(17):9244.
- Taylor D, Jenkins AR, Parrott K, Benham A, Targett S, Jones AW. Efficacy of unsupervised exercise in adults with obstructive lung disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax. 2021;76(6):591–600.
- Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep. 1985;100 (2):126–31.
- Hanania NA, O'Donnell DE. Activity-related dyspnea in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: physical and psychological consequences, unmet needs, and future directions. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2019;14:1127–38.
- 20. Troosters T, van der Molen T, Polkey M, et al. Improving physical activity in COPD: towards a new paradigm. Respir Res. 2013;14 (1):115.
- **21.** Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n160.
- 22. Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, et al. Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. BMJ. 2020;368:16890.
- 23. Thomas B, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, Micucci S. A process for systematically reviewing the literature: providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2004;1(3):176–84.
- Effective Public Health Practice Project. Quality Assessment Tool For Quantitative Studies. Hamilton, Ontario: National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools, McMaster University; 1998. Available at: https://merst.ca/ephpp/ [accessed 21 January 2021].
- **25.** Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics. 1977;33(1):159–74.
- Boon MH, Thomson H. The effect direction plot revisited: Application of the 2019 Cochrane Handbook guidance on alternative synthesis methods. Res Synth Methods. 2021;12(1):29–33.
- 27. Morris SB, DeShon RP. Combining effect size estimates in metaanalysis with repeated measures and independent-groups designs. Psychol Methods. 2002;7(1):105–25.
- Sawilowsky SS. New effect size rules of thumb. J Mod Appl Stat. 2009;8(2):26.
- **29.** Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. 2nd ed. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers; 1988.
- Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Available at www.training.cochrane.org/handbook [accessed 29 March 2021].
- **31.** Lahham A, McDonald CF, Moore R, et al. The impact of homebased pulmonary rehabilitation on people with mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomised controlled trial. Clin Respir J. 2020;14(4):335–44.
- **32.** Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2014;14:135.
- **33.** Coultas DB, Jackson BE, Russo R, et al. Home-based physical activity coaching, physical activity, and health care utilization in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease self-management activation research trial secondary outcomes. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2018;15 (4):470–8.
- 34. Coultas DB, Jackson BE, Russo R, et al. A lifestyle physical activity intervention for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A randomized controlled trial. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016;13:617–26.

Pulmonology 00 (xxxx) 1-15

- **35.** Ho C-F, Maa S-H, Shyu Y-IL, Lai Y-T, Hung T-C, Chen H-C. Effectiveness of paced walking to music at home for patients with COPD. COPD. 2012;9(5):447–57.
- **36.** Mitchell KE, Johnson-Warrington V, Apps LD, et al. A self-management programme for COPD: a randomised controlled trial. Eur Respir J. 2014;44(6):1538–47.
- 37. Chen Y, Me Niu, Zhang X, Qian H, Xie A, Wang X. Effects of home-based lower limb resistance training on muscle strength and functional status in stable Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. J Clin Nurs. 2018;27(5-6):e1022–37.
- Moore J, Fiddler H, Seymour J, et al. Effect of a home exercise video programme in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Rehabil Med. 2009;41(3):195–200.
- **39.** Cameron-Tucker HL, Wood-Baker R, Joseph L, Walters JA, Schüz N, Walters EH. A randomized controlled trial of telephone-mentoring with home-based walking preceding rehabilitation in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2016;11:1991–2000.
- 40. Elçi A, Börekçi S, Ovayolu N, Elbek O. The efficacy and applicability of a pulmonary rehabilitation programme for patients with COPD in a secondary-care community hospital. Respirology. 2008;13(5):703–7.
- 41. Lin FL, Yeh ML, Lai YH, Lin KC, Yu CJ, Chang JS. Two-month breathing-based walking improves anxiety, depression, dyspnoea and quality of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled study. J Clin Nurs. 2019;28(19-20):3632-40.
- **42.** McGavin C, Gupta S, Lloyd E, McHardy G. Physical rehabilitation for the chronic bronchitic: results of a controlled trial of exercises in the home. Thorax. 1977;32(3):307–11.
- **43.** Leech JA, Smith-Doiron M. Exposure time and place: do COPD patients differ from the general population? J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2006;16(3):238–41.
- Spencer LM, McKeough ZJ. Maintaining the benefits following pulmonary rehabilitation: achievable or not? Respirology. 2019;24(9):909–15.
- **45.** Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status: ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. Control Clin Trials. 1989;10(4):407–15.

- 46. Singh SJ, Puhan MA, Andrianopoulos V, et al. An official systematic review of the European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society: measurement properties of field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. Eur Respir J. 2014;44 (6):1447–78.
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Available at: https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/9789240015128 [accessed 1 December 2021].
- **48.** Demeyer H, Donaire-Gonzalez D, Gimeno-Santos E, et al. Physical activity is associated with attenuated disease progression in Chronic Obstructive Disease. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51 (5):833–40.
- **49.** Chang Y-Y, Dai Y-T. The efficacy of a flipping education program on improving self-management in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2019;14:1239–50.
- 50. Wang T, Tan J-Y, Xiao LD, Deng R. Effectiveness of disease-specific self-management education on health outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Patient Edu Couns. 2017;100(8):1432–46.
- Paddison JS, Effing TW, Quinn S, Frith PA. Fatigue in COPD: association with functional status and hospitalisations. Eur Respir J. 2013;41(3):565–70.
- 52. Maltais F, Decramer M, Casaburi R, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: update on limb muscle dysfunction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2014;189(9): e15-62.
- 53. Tsiligianni I, Kocks J, Tzanakis N, Siafakas N, van der Molen T. Factors that influence disease-specific quality of life or health status in patients with COPD: a review and meta-analysis of Pearson correlations. Prim Care Respir J. 2011;20(3):257–68.
- 54. Young J, Jordan RE, Adab P, Enocson A, Jolly K. Interventions to promote referral, uptake and adherence to pulmonary rehabilitation for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;2017(10).