09.02 - Physiotherapists

22959 Activities of daily living: a comparison between patients with COPD and ILD

Personalised medicine, COPD - management, Extrapulmonary impact

<u>S. Souto-Miranda¹</u>, A. Alves², M. P. Almeida³, Â. Albuquerque⁴, C. Valente⁵, V. Martins⁶, P. Ferreira⁵, A. Marques⁷

¹Lab3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro Portugal; iBiMED – Institute of Biomedicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal - Aveiro (Portugal), ²REMEO Home, Linde Healthcare, Portugal - Algarve (Portugal), ³School of Health Sciences of the University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal; North Rehabilitation Center, Centro Hospitalar Vila Nova de Gaia, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal - Gaia (Portugal), ⁴Department of Pulmonology, Pulmonary Rehabilitation Sector, Hospital Sousa Martins -Unidade Local de Saúde da Guarda, Guarda, Portugal - Guarda (Portugal), ⁵Department of Pulmonology, Centro Hospitalar do Vouga, E.P.E, Aveiro, Portugal - Aveiro (Portugal), ⁶Department of Pulmonology, Hospital Distrital da Figueira da Foz, Figueira da Foz, Portugal - Figueira da Foz (Portugal), ⁷Lab3R – Respiratory Research and Rehabilitation Laboratory, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal - Institute of Biomedicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal - Aveiro; School of Health Sciences of the University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal (Portugal)

Due to similarities in extrapulmonary features, patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and interstitial lung diseases (ILD) are commonly treated with a one size fits all approach in pulmonary rehabilitation (PR). Though this approach is inadequate, differences between populations in meaningful outcomes, such as activities of daily living (ADL), have been scarcely explored. This study explored the functional performance of patients with COPD and ILD in upper and lower-limb ADL.

Patients with COPD and ILD entering a 12-week community-based PR programme were comprehensively assessed. Functional performance was assessed with Glittre, 1-min sit to stand (STS), grocery shelving task (GST), physical performance (PPT), Chester step and 6-minute walk tests. Data were analysed with Mann-Whitney U tests.

Patients with COPD (n=68, 72%male, 66±10y; FEV1 56±20pp), showed worse functional performance than patients with ILD (n=78; 46%male, 65±14years, FVC 77±19 DLCO 57±52%predicted) in the number of laps in Glittre (COPD 3.9±1.5; ILD 4.6±0.9; p=0.014), time of the GST (COPD 49.8±14.1; ILD 45.4±14.6; p=0.018) and score of the PPT (COPD 28.1±5.6; ILD 30.6±4.0; p=0.008). No other significant differences were found.

Significant differences exist in functional performance of ADL that involve the upper limbs between patients with COPD and ILD. Future research is needed to confirm these findings and move PR to a personalised approach.

https://abstract.ersnet.org/Front/Submission/PreviewAbstract?repositoryName=VI20&siteName=VI20&FolderName=Submission&U...

Table 1. Data from functional performance measures in patients with COPD and ILD

Functional performance outcome measures

	COPD (n=33)	ILD (n=36)	P-value
Glittre, laps	3.9±1.5	4.6±0.9	0.014*
Glittre, time (s)	4.7±7.9	3.2±1.3	0.520
	COPD (n=67)	ILD (n=76)	
1-min STS, repetitions	25.9±10.4	23.6±8.1	0.244
	COPD (n=67)	ILD (n=63)	
Grocery shelving task, time (s)	49.8±14.1	45.4±14.6	0.018*
	COPD (n=59)	ILD (n=63)	
Physical performance test,	28.1±5.6	30.6±4.0	0.008*
points			
	COPD (n=49)	ILD (n=70)	
Chester step test, steps	80.6±62.9	80.3±54.0	0.646
	COPD (n=68)	ILD (n=78)	
6MWT, m	404.4±113.0	407.9±134.9	0.900
Pata is shown as mean+CD			

Data is shown as mean±SD.

STS: Sit to stand; 6MWT: 6-minute walk test