Paper pulp-based adsorbents for the removal of pharmaceuticals from wastewater: a novel approach towards diversification
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Abstract

In this work, two pulps, bleached (BP) and raw pulp (RP), derived from the paper production process, were used as precursors to produce non-activated and activated carbons (ACs). In the case of non-ACs, the production involved either pyrolysis or pyrolysis followed by acid washing. For ACs production, the pulps were impregnated with \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \) or \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \), and then pyrolysed and acid washed. After production, the materials were physically and chemically characterized. Then, batch adsorption tests on the removal of two pharmaceuticals (the anti-epileptic carbamazepine (CBZ) and the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole (SMX)) from ultra-pure water and from Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) effluents were performed. In ultra-pure water, non-ACs were not able to adsorb CBZ or SMX while ACs showed good adsorption capacities. In WWTP effluents, although ACs satisfactorily adsorbed CBZ and SMX, they showed lower adsorption capacities for the latter. Tests with WWTP effluents revealed that the best adsorption capacities were achieved by carbons produced from BP and activated with \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \): \( 92 \pm 19 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \) for CBZ and \( 13.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \) for SMX. These results indicate the potential of paper pulps as precursors for ACs that can be applied in wastewater treatment.
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1. Introduction

The consumption of pharmaceuticals has been increasing considerably over the last decades and with this, their concentration in the environment, mainly aquatic, has grown up too, reaching the µg L$^{-1}$ levels (Jones et al., 2005). The main pathway for the entrance of pharmaceuticals into the environment is the Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP) effluents discharge. There is a limited capacity to remove pharmaceuticals from urban wastewaters due to their resistance to conventional treatments. Microorganisms cannot metabolize most drugs as source of carbon (Ren et al., 2018), resulting in the release of contaminated effluents into the aquatic resources that ultimately supply the population (Bahlmann et al., 2014, 2012; Calisto et al., 2011; Rivera-Utrilla et al., 2013)). This fact has been worrying the scientific community, causing the search for new options to solve this serious environmental problem. One of the proposed solutions relies on the implementation of tertiary treatments in WWTP using adsorbent materials, most commonly activated carbons (ACs). In fact, it is well known that adsorption is a very versatile and efficient method to remove contaminants from the environment (Bansal and Goyal, 2005).

ACs are very efficient in adsorption processes, mainly due to very high specific surface areas, most frequently between 800 and 1500 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Bansal and Goyal, 2005). However, their production is quite expensive since the most common used raw materials are petroleum coke, a product obtained during the oil refining process, and charcoal. Also, these precursors constitute non-renewable resources and originate environmental problems (Wei and Yushin, 2012). The production of adsorbent materials from alternative and renewable raw materials is becoming more and more important in line with the need to adopt processes that promote a more sustainable economy. Adsorbents have been developed from low-cost bio-based raw materials, from diverse origins (Babel, 2003), being agricultural residues the most common type of precursor: cocoa (Saucier et al., 2015) and coconut (Jain et al., 2015) shells, cherry stones (Nowicki et al., 2015), potato peels (Kyzas and Deliyanni, 2015), Isabel grape bagasse (Antunes et al., 2012), coffee residues and almond shells (Flores-Cano et al., 2016), among many others. Adsorbents were also produced from industrial residues like macroalgae waste originated from
agar-agar industry (Ferrera-Lorenzo et al., 2014), carbon residues from woody biomass
gasification (Maneerung et al., 2016), cellulose sludge (Orlandi et al., 2017) and sewage sludge
of industrial laundries (Silva et al., 2016). One type of substrate that has also been exploited is
the sludge resulting from wastewater treatment in the pulp and paper industry (Calisto et al.,
2015, 2014; Ferreira et al., 2016; Jaria et al., 2017, 2015; Khalili et al., 2002; Li et al., 2011).
Such sludge is produced at a rate of eleven million tons per year in Europe alone (Monte et al.,
2009) and its management is an issue of concern for the paper industry. Beyond the abundance
of this sludge, it also presents very interesting characteristics as adsorbent precursor, namely
high percentage of carbon and volatiles (Azargohar and Dalai, 2008), which are typical of
lignocellulosic materials. Raw (RP) and bleached paper pulp (BP) have very similar chemical
composition to primary paper mill sludge. Therefore, it could be expected that RP and BP may
also have good potential to be used as precursors to generate adsorbents, presenting some
advantages in comparison to sludge: (i) their composition is more stable over time; and (ii) they
have less inorganic content, which may allow to produce carbons with higher yield and organic
carbon content.

The European pulp and paper industry is facing big challenges mainly related to the
consumption decay (which is expected to continue due to the digitalization) and to the sector's
objectives for the 2050 Roadmap towards a low-carbon bio-economy (Confederation of
European Paper Industries, 2014; European Comission, 2013; Presas, 2011). Innovation is
essential to cope with these challenges, this involving progressing towards the diversification
and exploitation of new businesses, the development of breakthrough technologies, novel
products, and applications based on cellulose fibre that generate more added value. In this
context, this manuscript aims to evaluate, for the first time, the adequacy of RP and BP from the
paper industry as alternative and sustainable precursors of carbonaceous adsorbents. For this
purpose, RP and BP were subjected to pyrolysis or pyrolysis combined with chemical
activation. The resulting materials were subjected to extensive physico-chemical
characterization and applied to the removal of carbamazepine (CBZ) and sulfamethoxazole
(SMX) both from ultra-pure water and from the secondary effluent of a local WWTP to assess
the performance of the produced materials in real matrixes. CBZ and SMX were selected because, belonging to two different groups and presenting distinct chemical characteristics, both have large consumption patterns and have been found in natural waters: CBZ is an antiepileptic drug, with a very large global consumption and environmental persistency (Clara et al., 2004), widely detected in aqueous systems (Bahmann et al., 2014, 2012; Calisto et al., 2011); SMX is the most representative antibiotic of the sulphonamides group, poses bacteriostatic activity, is widely administered in human and veterinary medicine and has been found in water systems (Johnson et al., 2015; Larcher and Yargeau, 2012).

2. Experimental section

2.1. Production of carbon adsorbents

The precursors used in the production of carbon adsorbents were RP and BP, provided by a kraft elemental chlorine free pulp factory, operating using Eucalyptus globulus wood. The pulp manufacturing process comprises three main steps: cooking, washing and bleaching (aimed to increase the degree of whiteness by removing or modifying chromophore groups present in the pulp structure). RP and BP were collected before and after the bleaching process, respectively. From the two air dried pulps, twelve different carbons were produced: six from RP and six from BP. Within the six carbons produced from each precursor, two were only pyrolysed (RP500 and RP800 produced from RP; BP500 and BP800 from BP), two other were pyrolysed and then acid washed with HCl 1.2 M (RP500-HCl and RP800-HCl from RP; BP500-HCl and BP800-HCl from BP) and two were activated (either with K$_2$CO$_3$ or with H$_3$PO$_4$), pyrolysed and acid washed (RP800-HCl-K$_2$CO$_3$ and RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ from RP; BP800-HCl-K$_2$CO$_3$ and BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ from BP). For the activation procedure, the pulp fibres were impregnated with the activating agent in a ratio of 1:1 (w/w). For the carbons activated with K$_2$CO$_3$, the activating agent was dissolved in distilled water with a proportion of 3:10 (w/v) and for H$_3$PO$_4$ activation, the activating agent was diluted in a ratio of 1:8 (v/v). In both cases, the pulp was impregnated for 1 h with the activating agent solution, using an ultrasonic bath and...
then dried at room temperature. The dried pulps (alone or impregnated with the activating agent) were then placed in porcelain crucibles and pyrolysed under nitrogen flow in a furnace muffle that was heated at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ up to 500 °C or 800 °C, temperatures which were maintained for 150 minutes. Then, also under nitrogen flow, the furnace was allowed to cool until room temperature. After pyrolysis, the ACs and two non-ACs from each pulp were acid washed with HCl 1.2 M (1 L of HCl 1.2 M to 30 mg of carbon adsorbent) and washed with distilled water until washing water reaching neutral pH. After that, all carbons were dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h and crushed mechanically.

2.2. Physical and chemical characterization of raw materials and carbon adsorbents

2.2.1. Total organic carbon (TOC)

TOC was determined for both precursors and for all carbon adsorbents by the difference between total carbon (TC) content and inorganic carbon (IC) content, which were obtained through a TOC analyser (TOC-VCPPH Shimadzu, solid sample module SSM-5000A). Carbon content was determined as the average of three replicates.

2.2.2. Thermogravimetric and proximate analysis

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and proximate analyses were made for the precursors and for the produced carbon adsorbents, respectively, and were carried out in a thermogravimetric balance Setsys Evolution 1750, Setaram, TGA mode (S type sensor).

Standard methods to determine the moisture (UNE 32002) (AENOR, 1995), volatile matter (UNE 32019) (AENOR, 1985) and ash content (UNE 32004) (AENOR, 1984) were employed. The fixed carbon was determined as the remaining fraction after ash and volatile matter (at dry basis) determination. The experimental procedure for TGA consisted of the sample heating, under nitrogen atmosphere, from room temperature to 105 °C (heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹); sample was kept at this temperature until total stabilization of the weight (approximately 30 min); next, temperature was increased from 105 to 950 °C (10 °C min⁻¹), keeping the sample at 950 °C until total stabilization of the weight (approximately 30 min); finally, at 950 °C, the
carrier gas was automatically switched to air and the sample was maintained at 950 ºC until total
stabilization of the weight. The mass loss observed around 105 ºC is attributed to moisture; the
mass loss registered from the end of this first step up to the switching of the carrier gas
corresponds to volatile matter; the mass loss comprised between the introduction of the air flow
and the stabilization of the weight is attributed to fixed carbon content; and lastly the final
residue corresponds to ash content (Valenzuela and Bernalte, 1985).

2.2.3. Elemental analysis

Elemental analysis was determined for the precursors and for all carbon adsorbents. The
determination of the samples’ content in C, H, N and S was performed in a LECO TruSpec
CHNS Micro analyser, using sulfamethazine as calibration standard. The percentage of oxygen
was calculated by difference, at a dry and ash free basis.

2.2.4. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total
reflectance (FTIR-ATR)

FTIR-ATR spectra were acquired through a Shimadzu-IRaffinity-1, using an attenuated
total reflectance (ATR) module, with a nitrogen purge. The measurements were recorded in the
range of 600-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) wavenumbers, 4.0 of resolution, 128 scans and with atmosphere and
background correction. Both precursors and all produced carbons were analysed.

2.2.5. Point of zero charge (PZC)

The PZC was determined, for the produced carbon adsorbents, by the pH drift method
(Aldegs et al., 2008). Briefly, ten solutions of NaCl 0.1 M with pH ranging between 2 and 11
were prepared by adjusting the pH with HCl 0.05 M and 0.1 M and NaOH 0.05 M and 0.1 M.
After that, 10 mL of each solution were transferred to a polypropylene tube containing 2 mg of
carbon. Each carbon was shaken with the solutions of different initial pH (pH\(_i\)), at 40 rpm,
overnight at 25 ºC in an overhead shaker (Heidolph, Reax 2). Later, the final pH (pH\(_f\)) was
measured. The ΔpH (pH\(_f\)− pH\(_i\)) was plotted versus pH, and PZC was determined as the pH
value where the plot crosses the x-axis.
2.2.6. Determination of carbons’ functional groups by Boehm’s Titration

The quantification of functional groups present on carbons surface was determined by the Boehm’s method (Boehm, 1994). Accordingly, each carbon was added to NaOH 0.05 M, NaHCO₃ 0.05 M, Na₂CO₃ 0.05 M or HCl 0.05 M solutions into polypropylene tubes at a final concentration of 10 g L⁻¹, under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixtures were then shaken inside a thermostatic incubator at 25 °C for 24 h. After, the supernatants were filtered and 25 mL of each one was titrated with 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH solutions in order to quantify the total acid and basic functional groups, respectively. In addition, the different acidic groups were determined as follows: the amount of carboxyl groups was estimated by neutralization with NaHCO₃ solution; and the amount of phenols was estimated from the difference between the neutralization with NaOH and that determined for the Na₂CO₃ solution. Furthermore, NaOH and HCl solutions were standardized with C₈H₅KO₄ and Na₂CO₃, respectively, for the determination of their exact concentration.

2.2.7. Specific surface area (S_BET)

$S_{BET}$ and total micropore volume ($W_0$) were determined for carbon adsorbents by nitrogen adsorption isotherms, acquired at 77 K using a Micromeritics Instrument, Gemini VII 2380 after outgassing the materials overnight at 120 °C. $S_{BET}$ was calculated from the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller equation (Brunauer et al., 1938) in the relative pressure range 0.01-0.1. Total pore volume ($V_p$) was estimated from the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at a relative pressure of 0.99. $W_0$ was determined by applying the Dubinin-Radushkevich equation (Marsh and Rand, 1970) to the lower relative pressure zone of the nitrogen adsorption isotherm.

2.2.8. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM images were obtained at different magnitudes using a Hitachi SU-70 in order to observe the superficial morphology of the precursors and the produced carbon adsorbents. The magnifications applied were 300x, 1 000x, 3 000x, 10 000x, 30 000x and 50 000x.
In order to test the adsorptive performance of the produced carbons for the removal of pharmaceuticals (namely CBZ and SMX) from water, kinetic and adsorption equilibrium experiments were made under shaking and batch conditions. In all tests, solutions with an initial drug concentration \((C_i)\) of 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) were used. Generally, polypropylene tubes containing a known mass of carbon adsorbent together with the drug solution were shaken at 80 rpm in an overhead shaker (Heidolph, Reax 2) at 25 °C. Experiments were run in triplicate. After shaking, each sample was filtered through Whatman PVDF Membrane Filters 0.22 µm to stop the adsorption process. Resulting solutions were analysed by capillary electrophoresis, in order to determine the remaining drug concentration, as described in section 2.3.3. Testing controls, without adsorbents, were also made. Before carrying out kinetic and adsorption equilibrium experiments, preliminary tests were performed with all carbon adsorbents with the purpose of concluding about the materials that have the best adsorptive performances. Based on those studies, only RP800-HCl-H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) and BP800-HCl-H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) were selected to study their kinetical behaviour and define their isotherms in equilibrium conditions.

Ultra-pure water and a final effluent of a WWTP (after secondary treatment, as discharged into the environment) were used for preparing the drug solutions. The effluent was collected from the urban WWTP of Costa de Lavos (Figueira da Foz), Portugal; immediately after collection and to remove suspended matter, it was filtered through cellulose Supor-450 Membrane Disc Filters 0.45 µm with a vacuum system. After filtration, the samples were stored in the dark at 4 °C until use, for a maximum period of 10 days. The collected effluent was characterized after filtration. The pH, conductivity and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content were 7.31, 0.26 ms cm\(^{-1}\) and 29.3 ± 0.7 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively.

### 2.3.1. Kinetic adsorption studies

To study the adsorption kinetics of CBZ and SMX onto the selected carbons, a fixed mass concentration of the carbon adsorbent (g L\(^{-1}\)) was employed, varying the contact time
between carbon adsorbents and drug solutions (shaking times of 5, 15, 30, 60, 120 and 240 min). In tests with real effluents, additional shaking times of 8, 10, 14 and 18 h were carried out. For tests with CBZ, the adsorbent mass concentrations used were 0.035 and 0.070 g L\(^{-1}\) in ultra-pure water and WWTP effluent, respectively. In the case of SMX, 0.035 and 0.30 g L\(^{-1}\) of adsorbent were respectively used for tests in ultra-pure and WWTP effluent. The amount of the target pharmaceutical adsorbed onto the corresponding adsorbent at each shaking time, \(q_t\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)), was calculated by a mass balance (Equation 1). The experimental data were fitted to the pseudo-first (Lagergren, 1898) and pseudo-second order (Ho et al., 2000) kinetic models (Equations 2 and 3, respectively) in order to determine the kinetic parameters of the experiments.

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_i-C_t)}{m} \times V \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

\[
q_t = q_e \left(1 - e^{-k_1t}\right) \quad \text{(Equation 2)}
\]

\[
q_t = \frac{q_e^2 k_2 t}{1+q_e k_2 t} \quad \text{(Equation 3)}
\]

where \(t\) is shaking time (min), \(C_i\) is the initial concentration of drug (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \(C_t\) is the remaining drug concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)) after shaking during a time \(t\), \(m\) is the mass of adsorbent (g), \(V\) is the volume of solution (L), \(q_e\) refers to the amount of adsorbate per unit mass of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(k_1\) is the pseudo-first order rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)) and \(k_2\) is the pseudo-second order rate constant (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). GraphPad Prism 5 was used for the nonlinear regression fittings of \(q_t\) versus \(t\) and three fitting parameters (\(R^2\), ASS and \(S_y/x\)) were determined to evaluate the goodness of fit.

2.3.2. Equilibrium adsorption studies

These experiments were performed using the shaking time needed to attain the equilibrium, as determined in section 2.3.1, varying the concentration of carbon absorbents. The amount of the target pharmaceutical adsorbed onto the corresponding adsorbent \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)), was determined by a mass balance (Equation 4) and the experimental data were fitted to the Langmuir (Langmuir, 1916), Freundlich (Freundlich, 1906) and Langmuir-Freundlich (Sips,
(Equations 5, 6 and 7, respectively) in order to determine the equilibrium parameters of the systems.

\[ q_e = \left( c_i - c_e \right) \frac{m_{ads}}{V} \]  
(Equation 4)

\[ q_e = \frac{q_m \times K_e \times C_e}{1 + K_L \times C_e} \]  
(Equation 5)

\[ q_e = K_F \times C_e^{(1/n)} \]  
(Equation 6)

\[ q_e = \frac{q_{max,LF} \times K_{LF} \times C_e^{N_{LF}-1}}{1 + K_{LF} \times C_e^{N_{LF}-1}} \]  
(Equation 7)

where \( q_m \) is the maximum adsorbed concentration of adsorbate at the equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \( C_e \) refers to the concentration of adsorbate in the liquid phase at equilibrium (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( K_L \) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant (L mg\(^{-1}\)), \( K_F \) is the Freundlich equilibrium constant (mg g\(^{-1}\) (L mg\(^{-1}\))\(^{1/n}\)), \( n \) and \( N_{LF} \) are the degrees of non-linearity, \( q_{max,LF} \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) represents the Langmuir-Freundlich maximum adsorption capacity and \( K_{LF} \) (mg g\(^{-1}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{-1/N_{LF}}\)) is the affinity coefficient of Langmuir-Freundlich model. GraphPad Prism 5 was used for the nonlinear regression fittings of \( q_e \) versus \( C_e \) and three fitting parameters (\( R^2 \), ASS and \( S_{y/x} \)) were determined to evaluate the goodness of fit.

### 2.3.3. Drug quantification

The quantification of CBZ and SMX was performed by capillary electrophoresis, using a Beckman P/ACE MDQ (Fullerton, CA, USA) instrument, equipped with a UV/visible detector and controlled by the software 32 Karat. The separation was made using a coated fused silica capillary of 40 cm total length (30 cm to the detection window) using a MEKC (micellar electrokinetic chromatography), as described in Calisto et al., 2015. Three replicates were run for all experiments. Detailed experimental conditions (coating step, analysis parameters and separation method for drug quantification) are presented in Table 1 of Supporting information (SI).
The concentration of CBZ and SMX was determined using a calibration curve in the range between 0.25 and 5.0 mg L\(^{-1}\). Seven standard solutions of each drug were prepared (0.25, 0.50, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and three replicates of each standard solution were analysed. A linear calibration curve for each new capillary was obtained using the least-squares linear regression.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermogravimetric analysis of the precursors and production of carbon adsorbents

The thermogravimetric analysis of carbon precursors (RP and BP) was made to determine the mass loss profiles of the pulps and then choose the pyrolysis temperature for each material. The results are presented in Figure S1 of Supporting Information (SI), which shows two stages of mass loss during the pyrolysis of each RP and BP. The first derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) peak of each pulp (76 °C for RP and 72 °C for BP) corresponds to the mass loss derived from water evaporation and the second peaks (333 °C for RP and 356 °C for BP) are due to the decomposition of organic matter. From these results, it was decided to carry out the pyrolysis of both RP and BP at 500 °C to ensure the transformation of the organic matter. Moreover, for comparison purposes, pyrolysis of RP and BP was also carried out at 800 °C because higher temperatures usually translate into a higher development of microporosity, as observed in section 3.2, which is advantageous for adsorbents with superior adsorption capacity (Calisto et al., 2014).

The production yield of all carbon adsorbents was calculated both before and after acid washing. The yields obtained for RP and BP-based carbons varied between 18% and 25% for non-activated and non-washed carbons and between 14% and 21% for non-activated washed carbons, with BP-based carbons registering average yields slightly lower than RP-based carbons. The small decrease verified between non-washed and washed non-activated carbons indicate a low content of inorganic matter (removed by the acidic washing). The largest
differences were observed between AC produced from different activating agents, with production yields of 21% and 17% for RP and BP H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs, respectively, and 4% and 5% for RP and BP K$_2$CO$_3$-ACs, respectively.

**3.2. Physical and chemical characterisation of raw materials and carbon adsorbents**

TOC results (Table S2 of SI) highlight the low inorganic carbon content present in all produced materials [< 0.6% (w/w)], even for non-washed carbons. This constitutes a great advantage for the utilization of these pulps as precursors for carbon adsorbents, considering that AC with high content in inorganic matter often implies lower surface areas and thus lower performance. The TOC of the precursors (between 38 and 40%) highly increased after pyrolysis, reaching contents between 76 and 83% for both non-washed and washed non-activated carbons; and between 59 and 62% for H$_3$PO$_4$-AC and 71% for K$_2$CO$_3$-AC. Therefore, ACs have less organic carbon (in percentage) than the non-activated carbons; this fact could be explained by the presence of other chemical elements in the surface of the materials (namely oxygen), resulting from the activating agent used, that represent a significant part of material’s chemical structure.

From proximate and elemental analyses (Table 1), it can be verified a decrease of volatile matter with the increase of pyrolysis temperature, meaning that the carbons produced at higher temperatures released more volatile matter and had more potential for the development of porosity (namely, microporosity). It is also possible to conclude that BP has more potential to develop a porous structure than RP due to its higher content in volatile matter. These facts were proven by the results of $S_{\text{BET}}$ and total volume of pores and micropores (Table 2). The presence of a significant percentage of ashes in H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs (20.48% for RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and 16.55% for BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$) means that activation with H$_3$PO$_4$ and pyrolysis of both RP and BP generate a big amount of inorganic material that is not completely removed by the acid washing. A high percentage of ashes in carbon’s surface is generally a disadvantage for the carbons because it decreases the adsorptive performance of the materials. However, these values are still lower than those obtained by Jaria et al. (2015) for AC produced by the KOH or NaOH activation of primary sludge, which had about 50% of ashes (wt.% dry basis) but showed large
adsorption capacities for the antidepressant fluoxetine. Regarding the elemental analysis, shown in Table 1, it may be concluded that both precursors and carbon adsorbents are mostly constituted by C and O, containing less than 6% of H and negligible amounts of N. The materials have no S in their composition.

The FTIR-ATR spectra of RP, BP and of the produced carbon adsorbents are presented in Figure S2 of SI. The spectra of RP and BP present typical bands of cellulose: bands at ~1030, 1105 and 1160 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to cellulosic ethers (C-O-C bonds); band at ~1053 is attributed to C-OH stretch of primary alcohols and carbohydrates (Boehm, 1994; Marsh and Rand, 1970). All these bands disappeared in the materials pyrolysed at 500 °C. This is consistent with the thermogravimetric results of the precursors (Figure S1 of SI), where the decomposition of the most thermo-labile fraction of organic matter, as cellulose, occurs between 300 and 400 °C. There are also two bands in BP and RP spectra that are eliminated with pyrolysis: ~2890 and 3330 cm\(^{-1}\) which represent C-H stretch vibrations and –OH phenol, respectively (Ahmad et al., 2007). In carbon adsorbent materials, several bands between 1660 and 2000 cm\(^{-1}\) appeared, which are related with the presence of some aromatic combination bands (Coates, 2006). The bands at ~1508 and 1339 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to aromatic ring stretches (Marsh and Rand, 1970; Yang et al., 2007). All bands in this region are typical of the presence of aromatic groups, which constitute the main structure of AC. The presence of some bands at 3400-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) region in all produced carbons is also notable and related with OH stretching (Yang et al., 2007).

The PZC was determined in order to know the net charge of each carbon (see Figure S3 of SI). Also, the concentration of some functional groups, namely carboxyl, phenols and total basic groups were obtained through Boehm’s Titration. Figure 1 relates the PZC with the obtained functional groups concentrations for all produced carbon adsorbents. Since carboxyls and phenols are acidic groups, the low PZCs is directly connected with the presence of high concentrations of these two functional groups. On the other hand, higher PZCs are linked to a higher concentration of total basic groups and lower concentration of both carboxyl and phenol groups.
The $S_{\text{BET}}$ results (Table 2) revealed large differences between non-activated and ACs, highlighting the importance of the activation step in the development of higher porosity and $S_{\text{BET}}$. Except for those activated with K$_2$CO$_3$, all the carbons produced in the same conditions presented higher $S_{\text{BET}}$ for BP-based carbons, compared with RP-based carbons. This fact may be explained by the higher content of volatile matter in BP in comparison with RP, as mentioned before. It is noteworthy that, despite similar $S_{\text{BET}}$, H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs have a microporous volume ($W_0$) much higher than K$_2$CO$_3$-ACs, which will certainly influence the adsorptive capacity of these materials. For comparison purposes, the results determined for a commercially available AC (PBFG4, kindly provided by Chemviron Carbon) were also included in Table 2. A complete physical and chemical characterization of PBFG4 can be found in previous works (Calisto et al., 2014; Jaria et al., 2015). As it may be seen, $S_{\text{BET}}$ values for the ACs produced in this study are very close or even higher (in the case of BP800-H$_3$PO$_4$-HCl) than that of PBFG4. However, $W_0$ is significantly higher for the commercial AC (PBFG4) than for the here produced adsorbents.

The SEM analysis of the raw materials and produced carbon adsorbents are presented in Tables S3-S6 of SI at different magnifications. Figures 2 and 3 present images of both precursors and some examples of RP and BP-based carbons. The images show a gradual difference between the surface morphology of the precursors, where the cellulose fibres are intact, and the non-activated and non-washed carbons, where the fibres exhibit some degree of destruction with no evident porosity (as confirmed by $S_{\text{BET}}$ analysis) and finally the AC, where a much more modified surface and a well-developed porosity are observable. This fact is consistent with the results of $S_{\text{BET}}$, $V_p$ and $W_0$. Regarding ACs, it is possible to verify a huge difference in the morphology between carbons activated with K$_2$CO$_3$ and with H$_3$PO$_4$. K$_2$CO$_3$-ACs have a rough surface with a very noticeable porosity while H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs have a smoother surface, giving the impression that the fibres of the raw materials were barely affected. Still, both activating agents resulted in carbon adsorbents with similar $S_{\text{BET}}$, with H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs having a much higher microporous volume. A possible explanation for the non-observed porosity in H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs SEM images could be the existence of a high number of micropores in these carbons, when compared with K$_2$CO$_3$-ACs, which are not visible at the used magnification.
3.3. Batch adsorption experiments

Preliminary tests, performed in ultra-pure water, revealed no adsorption of the studied pharmaceuticals onto the non-ACs, even at mass concentrations up to 10 times higher than those used for the same tests with ACs. This performance is, in fact, in accordance to \( S_{\text{BET}} \) results. Therefore, kinetic and equilibrium studies were not performed with non-ACs.

Concerning the performance of the produced ACs in the preliminary experiments, \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \)-ACs systematically presented better percentages for CBZ and SMX removal than \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \)-ACs. Better removal percentages of \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \)-ACs should be related with the total pore and micropore volumes, which are much higher than those obtained for \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \)-ACs (Table 2). Moreover, the lower PZCs and a more acidic carbon surface may also be determinant factors for a better performance, since \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \)-ACs have higher concentrations of carboxyl and phenol groups in their structure, in comparison with \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \)-ACs (Figure 1). Based on these results, it was decided to use the two \( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \)-ACs (RP800-HCl-\( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \) and BP800-HCl-\( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \)) to perform the kinetic and equilibrium studies.

3.3.1. Kinetic adsorption studies

Kinetic studies for the adsorption of CBZ and SMX onto RP800-HCl-\( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \) and BP800-HCl-\( \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \) were performed in ultra-pure water and in WWTP effluents. The amount of CBZ and SMX adsorbed (\( q_t, \text{mg g}^{-1} \)) is represented \textit{versus} shaking time (\( t \)) in Figure 4.

The parameters determined by the fittings of kinetic models (Equations 2 and 3) to experimental results are summarized in Table 3. For the fitting parameters, \( R^2 \), \( S_{\text{y/x}} \) and ASS, pseudo-second order was the model that best fitted the experimental results on the adsorption of both CBZ and SMX. Then, it was possible to conclude that the adsorption of both CBZ and SMX was much faster (higher \( k_2 \)) in ultra-pure water than in the WWTP effluent. It was also proven that the difference between the adsorption kinetics in ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents was more noticeable in tests with CBZ than with SMX. In fact, CBZ adsorption onto
RP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl and BP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl was ten and thirteen times faster, respectively, in ultra-pure water than in WWTP effluents. These results are probably related to the complex chemical composition of the secondary WWTP effluent, which contains organic and inorganic components (such as dissolved organic matter) that can compete for the adsorption sites of the carbons and, in this way, decrease the adsorption kinetics and hamper the access to the pores of the adsorbents. In tests with SMX, BP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl adsorbed just twice faster in ultra-pure water, while RP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl displayed faster adsorption kinetics in WWTP effluents. Regarding the produced ACs, adsorption of both CBZ and SMX was faster (please, see higher \(k_2\) in Table 3) onto BP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl than onto RP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl either from ultra-pure water or from the WWTP effluent.

The comparison of adsorption kinetics of the here produced adsorbents with a literature study, that used, under the same experimental conditions, a commercial AC (PBFG4) for the removal of CBZ and SMX from ultra-pure water (see Table 3) (Calisto et al., 2015), revealed that the adsorption kinetics were faster for BP800-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\)-HCl (higher \(k_2\)), proving the good potential of this type of precursors to produce ACs with good adsorptive properties.

### 3.3.2. Equilibrium adsorption studies

Equilibrium adsorption tests were performed for CBZ and SMX, both in ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents. The amount of CBZ and SMX adsorbed (\(q_e\), mg g\(^{-1}\)), both in ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents, is represented versus the remaining concentration of drug in solution (\(C_e\)) in Figure 5. The parameters obtained from the fittings of experimental results to the considered equilibrium models (Equations 5, 6 and 7) are summarized in Table 3.

The best fit was obtained for the Langmuir-Freundlich equilibrium model, according to the three selected fitting parameters (\(R^2\), \(S_{y/x}\) and ASS) displayed in Table 3. From the analysis of the fitted parameters for the Langmuir-Freundlich equilibrium model, it is possible to conclude that, except for SMX adsorption onto RP800-HCl-\(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\) from ultra-pure water, the maximum adsorptive capacities (\(q_m\)) were always higher for BP-based ACs than for RP-based
ACs. These results are partially justified by the higher $S_{BET}$ of BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ (965 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), comparing with RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ (768 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). On the other hand, equilibrium experiments in ultra-pure water present better or similar $q_m$ for SMX than for CBZ. Contrarily, in WWTP effluents, $q_m$ was significantly higher for CBZ than for SMX. In any case, for these drugs, the adsorptive capacity of both BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ was higher in ultra-pure water than in real effluents due to the presence of organic matter or other competitors in the latter. Yet, the decrease of carbons adsorptive capacity in WWTP effluent was much more noticeable for SMX than for CBZ. In the case of CBZ, the adsorptive capacities of the adsorbents just decreased 45% and 14%, using RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$, respectively, while for SMX, the adsorptive capacities decreased 92% and 87% for the same carbon adsorbents, respectively. It was hypothesised that the reason for this accentuated decrease may lie in the carbon surface chemistry. Since the PZC of H$_3$PO$_4$-ACs is quite low (2.3 for BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and 2.8 for RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$) and the pH of collected WWTP effluent was 7.31, the functional groups present in the carbon surface are deprotonated, resulting in a negatively charged carbon, attracting cations and repulsing anions. Due to the pH of the WWTP effluent, SMX was negatively charged in these tests ($pK_{a1}$=1.8; $pK_{a2}$=5.7 (Calisto et al., 2015)), which induced a repulsion between the drug and the groups present on the carbon surface, making difficult the adsorption process. The dissociation equilibrium and speciation diagram of SMX are schematized in Figure 6.

In ultra-pure water, which has a more acidic pH than WWTP effluents (between 5.5 and 6.0), there is an equilibrium between the neutral and negative species of SMX because $pK_{a2}$ of SMX is 5.7. With the presence of the neutral form of SMX, no electrostatic repulsion forces between the neutral drug and the adsorbent surface occur, which further potentiates the adsorption in this matrix. Then, the absence of competitors in ultra-pure water also favours the SMX adsorption onto the produced carbon adsorbents.

The pH-dependent effect was not felt in CBZ adsorption experiments because CBZ has a neutral charge at both the pH of ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents ($pK_a$=13.9 (Calisto et al., 2015)). Therefore, in this case, the observed differences between the adsorption capacities...
obtained between ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents are mainly due to the competition effect of substances present in the effluents.

For comparison purposes, the results obtained under the same experimental conditions for the removal of CBZ and SMX from ultra-pure water with a commercial AC (PBFG4) (Calisto et al., 2015), are summarized in Table 3. The results show that the adsorption capacities obtained with PBFG4 and the carbon adsorbents produced in this work are quite similar, particularly in the case of BP800-H₃PO₄-HCl. Therefore, despite the total microporous volume of PBFG4 being three times higher than that of BP800-H₃PO₄-HCl (please see Table 2), maximum adsorption capacities of PBFG4 were only 1.2 and 1.1 times higher than those of BP800-H₃PO₄-HCl for CBZ and SMX, respectively.

On the basis of the kinetic and equilibrium results, it is possible to conclude that BP800-H₃PO₄-HCl was, among the here produced materials, the carbon adsorbent with the best adsorptive performance, being comparable to that of a commercial AC used under identical experimental conditions.

4. Conclusions

This study evaluated the adequacy of RP and BP as alternative and renewable-origin precursors to produce high efficiency carbon adsorbents to remove pharmaceuticals from water. The results obtained revealed that non-ACs produced from RP and BP have no potential for this application, with negligible microporous structure and no ability to remove the tested pharmaceuticals from ultra-pure water. On the other hand, the activation of RP and BP with K₂CO₃ and H₃PO₄ allowed to produce carbon adsorbents with high adsorptive performances for CBZ and SMX, with interesting physical and chemical characteristics such as a high $S_{\text{BET}}$ and a high total volume of pores and micropores, mainly for BP800-HCl-H₃PO₄ ($S_{\text{BET}}$ of 965 m² g⁻¹, $V_p$ and $W_0$ of 0.41 and 0.11 cm³, respectively). By studying the kinetic and equilibrium behaviour of H₃PO₄-ACs for the adsorption of CBZ and SMX in ultra-pure water and WWTP effluents, it was possible to conclude that the adsorption was slower and the capacity lower in the WWTP effluents than in ultra-pure water. Differences should be related to the presence of
competitors that influence the adsorption process in WWTP effluents and to pH effects, particularly in the case of SMX. In general, among the produced adsorbents, the one from BP and activated with H₃PO₄ (BP800-HCl-H₃PO₄) displayed the best adsorptive performance, which was comparable to that of a commercial AC. Therefore, it was here proved the potential of pulp as precursor to produce adsorbents with high performance, which opens a new research line towards diversification in the paper industry.
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Table 1 – Proximate and elemental analyses for precursors and carbon materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Volatile Matter (VM)</th>
<th>Fixed Carbon (FC)</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>VM/FC</th>
<th>% C</th>
<th>% H</th>
<th>% N</th>
<th>% S</th>
<th>% O *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>79.77</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>41.60</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>87.75</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>42.34</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP500</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>21.54</td>
<td>74.92</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>79.42</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP500</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>72.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>81.30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>82.96</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP500-HCl</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>79.84</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>77.56</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP500-HCl</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>79.56</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>78.91</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>91.11</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>85.69</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>91.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>84.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl-K₂CO₃</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>87.84</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>72.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl-K₂CO₃</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>85.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>71.82</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl-H₃PO₄</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>68.93</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl-H₃PO₄</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>56.33</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>73.58</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - calculated by difference
Table 2 – Specific surface area ($S_{\text{BET}}$), total pore volume ($V_p$), total micropore volume ($W_0$) and average pore diameter ($D$) of all produced materials. For comparison purposes, data are also presented for PBFG4, a commercially available AC (from Calisto et al., 2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon adsorbent</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$ ($\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_p$ ($\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$W_0$ ($\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP500</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP500-HCl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP500-HCl</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl -K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl -K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP800-HCl -H$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP800-HCl -H$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBFG4 (Calisto et al., 2014)</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3 - Fitting parameters of pseudo-first and pseudo-second order kinetic models and of


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBZ Ultra-pure water</th>
<th>CBZ WWTP effluent</th>
<th>SMX Ultra-pure water</th>
<th>SMX WWTP effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RP800-H₂PO₄-HCl</td>
<td>BP800-H₂PO₄-HCl</td>
<td>RP800-H₂PO₄-HCl</td>
<td>BP800-H₂PO₄-HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo 1st order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_e (mg g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>56±4 85±3 122±3 25±3 51±3</td>
<td>25±3 83±3 95±3 110±3 5.3±0.6 13.0±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_f (min^{-1}) )</td>
<td>0.01±0.03 0.26±0.06 0.10±0.01 0.006±0.00 0.015±0.00 0.058±0.00 0.19±0.037 0.15±0.02 0.017±0.00 0.021±0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.901 0.968 0.989 0.813 0.874 0.984 0.972 0.978 0.789 0.870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>271.9 194.3 - 156.1 385.8 84.71 219.3 - 7.357 26.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo 2nd order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_e (mg g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>61±3 90±2 132±3 29±3 56±3 90±2 101±2 117±3 6.0±0.6 14.0±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_f (g mg^{-1} min^{-1}) )</td>
<td>0.0030±0.0009 0.005±0.001 0.0011±0.0001 0.0003±0.0001 0.0004±0.0001 0.0008±0.0001 0.0003±0.0001 0.0002±0.0001 0.002±0.000</td>
<td>0.0019±0.000 0.0021±0.000 0.004±0.002 0.002±0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.960 0.990 0.993 0.862 0.935 0.996 0.996 0.991 0.876 0.936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{v/h} )</td>
<td>4.712 3.494 4.253 3.852 4.979 2.173 2.528 4.387 0.785 1.279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>111.0 61.04 - 115.4 198.3 18.89 31.95 - 4.314 13.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Equilibrium studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBZ</th>
<th>SMX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ultra-pure water</td>
<td>WWTP effluent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_m (mg g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>57±2 93±2 116±3 29.9±0.5 80±3 93±1 110±4 118±5 8.8±0.2 13.3±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_L (L mg^{-1}) )</td>
<td>9±4 3.2±0.4 10±2 5.5±0.6 2.2±0.4 4.5±0.4 5.0±0.7 2.3±0.4 55±37 13±3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.972 0.991 0.9906 0.991 0.978 0.997 0.985 0.9819 0.987 0.961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{v/h} )</td>
<td>3.111 2.742 3.972 0.876 3.420 1.659 4.215 4.744 0.374 0.907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>67.74 52.64 - 6.905 105.3 19.27 124.3 - 0.838 5.759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_F (mg L^{-1} g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>48±2 67±1 102.7±0.8 23.6±0.6 52±2 72.6±0.7 88±3 78±2 8.6±0.2 11.5±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>12±5 4.0±0.4 9.1±0.7 6.0±0.9 3.6±0.4 5.3±0.3 5±1 3.1±0.2 67±92 10±4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.965 0.988 0.998 0.969 0.971 0.996 0.956 0.987 0.983 0.918</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{v/h} )</td>
<td>3.474 3.144 2.011 1.620 3.918 1.828 7.213 4.031 0.426 1.317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>84.72 69.19 - 23.63 138.2 23.40 364.2 - 1.091 12.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Langmuir-Freundlich

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBZ</th>
<th>SMX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q_m (mg g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>54±4 107±21 30±1</td>
<td>92±19 106±14 102±4 8.7±0.2 13.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_{LF} (mg g^{-1} L^{-1} g^{-1}) )</td>
<td>12±19 2±1</td>
<td>5±2 1.4±0.7 2±1 11±6 1.38±10^{-6} 9±10^{-8} 22±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_{LF} )</td>
<td>0.8±0.8 1.4±0.5</td>
<td>1.1±0.2 1.4±0.4 1.6±0.5 0.7±0.2 0±7 0.8±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.972 0.993</td>
<td>0.991 0.981 0.998 0.990 0.990 0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_{y/x}$</td>
<td>2.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>66.75</td>
<td>44.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1** – Point of zero charge (PZC) and functional groups concentrations of the produced carbon adsorbents

**Figure 2** - SEM images of RP, RP-800 and RP800-HCl-K$_2$CO$_3$ (from left to right) at 10 000x

**Figure 3** - SEM images of BP at 3 000x, BP-800 and BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ at 10 000x (from left to right)

**Figure 4** – Experimental kinetic results and nonlinear fittings of the pseudo-second order kinetic model (best fit) corresponding to the adsorption of CBZ and SMX onto RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$, from ultra-pure water or WWTP effluents

**Figure 5** – Experimental equilibrium results and nonlinear fittings of the Langmuir-Freundlich model (best fit) corresponding to the adsorption of CBZ and SMX onto RP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$ and BP800-HCl-H$_3$PO$_4$, from either ultra-pure water or WWTP effluents

**Figure 6** – Dissociation equilibrium and speciation diagram of SMX (adapted from Teixeira et al., 2012 and Dias et al., 2014)