

1 **CAN GOOGLE MAPS POPULAR TIMES BE AN ALTERNATIVE SOURCE OF**
2 **INFORMATION TO ESTIMATE TRAFFIC-RELATED IMPACTS?**

3
4 **Pavlos Tafidis, Corresponding Author**

5 Research fellow, Transport Planning and Engineering
6 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
7 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
8 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: pavlostafidis@ua.pt
9

10 **João Teixeira**

11 Research Fellow, Mechanical Engineering
12 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
13 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
14 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: jpteixeira@ua.pt
15

16 **Behnam Bahmankhah**

17 PhD Student, Mechanical Engineering
18 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
19 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
20 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: behnam.bahmankhah@ua.pt
21

22 **Eloísa Macedo**

23 Post-Doctoral researcher, Mathematics
24 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
25 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
26 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: macedo@ua.pt
27

28 **Claudio Guarnaccia**

29 Senior researcher in Applied Physics, Assistant Professor of Physics
30 University of Salerno, Department of Civil Engineering
31 Campus di Fisciano, Stecca 7, Piano Secondo, stanza 053
32 Tel: +39-089-969-356; Email: cguarnaccia@unisa.it
33

34 **Margarida C. Coelho**

35 Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering
36 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
37 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
38 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: margarida.coelho@ua.pt
39

40 **Jorge M. Bandeira**

41 Post-Doctoral Researcher, Mechanical Engineering
42 University of Aveiro, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Mechanical Technology and Automation
43 Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
44 Tel: +351-234-378-172; Email: jorgebandeira@ua.pt
45

46
47 Word count: 4,474 words text + 10 tables/figures x 250 words (each) = 6,974 words
48

49 1st August 2017

50 Submitted for consideration for publication and presentation at the 97th Annual Meeting of the Transportation
51 Research Board, January 7-11, 2018.

1 ABSTRACT

2 In almost every transport policy document the mitigation of road transport externalities such as
3 traffic congestion, emissions or noise is one of the main targets. The deployment of ICT
4 (Information and Communication Technologies) tools in transportation systems has played a
5 critical role in increasing the sustainability in urban areas. In recent years, many initiatives tried to
6 estimate traffic variables using alternative sources of information or explore potential correlations
7 between traffic-impacts and data from social media as traditional data collection is usually
8 considered costly and lengthy. The aim of this paper is to explore the potential of using Google
9 Maps feature "Popular times" as an alternative source of information to predict traffic-related
10 impacts. For that purpose, its relationships with traffic volumes, travel times, pollutant emissions
11 and noise of different areas in different periods were examined using linear regression models.
12 Different data sets were collected: i) crowdsourcing information from Google Maps; ii) traffic
13 dynamics with the use of a light-duty vehicle equipped with a GNSS data logger; and iii) traffic
14 volumes. The emissions estimation was based on the concept of Vehicle Specific Power (VSP),
15 while noise estimations were conducted with the use of "The Common Noise Assessment Methods
16 in Europe" (CNOSSOS-EU) model. The findings of this study showed encouraging results as it
17 was possible to establish clear relationships between popular times and traffic volumes, CO₂
18 emissions and noise levels proving the potential of using web-based information as a cost efficient
19 and effective data to estimate traffic-related impacts.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Keywords: ICT, Google Maps, Crowdsourcing, Emissions, Noise, Transportation Externalities

1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Road transportation is responsible for many external effects, such as air pollution, accidents, traffic congestion and noise (1). The reduction of the aforementioned negative externalities are almost the main target objectives in every transport policy document in order to promote sustainable and environmental-friendly mobility (2). The global financial crisis that started almost a decade ago has also affected the transportation sector. In recent years, funding for services and infrastructure has been reduced significantly resulted in the decline of the reliability and effectiveness of transportation systems. Under the current circumstances the collection of high quality data to support their operation has become more complicated taking also into consideration that traditional methods are usually costly, lengthy, limited to specific areas (3) and the data have poor quality (4). However, the possibilities that arise from the recent advancements in communications technologies can provide alternative sources of information that will overcome the current barriers offering real-time data that captures the patterns, needs and experiences of road users. Social media and web services can be considered both as a cost efficient and effective data input having valuable information to be harvested, although their use in transport planning and management is still sporadic (5). This type of information has the capacity to complement or even replace in certain cases traditional data after distinguishing the useful from the useless data and examining its utility and reliability.

In the last years, many studies have shown attention to explore the potential of using web-based data sources for transport planning, management or operation (6). The real-time information that they provide allow commuters to improve their travel experience and transportation authorities to enhance their services quality. More specifically, it can allow city and transport planners to gain a better understanding of mobility patterns and needs, while for individuals to move freely and reducing travel time (7). Human mobility is possible to be explained by 10% to 30% of social relationships and 50% to 70% by periodic behaviour (8).

Many initiatives also tried to estimate traffic-related impacts using alternative sources of information (3). Tostes et al. tried to estimate traffic jams using information that acquired from Bing Maps in the city of Chicago (9), while Ni et al. developed a short-term traffic flow prediction model based on Twitter features and focused on traffic conditions prior to sport events (10). Social media data has also been examined as a new data source to estimate travel demand. Location-based social networking (LBSN) data was used to estimate origin-destination (OD) matrix as compared to traditional methods can provide much higher temporal resolution at a lower cost (11; 12). In another study, Lee et al. in 2013, an OD matrix based on social-media travel data acquired from Twitter was compared with the results of a traditional travel demand model in the Greater Los Angeles metropolitan area and the preliminary findings were especially encouraging (13). Under the same context, Chaniotakis et al. examined data from different social media and compared it with conventional travel-diary surveys from the city of Thessaloniki, Greece, aimed at identifying alternative sources of information to improve Intelligent Transportation Systems applications (14).

A growing body of research also explores potential correlations between traffic-impacts and data from social media. For instance, Ribeiro et al. found a mentionable correlation between real traffic conditions and data from Twitter regarding traffic conditions in Belo Horizonte, Brazil (15), while Tian et al. validated traffic incidents mentioned by social media users by comparing them with field cameras data in Austin, Texas (16). Pereira et al. developed a probabilistic data analysis model aimed to specify habitual and non-habitual overcrowding hotspots in public transportation systems using also data from social networks. The results showed the potential applicability of the proposed model in different cases (17). Finally, Teixeira et al. explored the

1 correlations between traffic congestion, emissions, speeds and traffic volumes with Google Maps
2 traffic data with preliminary findings showing encouraging results in urban arterials (18).

3 Popular times is a new feature in Google Maps that was launched in July 2015 and allows
4 users to have a better insight on a place's busy time periods. With that information people are
5 assisted in their decision-making process regarding the best time to visit a specific place or area.
6 The information that is usually included is (Figure 1):
7

- 8 • Graph per day and hour showing how busy is a specific location based on historical data;
- 9 • Live activity data of how busy is the area updated by real-time information and easily
10 comparable with the average values;
- 11 • Visit duration, showing the average time people spend at the specific place.
12



13
14
15 **FIGURE 1 Example of Google Maps "Popular times".**
16

17 The aim of this paper is to explore an alternative source of information to predict
18 traffic-related impacts. For that purpose, a previous study (3) was expanded by including a new
19 study area with different characteristics but also conducting new data collection in the previous
20 studies areas in order to provide seasonal comparisons. In addition to the previous research, also
21 noise analysis is included. This study aims to examine in depth the potential of using Popular times
22 of Google Maps as a reliable and low-cost data to estimate traffic volumes, travel time, pollutant
23 emissions and noise.
24

25 2. METHODOLOGY

26 27 2.1. Case Studies

28 In this study, three important commercial zones in areas with different characteristics are examined
29 (Figure 2). Two of them are in the city of Aveiro in Portugal, while the last one is in Badajoz, Spain.
30 The first study area is Aveiro Shopping Center, which is located in the industrial zone of the city.
31 Four road links consist the case study. Link 11 is the main entrance to the commercial zone, while
32 12 is the main exit of it. Links 13 and 14 connect the city of Aveiro with the industrial zone. The
33 studied links are located between roundabouts and there are various unsignalized intersections
34 with minor roads from both sides. One crosswalk interrupts both links 11 and 12. The second study
35 area is Glicínias Plaza Shopping Center, which is within the urban area of the city. The main links
36 that lead to (link 15) and out (link 16) of the shopping center are examined. The links are between

1 roundabouts, one crosswalk interrupts the links and in link I6 there is the only entrance and exit to
 2 a gas station. The last studied area is a Hypermarket in Badajoz. Links 7 and 8 consist the main
 3 entrance and exit to the shopping center. The land use of the area is mainly residential, the links are
 4 between roundabouts, while three crosswalks interrupt them.

5



6

7

8 **FIGURE 2 Studied areas a) Aveiro Shopping Center; b) Glicínias Plaza Shopping Center;**
 9 **c) Hypermarket (Badajoz).**

10

11 2.2. Data Collection

12 Data collection was conducted during weekdays and weekends in different seasons of the year
 13 (Winter – Summer) in order to achieve a diversified range of traffic demand and conditions. For
 14 the purpose of the analysis three different data sets were collected:

15

- 16 • Crowdsourcing information in real time regarding the popularity of the studied commercial
- 17 areas from Google Maps;
- 18 • Traffic dynamics (travel time, speed, and acceleration) with the use of a light-duty vehicle
- 19 equipped with a GNSS data logger that performed 10 runs per hour for each link,). To increase the
- 20 heterogeneity of the driving behaviour different drivers were used (19), while the probe vehicle
- 21 was moving according to their perception of traffic flow (20);
- 22 • Traffic volumes with the use of cameras in 15 minutes interval;
- 23 • Number of vehicles in the parking lot and vehicles occupancy in Aveiro Shopping Center.

24

25 2.3. Methodological Approach

26 To investigate the potential of using Google Maps feature "Popular times" as an alternative source
 27 of information to predict traffic-related impacts, this study focused on exploring its relationships
 28 with traffic volumes, travel times, emissions and noise of different areas in different periods.

1 Google Maps present popular times with the use of a bar chart without providing values. For the
 2 purpose of this paper, we assume that the minimum value of the bar is zero and the maximum is
 3 one and we divided it in ten equal parts giving them the respective values.

4 Furthermore, to examine its reliability, correlations between the parking occupancy of a
 5 specific commercial area and the popularity of that location during that period were explored. The
 6 relationships between the predictors and the response were examined using linear and polynomial
 7 regression models. Specifically, we focused on linear and quadratic models to describe data, since
 8 they are relatively simple and easily interpretable, and application of higher order polynomials (or
 9 even more complex models) may result in overfitting (21).

10 Two methodological approaches were followed to find the aforementioned relationships
 11 based on a standard interval of 15 minutes. In the first approach, values of each studied variable
 12 were compared to popular times' value in the end of the respective interval, while in the second the
 13 comparison was made with the value in the end of the next 15 minutes period. The results only of
 14 the first approach are presented as the correlations were higher.

16 2.4. Emission Estimation

17 For the estimation of the emissions, the concept of vehicle specific power (VSP) was used as it
 18 allows the estimation of instantaneous emissions from second-by-second vehicle dynamics,
 19 accounts for the effect of different driving modes (acceleration, deceleration, cruise, idling), and
 20 also includes a wide range of engine displacement values (<2.5 L) and can be applied to the
 21 Portuguese and Spanish car fleet (22).

22 VSP is a function of acceleration and deceleration, instantaneous speed and slope that can
 23 be expressed as:

$$25 \quad VSP = v[1.1a + 9.81(a \tan(\sin(\text{grade}))) + 0.123] + 0.000302v^3 \quad (1)$$

26 where: v = vehicle speed (m/s), a = vehicle acceleration/deceleration rate (m/s^2), grade =
 27 vehicle vertical rise divided by the horizontal run (%).

28 VSP bins are categorized into 14 modes and each mode is defined by a range of values
 29 associated to an emission rate (23). This study is focused on the estimation of CO_2 and NO_x
 30 emissions (respectively, a greenhouse gas and a critical local pollutant - precursor to troposphere
 31 ozone and with demonstrated effects in human health).

32 For the purpose of this study the following distribution fleet composition was considered:
 33

- 34 • 38% of light duty gasoline vehicles and 62% of light duty diesel vehicles for the
 35 Portuguese studied areas;
- 36 • and 44% of light duty gasoline vehicles and 56% of light duty diesel vehicles for the
 37 Spanish studied areas based on the respective national vehicle classification (24).

38 Although some differences may occur in total absolute emissions estimation, the authors
 39 assume this approach as suitable to reflect the relative emissions variation associated to different
 40 driving behavior in the studied road links.

44 2.5. Noise Estimation

45 For the noise estimation, "The Common Noise Assessment Methods in Europe" (CNOSSOS-EU)
 46 model is used as a uniform approach to noise assessment in European countries. It is based on the
 47 assessment of the noise produced by a single vehicle, summing the rolling and the propulsion noise

1 per each frequency octave (in the range 125 Hz - 4000 Hz). The former noise has a log dependence
 2 by the mean speed of the traffic flow, while the latter has a linear dependence. Once the power of
 3 the source "vehicle" is evaluated for each category of vehicles (passenger cars, medium heavy
 4 vehicles, heavy duty vehicles), the presence of a given number of vehicles per hour and the
 5 propagation model are implemented. A line source is assumed and the formula can include
 6 favorable and homogeneous conditions. Several attenuation factors, such as reflections,
 7 diffractions, atmospheric effects, ground absorption, etc., can be included in the formula, in order
 8 to better simulate the phenomena that affect the propagation (25). The noise emission of a traffic
 9 flow per each link was based on the average speed recorded with the GPS data logger while the
 10 vehicle flow was estimated based on the videotaping. Finally, with the use of Equation (2), noise
 11 levels were estimated for each street.
 12


$$13 \quad L_{eq} = 10 \sum 10^{L_i/10} + 10^{L_j/10} \quad (2)$$

14 where: L_{eq} = integration of the estimated sound level of directions L_i and L_j (25).
 15

16 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

17 3.1. Reliability of Popular times

18 As a first step of the analysis the reliability of Popular times is examined in the case study of
 19 Aveiro Shopping Center. As already mentioned, the commercial area is located in the industrial
 20 zone of the city and the low frequency level of public transport services encourage the use of
 21 private vehicles. To test the reliability of Popular times as a predictor variable for monitoring the
 22 area's popularity, the number of vehicles in the parking lot was monitored in 15 minutes intervals
 23 during the study period. The analysis (Figure 3) showed that there is a high correlation between the
 24 parking occupancy ($R^2 = 0.89$), encouraging the use of the variable to estimate the number of
 25 visitors to a certain place. The vehicle occupancy rate has been also recorded (1.81 persons per
 26 vehicle) which allowed to estimate that an increase of 0.1 in Popular times value represents an
 27 increase in demand of 142 vehicles and 248 visitors. Understandably, this response would change
 28 in other case studies according to the overall attractiveness of each commercial area.
 29
 30



31
 32 **FIGURE 3 a) Linear regression between Popular times and parking lot occupancy; b)**
 33 **Relative distribution of vehicle occupancy.**

Most of the examined correlations presented good results (Figure 4) with statistically significant values (p -value $<0,05$), which means that the chosen models significantly predict the response variable. In general, higher coefficient of determination values were obtained for traffic volumes, CO₂ and noise estimations, meaning Popular times can explain them better. Regarding, NOx emissions results were weaker as are strongly affected by drivers' behavior. Low results were acquired for links l3 and l4 during the weekend mainly because there were not significant traffic flows from those links to the shopping area.


Links	Study Period	Variables	Coefficients of the Model		R ² (Coefficient of determination)	p-value	Links	Study Period	Variables	Coefficients of the Model		R ² (Coefficient of determination)	p-value
			a	b						a	b		
11	weekday	Traffic volumes	154.11	35.161	0.88	2.19E-09	11	weekend	Traffic volumes	222.55	-9.915	0.86	1.04E-09
		System CO ₂	8450.9	256.63	0.93	4.02E-10			System CO ₂	9271.9	21.937	0.77	6.71E-09
		System NOx	29.76	-3.271	0.76	6.88E-07			System NOx	22.67	6.1765	0.72	1.95E-06
12	weekday	Traffic volumes	186.39	15.599	0.85	1.87E-04	12	weekend	Traffic volumes	166.16	21.963	0.89	2.44E-11
		System CO ₂	7517.6	168.12	0.82	1.81E-05			System CO ₂	5402.8	1479.8	0.78	1.17E-09
		System NOx	28.076	-2.743	0.67	6.49E-04			System NOx	14.025	6.2858	0.31	6.34E-07
11-12		Noise	4.982	54.503	0.85	3.20E-06	11-12		Noise	7.1113	57.653	0.75	6.08E-08
13	weekday	Traffic volumes	111.51	66.77	0.7	4.20E-07	13	weekend	Traffic volumes	26.175	81.263	0.09	7.91E-08
		System CO ₂	14786	6997.8	0.55	1.54E-06			System CO ₂	2480.2	10958	0.06	4.98E-08
		System NOx	37.326	16.379	0.59	1.09E-06			System NOx	2.4859	30.514	0.01	9.84E-08
14	weekday	Traffic volumes	82.264	98.755	0.53	1.84E-06	14	weekend	Traffic volumes	14.398	92.259	0.01	9.22E-06
		System CO ₂	12396	10989	0.53	1.61E-26			System CO ₂	-1816	14053	0.01	3.89E-05
		System NOx	36.757	24.407	0.55	1.36E-06			System NOx	-10.07	39.676	0.02	5.26E-05
13-14		Noise	9.9992	54.917	0.55	9.38E-06	13-14		Noise	-2.539	61.884	0.14	1.86E-07
15	weekday	Traffic volumes	323.33	-18.5	0.55	7.90E-15	15	weekend	Traffic volumes	253.44	33.219	0.5	1.35E-09
		System CO ₂	6566.9	-249	0.48	5.20E-14			System CO ₂	45123	1314.9	0.62	4.92E-10
		System NOx	12.661	0.6283	0.44	2.04E-14			System NOx	103.14	5.82	0.56	1.20E-09
16	weekday	Traffic volumes	401.89	-58.21	0.81	3.65E-14	16	weekend	Traffic volumes	235.97	56.292	0.48	3.42E-11
		System CO ₂	23681	-6430	0.52	2.29E-08			System CO ₂	37136	8180.8	0.46	5.52E-11
		System NOx	47.497	-11.53	0.47	1.98E-08			System NOx	83.132	31.149	0.3	6.74E-10
15-16		Noise	7.8001	54.922	0.69	8.10E-14	15-16		Noise	5.9122	60.244	0.39	1.02E-09

FIGURE 4 Model Variables between Response Variables and Popular Times.

3.2. Traffic Analysis

The analysis regarding the correlations between Popular times and traffic volumes provided strong results with the better for each link presenting in Figure 5. All models are adequate and statistically significant to fit the data (p -value <0.05). More specifically, in links l1 and l2 there were high correlations between the number of vehicles and the values of Popular times ($R^2 > 0.85$) both during the weekday and the weekend as the two links consist the main street that leads to the

1 shopping area. On the other hand, the lowest results were achieved in links 17 and 18 mainly
 2 because many drivers used the secondary entrance of the hypermarket zone.
 3




4
 5 **FIGURE 5 Linear regressions between traffic volumes and Popular times.**

6
 7 **3.3. Emission Analysis**

8 Figures 6 to 8 present the linear regressions between Popular times and CO₂ and NO_x emissions.
 9 Links 11 and 12 presented the strongest correlations regarding emissions, both for CO₂ and NO_x
 10 compared to the remaining links. Popular times can explain 94% and 76% of CO₂ and NO_x
 11 variability, respectively, in 11, and 82% and 67%, respectively, in 12. Regarding 13 and 14, weaker

1 relationships were observed, however, Popular times feature is still able to explain around 59% of
 2 their variability in I3, and 53% and 55% of CO₂ and NO_x variability in I4. For links I5 and I6, 48%
 3 and 52% of CO₂ emissions and 44% and 47% of NO_x emissions can be explained. Regarding the
 4 results in the studied area in Badajoz, Popular times can explain 62% and 56% of CO₂ and NO_x
 5 variability in I7, while in I8, respectively 46% and 30% of CO₂ and NO_x variability.

6




7

8 **FIGURE 6 Linear regressions between CO₂ and NO_x emissions and Popular times for**
 9 **Aveiro Shopping Center.**


10

11 Results on correlations with NO_x emissions are rather weaker, when compared with CO₂,

1 since such emissions are strongly affected by drivers' behavior and road links were operating at
 2 free flow during most of the studied period, leading to a higher variability on drivers' perceptions
 3 of the roadway conditions. These results reinforce that Popular times can be used for estimating
 4 emissions or at least for minimizing the error in its estimation.
 5



6
 7 **FIGURE 7 Linear regressions between CO₂ and NO_x emissions and Popular times for**
 8 **Glicínias Plaza Shopping Center.**
 9



10
 11 **FIGURE 8 Linear regressions between CO₂ and NO_x emissions and Popular times for**
 12 **Hypermarket (Badajoz).**

3.4. Noise Analysis

The relationships between noise and popular times were also examined by combining the line noise sources from both directions of each street (Figure 9). As in the previous analyzes, the linear regression models are only valid for the range of popular times values that were examined. However, for these intervals the popular hours can reasonably explain the variability in the noise levels in the studied links. In links 1 and 2, popular times can explain on weekends and on weekdays 85% and 75% of road noise levels variability, respectively. Due to the higher demand on weekends (also to other commercial areas) an increase of 0.49 dBA was observed on weekdays and 0.71 dBA on weekends for a decimal increase in Popular times values. In Link 5 and L6 popular times explains 68% of noise variability. In Links L7 and L8 popular times can justify only 38% of noise emissions. This lower value is related both to lower relation with volumes and to a higher diversion of average speed.





FIGURE 9 Linear regressions between noise estimation and Popular times.

3.5. Comparative Analysis

In this section, a comparative analysis was conducted based on data collection during different periods of the year. More specifically for links 11 to 16, repetitive data collections were made during winter and summer (Figure 10). The analysis showed that the models are mainly dependent on the day or the season. In links 11 and 12, because they consist the main street connection to Aveiro shopping center, the variability is less. Regarding links 13 and 14, it is possible to suggest that during the summer months the lack of any kind of correlations is because the street serves as a connective link to the city of Aveiro. The results regarding links 15 and 16 are may explained of the fact that both the links are used for different trip destinations.



1
2 **FIGURE 10 Seasonal comparison of studied areas.**

3
4 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

5 This study assessed the potential of using Google Maps Popular times to predict traffic volumes
6 and traffic externalities. Three different study areas and 8 links near to important shopping areas in
7 Portugal and Spain have been assessed during weekdays and weekends in different seasons.
8 Simple linear regression models were applied to fit empirical observations and simulated road
9 traffic, NO_x and CO₂ emissions, and noise levels with Popular times. The analysis of the results
10 suggested that for specific time periods it is possible to establish clear relationships between
11 popular times and traffic volumes (up to 90%), CO₂ emissions (up to 98%) and noise levels (up to

1 85%). Popular times have shown to have lower capability to explain NO_x emissions due to higher
2 variability in driving behavior and higher dependence of individual acceleration and deceleration
3 patterns under free flow regime. However, in shorter links such as 11, Popular times can justify
4 76% of NO_x emissions variability.

5 Regarding the main question of the paper “can Popular times be used as an alternative
6 source of information to predict traffic related impacts?” the answer is affirmative but under a
7 considerable set of restrictions. While in some links, it has been shown that the models can be
8 applied in different seasons of the year, in others the parameters of the regressions depend on the
9 season or the day. This fact suggests that the relative scale of the Google Maps Popular times is not
10 a uniform approach for different periods of the year and there is a considerable seasonal variability
11 in the OD matrix of road users of the assessed road links. Before the implementation of a
12 monitoring system based on this type of information, it will be necessary to collect data over an
13 extended period or to have access to similar data sets, e.g. based on absolute values for urban zones
14 on open data platforms. This type of information would be especially useful in cities where there is
15 limited funding to monitoring traffic conditions through traditional traffic monitoring systems and
16 environmental sensors. Furthermore, leisure trips are harder to predict and this information can
17 contribute to adjust spatio-temporal information of urban OD matrices with higher accuracy.

18 This type of information and crowdsourcing informations may be considered an asset to
19 anticipate in advance potential congestion solutions due to high levels of popularity. Moreover, it
20 may allow to contribute to the optimization of intelligent transport systems such as
21 partial-metering strategy or dynamic traffic lights. Finally, the collected environmental
22 information can be included in environmental information systems and real-time link-based
23 information can be deployed in eco-routing platforms.

24 Future work will contain the development of a global model that could be used to estimate
25 traffic volumes, CO₂ and NO_x emissions, and noise in links near commercial areas using Popular
26 times as predictive variable. In addition, integrated analysis is going to be conducted based on
27 street networks and not on separated links.

28 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

29 The authors acknowledge the support of Toyota Caetano Portugal (that allowed the use of the
30 vehicles), Project CISMOB (PGI01611 funded by Interreg Europe Programme), Strategic Project
31 UID-EMS-00481-2013 (FCT – Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation),
32 CENTRO-01-0145-FEDER-022083, @CRUiSE project (PTDC/EMS-TRA/0383/2014, funded
33 within the Project 9471 – Reforçar a Investigação, o Desenvolvimento Tecnológico e a Inovação
34 (Project 9471 – RIDTI) and supported by the European Community Fund FEDER) and MobiWise
35 project (P2020 SAICTPAC/0011/2015) (co-funded by COMPETE2020, Portugal2020 -
36 Operational Program for Competitiveness and Internationalization (POCI), European Union’s
37 ERDF (European Regional Development Fund), and FCT). J. Bandeira also acknowledges the
38 support of FCT for the Scholarship SFRH/BPD/100703/2014.
39

1 **REFERENCES**

- 2 1. Santos, G. Road fuel taxes in Europe: Do they internalize road transport
3 externalities? *Transport Policy*, Vol. 53, 2017, pp. 120–134.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.09.009>.
- 5 2. Himanen, V., M. Lee-Gosselin, and A. Perrels. Sustainability and the interactions
6 between external effects of transport. *Journal of Transport Geography*, Vol. 13,
7 No. 1, 2005, pp. 23–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.11.006>.
- 8 3. Tafidis, P., J. Teixeira, B. Bahmankhah, E. Macedo, M.C. Coelho, and J. Bandeira.
9 Exploring crowdsourcing information to predict traffic-related impacts. *17th IEEE*
10 *International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering*, Milan, Italy,
11 6-9 June, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EEEIC.2017.7977595>.
- 12 4. Serna, A., J.K. Gerrikagoitia, U. Bernabé, and T. Ruiz. Sustainability analysis on
13 Urban Mobility based on Social Media content. *Transportation Research Procedia*,
14 Vol. 24, 2017, pp. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.05.059>.
- 15 5. Majumdar, S.R. The case of public involvement in transportation planning using
16 social media. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2017, pp. 121–133.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.11.002>.
- 18 6. Rashidi, T.H., A. Abbasi, M. Maghrebi, S. Hasan, and T.S. Waller. Exploring the
19 capacity of social media data for modelling travel behaviour: Opportunities and
20 challenges. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, Vol. 75,
21 2017, pp. 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.12.008>.
- 22 7. Tasse, D., and J.I. Hong. *Using Social Media Data to Understand Cities*. 2014.
23 <http://repository.cmu.edu/hcii>. Accessed Jul. 22, 2017.
- 24 8. Cho, E., S.A. Myers, and J. Leskovec. Friendship and mobility. In *Proceedings of*
25 *the 17th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and*
26 *Data Mining*. ACM Press, New York, USA, 2011, pp. 1082-1090.
27 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2020408.2020579>.
- 28 9. Tostes, A.I.J., F. de L. P. Duarte-Figueiredo, R. Assunção, J. Salles, and A.A.F.
29 Loureiro. From data to knowledge: city wide traffic flows analysis and prediction
30 using Bing Maps. In *the Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGKDD International*
31 *Workshop on Urban Computing*. ACM Press, New York, USA, 2013.
32 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2505821.2505831>.
- 33 10. Ni, M., Q. He, and J. Gao. Using Social Media to Predict Traffic Flow under
34 Special Event Conditions. *Transportation Research Board (TRB) 93th Annual*
35 *Meeting*, Washington D.C., USA, 2014.
36 <https://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=1288769>. Accessed Jul. 22, 2017.
- 37 11. Yang, F., P.J. Jin, X. Wan, and B. Ran. Dynamic Origin-Destination Travel
38 Demand Estimation using Location Based Social Networking Data. *Transportation*
39 *Research Board (TRB) 93th Annual Meeting*, Washington D.C., USA, 2014.
40 [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/af46/0f908bbd51388892ee7b45fa6a33ef343a4c.p](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/af46/0f908bbd51388892ee7b45fa6a33ef343a4c.pdf)
41 [df](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/af46/0f908bbd51388892ee7b45fa6a33ef343a4c.pdf). Accessed Jul. 23, 2017.
- 42 12. Jin, P., M. Cebelak, F. Yang, J. Zhang, C. Walton, and B. Ran. Location-Based
43 Social Networking Data. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the*
44 *Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2430, pp. 72–82.
45 <https://doi.org/10.3141/2430-08>.
- 46 13. Lee, J. H., S. Gao, K. Janowicz, and K.G. Goulias. Can Twitter data be used to
47 validate travel demand models? *14th International Conference on Travel*

- 1 *Behaviour Research*, Windsor, UK, July 19-23, 2015.
- 2 14. Chaniotakis, E., C. Antoniou, J.M.S. Grau, and L. Dimitriou. Can Social Media
3 data augment travel demand survey data? *IEEE 19th International Conference on*
4 *Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, 2016, pp. 1642–1647.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC.2016.7795778>.
- 6 15. Ribeiro, S.S., C.A. Davis, D.R.R. Oliveira, W. Meira, T.S. Gonçalves, and G.L.
7 Pappa. Traffic observatory. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on*
8 *Location-Based Social Networks - LBSN '12*. ACM Press, New York, USA, 2012.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2442796.2442800>.
- 10 16. Tian, Y., M. Zmud, Y. C. Chiu, D. Carey, J. Dale, D. Smarda, R. Lehr, and R.
11 James. Quality assessment of social media traffic reports – a field study in Austin,
12 Texas. In: *Transportation Research Board (TRB) 95th Annual Meeting*,
13 Washington D.C., USA, 2016.
- 14 17. Pereira, F.C., F. Rodrigues, E. Polisciuc, and M. Ben-Akiva. Why so many people?
15 Explaining Nonhabitual Transport Overcrowding with Internet Data. *IEEE*
16 *Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2015,
17 pp.1370–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2014.2368119>.
- 18 18. Teixeira, J., P. Fernandes, J. Bandeira, and M.C. Coelho. Information Management
19 for Smart and Sustainable Mobility. In: *Transportation Research Board (TRB) 96th*
20 *Annual Meeting*, Washington D.C., USA, 2017.
- 21 19. Downling, R., A. Skabardonis, and V. Alexiadis. *Traffic Analysis Toolbox Volume*
22 *III: Guidelines for Applying Traffic Microsimulation Modeling Software*.
23 Publication FHWA-HRT-04-040. FHWA, U.S. Department of Transportation,
24 2004.
- 25 20. Turner, S.M., W.L. Eisele, and R.J. Benz. *Travel Time Data Collection handbook*.
26 Report No. FHWA-PL-98-035. FHWA, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1998.
- 27 21. James, G., D. Witten, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani. *An Introduction to Statistical*
28 *Learning with Applications in R*, Springer, 2013.
29 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7138-7_1.
- 30 22. US EPA. *Evaporative Emissions from On-road Vehicles in MOVES2014*. Report
31 EPA-420-R-14014, US Environmental Protection Agency, Ann Arbor, MI, United
32 States, 2014.
- 33 23. Coelho, M.C., H.C. Frey, N.M. Roupail, H. Zhai, and L. Pelkmans. Assessing
34 methods for comparing emissions from gasoline and diesel light-duty vehicles
35 based on microscale measurements. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport*
36 *and Environment*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2009, pp. 91-99.
- 37 24. Emisia SA., *COPERT DATA*, 2014. Accessed Jul. 27, 2017.
- 38 25. Kephalopoulos, S., M. Paviotti, and F. Anfosso-Lédée. Common Noise Assessment
39 Methods in Europe (CNOSSOS-EU). *Publications Office of the European Union*,
40 Luxemburg, 2012, p. 180.