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We report on a comparative study of the exchange bias effect and magnetic properties of Ni-NiO nanoparticulate systems synthesized by the chemical reduction of NiCl₂ solution of two different molar concentrations: 1 M (high) and 0.05 M (low), followed by annealing of the dried precipitate in the temperature range 400–600 °C in air. Interestingly, the samples derived from the low molarity solution have higher Ni content and larger crystallite size than those prepared from their high molarity counterparts. These molarity dependent features subsequently modulate the magnitude of the exchange bias field in the samples, which is found to be absent or small in the 0.05 M series, but of moderate value in the 1 M samples. The different physical attributes of the particles derived from different concentrations of Ni-precursor solution are explained by invoking different nucleation kinetics and supersaturation degrees surrounding the viable growing nucleus. Furthermore, an observed increase of exchange bias with increasing annealing temperature, in contrast to the reported agglomeration of particles on annealing and subsequent reduction in bias magnitude, has been explained in correlation to the Ni-NiO interface density.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4894629]

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic nanoparticles (NPs) have been the subject of intensive research over the past two decades due to their rich physical properties and extensive prospects for electronic and magnetic device applications. The study of exchange biased NPs, in particular, has gained increasing interest because of their promising technological applications as magnetic recording media or as possible flux amplifiers for magnetic resonance settings.1 An archetypal exchange biased nanoparticulate system is composed of a ferromagnetic (FM) and an antiferromagnetic (AFM) component coupled to each other via exchange interactions at the FM AFM interface. After cooling down below the Neel temperature of the AFM in a field high enough to saturate the FM, the interfacial exchange interaction favours only one mutual orientation of the FM and AFM spins, rendering it harder for the FM spins to rotate in one direction of an applied magnetic field than in the opposite one.2 A unidirectional exchange anisotropy is thus induced by the AFM into the FM, manifested as a shift of the hysteresis loop along the field axis, generally in a direction opposite to the cooling field. The magnitude of the shift, $H_B$, is known as exchange bias (EB) field. Apart from technological applications, investigation of exchange anisotropy in systems of reduced dimensions is of much fundamental interest due to the complex dependence of the EB effect on various factors such as size of the FM and AFM components, spin configuration at the FM AFM interface, interface disorder, i.e., roughness/crystallinity at the interface, anisotropy of the AFM, cooling field,3 content of FM and AFM phases,3,4 etc. In case of chemically prepared fine particles, concentration of the reactants becomes an important parameter controlling EB since the content and size of the FM component is likely to be dependent on the reactant concentration.

Ni-NiO nanoparticulate systems have often been used for studies of exchange bias since the high Neel temperature of NiO (523 K) makes them suitable for such studies over a wide temperature range, 5–523 K. Tuning the EB in these systems by altering preparation parameters, or the synthesis method altogether, has been the main objective of these investigations. Interesting and varied results were obtained. For example, Nogués et al.5 reported EB in Ni-NiO nanocomposites prepared by ball milling pure NiO powders in H₂ atmosphere at room temperature. They obtained a room temperature EB value of 10 Oe. Del Bianco et al.6 studied the EB effect in Ni-NiO nanogranular samples prepared by mechanical milling of NiO in air followed by partial H₂ reduction at different temperatures. In all their samples, EB vanished at ~200 K. Johnston-Peck et al.7 reported structural and magnetic studies on Ni (core)/NiO (shell) nanoparticles of core diameter 8–24 nm and shell thickness 2–3 nm prepared by ligand chemistry and solution phase oxidation. They did not observe EB in any of their samples even at temperatures as low as 2.5 K. Roy et al.8 observed EB above the superparamagnetic blocking temperature of NiO in Ni-NiO nanoparticulate systems prepared by chemical reduction.

In this paper, we report on the role played by concentration of Ni-precursor solution in modulating the exchange bias and magnetic properties of Ni-NiO nanoparticulate systems.
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Two different concentrations of the precursor solution, namely, 0.05 M and 1 M have been used. It is found that samples prepared from the low concentration solution have large Ni content, big crystallite size, and display small EB in comparison to those obtained from their high concentration counterparts. The physical attributes of the former samples stem from the influence of the precursor solution concentration on the kinetics of nucleation and growth of the solid nanoparticles. Other features such as a vertical shift of the magnetization in field cooled M-H loops and an increase of exchange bias with increasing annealing temperature are also observed and explained in relation to the sample nanostructure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Fine particles of Ni were prepared by chemically reducing the nickel salt NiCl₂.6H₂O (nickel chloride hexahydrate, Sigma Aldrich, Germany) with sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, Alfa Aesar, Germany) as reducing agent. The volumes of NiCl₂ solution used were 2000 ml and 250 ml for the 0.05 M and 1 M samples, respectively. In both cases, the concentration of NaBH₄ solution was kept at 1 M. This was added dropwise at room temperature to the NiCl₂ solution, over a period of 2 h under constant magnetic stirring. An instantaneous exothermic reaction ensued with the formation of a black precipitate and evolution of H₂ gas. On completion of reaction, the precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with distilled water several times to remove all residual ions from the reaction mixture. It was then left for drying in an oven at 40°C for one week. The dried powder, called “as-prepared” sample, was annealed in air at different temperatures in the range 300–650°C at intervals of 50°C, for 1 h, to study the evolution of Ni and NiO phases. The annealed samples are denoted as S₃₀₀, S₅₅₀, S₆₅₀, etc., with the suffix indicating annealing temperature.

Crystalline structure and phase evolution of the annealed samples were studied by X-ray diffraction using a Rigaku Geigerflex DMax III/C X-ray diffractometer with filtered Cu Kα radiation of wavelength 1.5406 Å. Microstructure was studied with a Hitachi H9000 transmission electron microscope (TEM). The magneto-thermal behavior, i.e., the field-cooled (FC)/zero-field-cooled (ZFC) data was recorded in the temperature range 5–300 K with a vibrating sample magnetometer (Cryogenics, UK), and for some samples, with a SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 5–390 K. The exchange bias measurements were done with an EverCool MPMS SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design) at 5 K, upon cooling from 390 K (the highest accessible temperature) in a magnetic field of 50 kOe.

We mention here that for clarity of understanding, the annealing temperatures will be expressed in degree Celsius (°C) and the temperatures related to magnetic measurements, in Kelvin (K).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. X-ray diffraction and microstructure

Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) profiles of both series of samples annealed in the temperature range 400–600°C. All samples contain both Ni and NiO with the expected reduction of Ni content with air annealing. Samples annealed at 300, 350, and 650°C are not shown here since they do not contain both phases. Intense and sharp peaks of Ni are observed in the diffractograms of 0.05 M series, whereas broad and comparatively less intense peaks are evidenced in the 1 M series profiles. The intense Ni peaks in the former samples are indicative of high Ni content, while the sharpness of these peaks points to a large crystallite size of Ni. The NiO peaks appear much diminished, implying small content of this phase.

Crystallite size of Ni in the 0.05 M samples as calculated from XRD line broadening using the Scherrer approximation is on an average 16 nm, while for the 1 M series, the Ni and NiO sizes are 12.5 and 3.5 nm, respectively. The sizes do not change significantly upon annealing. We were unable to extract a reliable estimation of the crystallite size of NiO for samples 0.05 M S₄₀₀ S₅₅₀ since the much diminished peaks gave poor results on fitting a Lorentzian distribution function.
to them. However, for 0.05 M S\textsubscript{500}, a similar fit yielded 15 nm as the NiO crystallite size.

Figure 2 displays the TEM micrographs of a sample each from either series, namely, 0.05 M S\textsubscript{500} and 1 M S\textsubscript{500}. Dark regions against a light background are evidenced in the first micrograph, the former representing Ni and the latter signifying NiO. The particles seem to have a core-shell like morphology as highlighted in the inset with an approximate core size of 60 nm, though at certain places they appear agglomerated. Figure 2(b) shows the micrograph of sample 1 M S\textsubscript{400}. Much smaller particles of size of about 45 nm are observed.

The reason for obtaining sharp and intense peaks in the XRD profiles of the samples of the 0.05 M series may be explained considering the effect of the supersaturation degree of the Ni-precursor solution on the kinetics of nucleation and growth of particles precipitated from the solutions. A high supersaturation degree (1 M series) favours the formation of a large number of viable nuclei that will dispute the available ionic species for growing, resulting in the formation of particles having small sizes, and hence, a relatively high specific surface area. Oppositely, under a low supersaturation degree (0.05 M series), the number of nuclei formed is less, allowing the ionic species to grow around the nucleus without much obstruction. However, the ions have to travel over longer diffusion paths to be added to the growing nuclei, resulting in slower growing kinetics of the few nuclei. This imparts them (growing nuclei) ample time to organize into a well defined crystalline structure. This is to say that besides being smaller, the nanoparticles derived from the 1 M series are also expected to exhibit a more disordered crystalline structure in comparison to those of the 0.05 M series, as confirmed by XRD analysis.

The different Ni particle sizes resulting from different concentrations of starting Ni-precursor solution are then expected to result in Ni-NiO composite structures with dissimilar fractions of non-oxidized/oxidized matter upon annealing in air, since oxidation will be more efficient in the samples with higher surface to volume ratio. In other words, the concentration of the Ni-precursor solution is expected to affect the functional properties of the synthesised Ni-NiO nanoparticles.

B. Magnetic properties

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the magnetization in three representative samples, namely, 0.05 M S\textsubscript{500}, 0.05 M S\textsubscript{600}, and 1 M S\textsubscript{500}. The data were recorded...
following standard zero-field cooling and field cooling protocols under an applied magnetic field of 300 Oe from 5 K to 300 K (390 K for the 1 M series). For all samples, irreversibility in the FC and ZFC magnetization is observed right from 300 K (390 K for the 1 M S500 sample), the highest temperature of measurement. This (beyond) room temperature irreversibility has been attributed to the blocked state of the Ni particles considering the fact that real particulate systems invariably have a distribution in the size of their particles such that some large particles\textsuperscript{11} can always be present, which remain blocked at room temperature (RT, 300 K), giving rise to FC/ZFC irreversibility. The fraction of such large Ni particles is very high in the 0.05 M samples.

In addition to the RT irreversibility, some low temperature features are also observed. These include (i) a small peak at around 18 K in the 0.05 M samples, (ii) a well-defined peak at 129 K in 0.05 M S600, and (iii) a pronounced peak at 135 K in 1 M S500. The peaks in the vicinity of 130 K have been identified as the superparamagnetic (SPM) blocking temperature of the NiO phase in the samples.\textsuperscript{8} No such peak is observed in 0.05 M S500, reflecting once again the low NiO content in this sample in conformity with XRD results. It is worth mentioning here that whereas a peak corresponding to the blocking of NiO NPs is observed in the M-T plots of 1 M samples right from S450 (not shown here), a similar peak for the 0.05 M series appears only for sample S600, pointing out the difficulty of oxidizing the 0.05 M (larger) Ni particles in comparison to the (smaller) particles obtained from the 1 M solution.

Regarding the low temperature peak at 18 K in the ZFC magnetization of the 0.05 M samples, AC susceptibility measurements in the vicinity of this peak can shed light on its origin. Figure 4 displays the real component of AC susceptibility ($\chi'$) for 0.05 M S500 measured as a function of temperature, in the range 5-35 K, for different frequencies of the driving AC field. A very low frequency sensitivity of the peak at $\sim$20 K is evident, suggestive of some phase transition and ruling out any kind of particle blocking. In line with some of our earlier findings on Ni nanoparticles prepared by the borohydride reduction method,\textsuperscript{12} it is proposed that this peak reflects a Curie-like transition in oxygen-stabilized tetragonal Ni (t-Ni). Although the tetragonal phase of Ni is expected to get almost completely converted to fcc Ni on heat treating the as-prepared sample at and above 400 °C, small traces of this phase are possibly left in the 0.05 M samples, not clearly discernible by XRD but leaving its fingerprint on the more sensitive magneto-thermal behaviour. In contrast, sample 1 M S500 does not evidence any trace of t-Ni, either in XRD profile or in M-T plot as expected from its lower Ni content.

Figure 5 portrays the RT (300 K) M-H plots of samples S500 and S600 of both molarities. A near saturation of the magnetization is observed for 0.05 M S500, once again hinting at the existence of large Ni particles in the sample since the magnetization of large particles is more like the magnetization of a bulk material. For S600, however, a pronounced non-saturating component is observed in the M-H plot, corroborating the presence of a substantial NiO fraction with its typical linear AFM response. A similar non-saturating component of magnetization is observed in the M-H plots at RT (still below the Neel temperature of NiO) of not only S600 but all samples of the 1 M series, commensurate with their appreciable NiO (AFM) content. The fit in Figure 5(c) is discussed in Sec. III C.

### C. Exchange bias

Figure 6 displays the M-H plots at 5 K for samples S550 and S600 of both series, measured after cooling from 390 K, down to the measuring temperature in an applied magnetic field of 50 kOe. The left panel shows the complete loop, while an expanded view of each loop is displayed on the right one. The loops are shifted from the zero field position...
to the left, confirming the existence of exchange bias. Table I shows the percentage of Ni by weight, and the EB field, \( H_E \), at 5 K for both series of samples. The Ni weight % has been calculated from the saturation magnetization, \( M_S \), obtained from the fit of RT (300 K) loops to the equation

\[
M(H) = \frac{2M_S}{\pi} \tan \left[ \frac{H+H_C}{H_C} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}S\right) \right] + \chi H. \tag{1}
\]

The first term is customarily used to fit FM hysteresis loops while the second term accounts for a paramagnetic (PM) component, with \( H_C \), \( S \), and \( \chi \) representing respectively, the coercivity, squareness of the hysteresis loop and the paramagnetic susceptibility. Note that there is no EB at RT to affect the fitting to the above field-symmetric function. The absence of room temperature bias may stem from the fact that the field cooling of samples was done from 390 K (Ref. 14) and not from 523 K, the Neel temperature of NiO. A fit of Eq. (1) to the RT M-H plot of one of the samples, 1 M \( S_{500} \), and the separated FM and PM components is shown in Figure 5(c). Similar fits have been obtained for all samples.

The small magnitude of \( H_E \) in the samples is attributed to both the small anisotropy of NiO (\( K_{NiO} = 2.8 \times 10^6 \text{erg/cm}^3 \)) and the reduced FM AFM interface area. Existence of large particles in a size distribution reduces the FM AFM interface density. As uncompensated spins housed at the interface are the ones responsible for EB, a reduction in their number due to reduced interface area, results in a concomitant reduction of EB in the system. An inspection of the \( H_E \) values also shows that for all annealing temperatures, the 0.05 M samples display considerably less bias as compared to their 1 M counterparts, indicating their comparatively low Ni-NiO interface area.

A second observation from Table I is the increase of \( H_E \) with annealing temperature. This is in contrast to reported behavior, where with increasing heat treatment temperature, agglomeration of particles is observed to cause reduction in the magnitude of EB. However, the reverse observation in our samples may stem from the increase in NiO content and, hence, of the Ni-NiO interface area. This is further ratified by Figure 7, which shows a plot of \( H_E \) as a function of the reciprocal of \((f_{Ni})^{1/3}\), where \( f_{Ni} \) is the volume fraction of Ni. All, except one, data points, be they from the 0.05 M series or 1 M series fall well within a linear trend, emphasizing that the relevant parameter controlling EB is the Ni/NiO ratio and thus the Ni particle size, no matter where the sample comes from.

FIG. 6. M-H loops of selected samples measured at 5 K, after cooling from 390 K in a field of 50 kOe. Coercivities of the left and right branches of the loops are marked on each loop.

FIG. 7. Exchange bias field, \( H_E \), as a function of the reciprocal of \((f_{Ni})^{1/3}\), where \( f_{Ni} \) is the volume fraction of Ni. The graph reflects the 1/d FM dependence of \( H_E \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( M_S ) (emu/g)</th>
<th>Ni fraction % w</th>
<th>( H_E ) (Oe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S_{400} )</td>
<td>18.61</td>
<td>34.21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{450} )</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>28.08</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{500} )</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{550} )</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_{600} )</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I.** Percentage by weight of Ni in the samples and exchange bias field “\( H_E \)” at 5 K. The \( M_S \) values shown are at 300 K, obtained from the fit of RT loops to Eq. (1).
This linear dependence of the bias field on \(1/(f_{Ni})^{1/3}\) reflects the basic EB rule (see Ref. 19): \(H_E \propto \frac{1}{f_{Ni}}\), stemming from the interface nature of exchange coupling, \(d_{FM}\) being the size of a FM region. The only data point falling way out of the trend is that for 1 M S600, which suggests that, besides size effects, the interface microstructure is different (more disordered) in this most oxidized sample of the two series.

Coming back to Figure 6, other features observed apart from horizontal loop shifts are: (i) the vertical shift of the hysteresis loop, i.e., a shift of the M-H loop along the magnetization axis, for samples 0.05 M S600, 1 M S600, and 1 M S600, and (ii) an increasing coercivity, \(H_C\), with increasing bias field, \(H_E\), for all samples. As regards the first feature, the loops are shifted upwards by 2.87, 2.6, and 3.87%, respectively, of their saturation magnetization at 5 K.\(^{20}\) The magnitude and direction of the magnetization shift depend strongly on the cooling field, microstructure of the AFM, and the nature of the coupling (FM or AFM) at the interface.\(^{21}\) A positive magnetization shift (e.g., along the cooling field direction) as in the investigated samples implies that some of the spins are fixed or frozen in the direction of the cooling field and cannot be reversed by any field. These frozen spins at the origin of the vertical shift are typically uncompensated AFM spins at the FM-AFM interface, i.e., the very same spins giving rise to exchange bias. Our results roughly agree (given the concurrent change in particle size) with the expected correlation between exchange bias and vertical shift the samples with the highest \(H_E\) also display the highest vertical shift.

The second feature observed in Figure 6, namely, higher \(H_C\) in samples with higher \(H_E\), (considering, for example, the 1 M samples) is yet another fingerprint of exchange coupling. It is well known that the coercivity of monodomain FM particles decreases with decreasing particle size (in our case, upon increasing oxidation).\(^{22}\) Given the fact that the size of the Ni region in the 1 M series is always below the critical size for the multi-to-monodomain crossover (55 nm), their progressive oxidation should result in a reduction of coercivity. The observation of the opposite trend, however, (\(H_C\) increases from 751 Oe in sample 1 M S550 to 1310 Oe in S600) emphasizes that the coercivity of the Ni regions is governed by the exchange coupling with NiO, which completely over runs size effects.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have studied the exchange bias effect in Ni-NiO nanoparticulate systems prepared by the borohydride reduction of Ni-precursor solution of two different molar concentrations. Remarkably, the low concentration solution results in samples having high Ni content and larger Ni particles in comparison to samples with relatively low Ni content and small Ni particles obtained from the higher concentration solution. This is explained on the basis of the effect of the supersaturation degree of the Ni-precursor solutions on the kinetics of nucleation and growing process of precipitated particles. The different particle sizes derived from the different concentrations of starting Ni-precursor solution lead to the formation of Ni-NiO core-shell like structures with dissimilar fractions of non-oxidized/oxidized matter upon annealing in air, affecting their functional properties. Accordingly, the EB values exhibited by the 0.05 M series of samples are of small magnitude as compared to 1 M series. Thus, a variation in the concentration of Ni-precursor solution provides a simple way of tuning exchange bias in Ni-NiO nanoparticles synthesized via this chemical route.
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\(^{11}\)For Ni NPs to remain blocked at \(T_B\) = 300 K, their size as estimated from the equation \(K_{Ni} \times V = 25 K_{Ni} T_B\) should be \(\geq 34\) nm [Ref. 5]. Here, \(V\) is the volume of the particle; \(K_{Ni}\) is the Boltzmann constant; \(K_{Ni} = 5 \times 10^4\) erg/cm$^3$ is the anisotropy of Ni, \(T_B\) is the blocking temperature.
\(^{14}\)Exchange bias values of 6 10 Oe were obtained at 300 K [Ref. 8] for samples measured with a vibrating sample magnetometer having a maximum attainable temperature of 490 K. This allowed the field cooling of samples from 490 K close to 523 K which was instrumental in producing the above exchange bias fields at 300 K.
\(^{19}\)The volume fraction of Ni, \(f_{Ni}\), is expressed as: \(f_{Ni} = \frac{V_{Ni}}{V_{Ni} + V_{NiO}}\), where \(V_{Ni}\) (\(V_{NiO}\)) is the volume of an average Ni (NiO) region and \(N\) (\(N\)) is the number of such regions. \(V_{Ni}\) will be proportional to \(f_{Ni}\) if both \(i\) the number of Ni regions and \(ii\), the total volume, \(V_T\), remain constant. The first condition is fulfilled in a process of “progressive oxidation” as a means to vary the Ni concentration (as in the present investigation), which will mainly reduce the size of the non oxidized Ni particles (\(V_{Ni}\)) but not their number (\(N\)). As for the sample total volume, \(V_T\), it will always increase due to the incorporation of oxygen (i.e., due to the lower density of NiO compared to Ni). However, for low Ni concentrations (which is the case for the investigated samples), high relative variations in the volume of metallic Ni, \(V_{Ni}\), can be achieved with relatively little addition of oxygen; therefore, the variations of \(V_T\) can be neglected. Thus, for our samples, we can write \(f_{Ni} \propto V_{Ni} \propto d_{Ni}^3\), where \(d_{Ni}\) is the typical diameter of a Ni region,
whereby plotting as a function of $1/(f_{Ni})^{1/3}$ is expected to be equivalent to plotting as $1/dFM$.

The percentage of this vertical shift has been estimated from the formula

$$\left(\frac{M}{M_s\text{avg}}\right) \times 100,$$

where $M_s^+$ is the positive saturation and $M_s\text{avg}$ is the average of the positive and negative saturations. $M_s^+$ and $M_s^{-}$ have been obtained as fitting parameters (intercepts on the magnetization axis) of linear fits to the high field magnetization values of the loops.
