

Constrained fractional variational problems of variable order

Dina Tavares^{a,b}
dtavares@ipleiria.pt

Ricardo Almeida^b
ricardo.almeida@ua.pt

Delfim F. M. Torres^b
delfim@ua.pt

^aESECS, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, 2410–272 Leiria, Portugal

^bCenter for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA)
Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810–193 Aveiro, Portugal

Abstract

Isoperimetric problems consist in minimizing or maximizing a cost functional subject to an integral constraint. In this work, we present two fractional isoperimetric problems where the Lagrangian depends on a combined Caputo derivative of variable fractional order and we present a new variational problem subject to a holonomic constraint. We establish necessary optimality conditions in order to determine the minimizers of the fractional problems. The terminal point in the cost integral, as well the terminal state, are considered to be free, and we obtain corresponding natural boundary conditions.

Keywords: isoperimetric constraints; holonomic constraints; optimization; fractional calculus; variable fractional order; fractional calculus of variations.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 26A33; 34A08; 49K05.

1 Introduction

Many real world phenomena are better described by noninteger order derivatives. In fact, fractional derivatives have unique characteristics that may model certain dynamics more efficiently. To start, we can consider any real order for the derivatives, and thus we are not restricted to integer-order derivatives only. Secondly, they are nonlocal operators, in opposite to the usual derivatives, containing memory. With the memory property one can take into account the past of the processes. This subject, called *Fractional Calculus*, although as old as ordinary calculus itself, only recently has found numerous applications in mathematics, physics, mechanics, biology and engineering. The order of the derivative is assumed to be fixed along the process, that is, when determining what is the order $\alpha > 0$ such that the solution of the fractional differential equation $D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t))$ better approaches the experimental data, we consider the order to be a fixed constant. Of course, this may not be the best option, since trajectories are a dynamic process, and the order may vary. So, the natural solution to this problem is to consider the order to be a function, $\alpha(\cdot)$, depending on time. Then we may seek what is the best function $\alpha(\cdot)$ such that the variable order fractional differential equation $D^{\alpha(\cdot)} y(t) = f(t, y(t))$ better describes the model. This approach is very recent, and many work has to be done for a complete study of the subject (see, e.g., [2, 3, 13, 14, 17]).

The most common fractional operators considered in the literature take into account the past of the process: they are usually called left fractional operators. But in some cases we may be also interested in the future of the process, and the computation of $\alpha(\cdot)$ to be influenced by it. In that case, right fractional derivatives are then considered. Our goal is to develop a theory where both fractional operators are taken into account, and for that we define a combined fractional variable order derivative operator that is a linear combination of the left and right fractional derivatives. For studies with fixed fractional order see [6, 7, 10].

Variational problems are often subject to one or more constraints. For example, isoperimetric problems are optimization problems where the admissible functions are subject to integral constraints. This direction of research has been recently investigated in [15], where variational problems with dependence on a combined Caputo derivative of variable fractional order are considered and necessary optimality conditions deduced. Here variational problems are considered subject to integral or holonomic constraints.

The text is organized in four sections. In Section 2 we review some important definitions and results about combined Caputo derivative of variable fractional order, and present some properties that will be need in the sequel. For more on the subject we refer the interested reader to [11, 12, 13]. In Section 3 we present two different isoperimetric problems and we study necessary optimality conditions in order to determine the minimizers for each of the problems. We end Section 3 with an example. In Section 4 we consider a new variational problem subject to a holonomic constraint.

2 Fractional calculus of variable order

In this section we collect definitions and preliminary results on fractional calculus, with variable fractional order, needed in the sequel. The variational fractional order is a continuous function of two variables, $\alpha : [a, b]^2 \rightarrow (0, 1)$. Let $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Two different types of fractional derivatives are considered.

Definition 1 (Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives). The left and right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives of order $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined respectively by

$${}_a D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t, \tau))} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha(t, \tau)} x(\tau) d\tau$$

and

$${}_t D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_t^b \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(\tau, t))} (\tau - t)^{-\alpha(\tau, t)} x(\tau) d\tau.$$

Definition 2 (Caputo fractional derivative). The left and right Caputo fractional derivatives of order $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined respectively by

$${}_a^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \int_a^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t, \tau))} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha(t, \tau)} x^{(1)}(\tau) d\tau$$

and

$${}_t^C D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \int_t^b \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(\tau, t))} (\tau - t)^{-\alpha(\tau, t)} x^{(1)}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Of course the fractional derivatives just defined are linear operators. The next step is to define a new fractional derivative, combining the previous ones into a single one.

Definition 3. Let $\alpha, \beta : [a, b]^2 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be the fractional orders, and define the constant vector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in [0, 1]^2$. The combined Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of a function x is defined by

$$D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \gamma_1 {}_a D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) + \gamma_2 {}_t D_b^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t).$$

The combined Caputo fractional derivative of a functions x is defined by

$${}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \gamma_1 {}_a^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) + \gamma_2 {}_t^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t).$$

For the sequel, we also need the generalization of fractional integrals for a variable order.

Definition 4 (Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals). The left and right Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals of order $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined respectively by

$${}_a I_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \int_a^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(t, \tau))} (t - \tau)^{\alpha(t, \tau) - 1} x(\tau) d\tau$$

and

$${}_t I_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) = \int_t^b \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha(\tau, t))} (\tau - t)^{\alpha(\tau, t) - 1} x(\tau) d\tau.$$

We remark that in contrast to the fixed fractional order case, variable-order fractional integrals are not the inverse operation of the variable-order fractional derivatives.

For the next section, we need the following fractional integration by parts formulas.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 3.2 of [12]). *If $x, y \in C^1[a, b]$, then*

$$\int_a^b y(t) {}_a^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) dt = \int_a^b x(t) {}_t D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} y(t) dt + \left[x(t) {}_t I_b^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} y(t) \right]_{t=a}^{t=b}$$

and

$$\int_a^b y(t) {}_t^C D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) dt = \int_a^b x(t) {}_a D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} y(t) dt - \left[x(t) {}_a I_t^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} y(t) \right]_{t=a}^{t=b}.$$

3 Fractional isoperimetric problems

Consider the set

$$D = \left\{ (x, t) \in C^1([a, b]) \times [a, b] : {}^C D_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) \text{ exists and is continuous on } [a, b] \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(x, t)\| := \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |x(t)| + \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left| {}^C D_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) \right| + |t|.$$

Throughout the text, we denote by $\partial_i z$ the partial derivative of a function $z : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to its i th argument. Also, for simplification, we consider the operator

$$[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) := \left(t, x(t), {}^C D_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) \right).$$

The main problem of the fractional calculus of variations with variable order is described as follows. Let $L : C^1([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider the functional $\mathcal{J} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{J}(x, T) = \int_a^T L[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt + \phi(T, x(T)), \quad (1)$$

where $\phi : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 . In the sequel, we need the auxiliary notation of the dual fractional derivative:

$$D_{\bar{\gamma}, c}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} = \gamma_2 {}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} + \gamma_1 {}_t D_c^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)}, \quad \text{where } \bar{\gamma} = (\gamma_2, \gamma_1) \quad \text{and } c \in (a, b). \quad (2)$$

Remark 1. Fractional derivatives (2) can be regarded as a generalization of usual fractional derivatives. For advantages of applying them to fractional variational problems see [4, 8, 10].

In [15] we obtained necessary conditions that every local minimizer of functional \mathcal{J} must fulfill.

Theorem 3.1 (See [15]). *If $(x, T) \in D$ is a local minimizer of functional (1), then (x, T) satisfies the fractional differential equation*

$$\partial_2 L[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0$$

on $[a, T]$ and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) = 0$$

on $[T, b]$.

Remark 2. In general, analytical solutions to fractional variational problems are hard to find. For this reason, numerical methods are often used. The reader interested in this subject is referred to [1, 16] and references therein.

Fractional differential equations as the ones given by Theorem 3.1, are known in the literature as fractional Euler–Lagrange equations, and they provide us with a method to determine the candidates for solutions of the problem addressed. Solutions of such fractional differential equations are called extremals for the functional. In this paper, we proceed the study initiated in [15] by considering additional constraints to the problems. We will deal with two types of isoperimetric problems, which we now describe.

3.1 Problem I

The fractional isoperimetric problem of the calculus of variations consists to determine the local minimizers of \mathcal{J} over all $(x, T) \in D$ satisfying a boundary condition

$$x(a) = x_a \quad (3)$$

for a fixed $x_a \in \mathbb{R}$ and an integral constraint of the form

$$\int_a^T g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt = \psi(T), \quad (4)$$

where $g : C^1([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two differentiable functions. The terminal time T and terminal state $x(T)$ are free. In this problem, the condition of the form (4) is called an isoperimetric constraint. The next theorem gives fractional necessary optimality conditions to this isoperimetric problem.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that (x, T) gives a local minimum for functional (1) on D subject to the boundary condition (3) and the isoperimetric constraint (4). If (x, T) does not satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equations with respect to the isoperimetric constraint, that is, if one of the two following conditions are not verified,*

$$\partial_2 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (5)$$

or

$$\gamma_2 \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right] = 0, \quad t \in [T, b], \quad (6)$$

then there exists a constant λ such that, if we define the function $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F = L - \lambda g$, (x, T) satisfies the fractional Euler–Lagrange equations

$$\partial_2 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0 \quad (7)$$

on the interval $[a, T]$ and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) = 0 \quad (8)$$

on the interval $[T, b]$. Moreover, (x, T) satisfies the transversality conditions

$$\begin{cases} F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) x'(T) + \lambda \psi'(T) = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_2 \left[{}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=b} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Proof. Consider variations of the optimal solution (x, T) of the type

$$(x^*, T^*) = (x + \epsilon_1 h_1 + \epsilon_2 h_2, T + \epsilon_1 \Delta T), \quad (10)$$

where, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is a small parameter, $h_i \in C^1([a, b])$ satisfies $h_i(a) = 0$, and $\Delta T \in \mathbb{R}$. The additional term $\epsilon_2 h_2$ must be selected so that the admissible variations (x^*, T^*) satisfy the isoperimetric constraint (4). For a fixed choice of h_i , let

$$i(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \int_a^{T+\epsilon_1 \Delta T} g[x^*]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt - \psi(T + \epsilon_1 \Delta T).$$

For $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0$, we obtain that

$$i(0, 0) = \int_a^T g[x^*]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt - \psi(T) = \psi(T) - \psi(T) = 0.$$

The derivative $\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} = \int_a^{T+\epsilon_1 \Delta T} \left(\partial_2 g[x^*]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) h_2(t) + \partial_3 g[x^*]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) \right) dt.$$

For $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0$ one has

$$\left. \frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \right|_{(0,0)} = \int_a^T \left(\partial_2 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) h_2(t) + \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) \right) dt. \quad (11)$$

The second term in (11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^T \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^T \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \left[\gamma_1 {}^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) + \gamma_2 {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) \right] dt \\ &= \gamma_1 \int_a^T \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) dt \\ & \quad + \gamma_2 \left[\int_a^b \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) dt - \int_T^b \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t) dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using the fractional integrating by parts formula, (12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^T h_2(t) \left[\gamma_1 {}_t D_T^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \gamma_2 {}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_T^b \gamma_2 h_2(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right] dt \\ & + \left[h_2(t) \left(\gamma_1 I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=T} \\ & + \left[\gamma_2 h_2(t) \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these relations into (11), and considering the fractional operator $D_{\bar{\gamma}, c}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)}$ as defined

in (2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \right|_{(0,0)} &= \int_a^T h_2(t) \left[\partial_2 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&+ \int_T^b \gamma_2 h_2(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&+ \left[h_2(t) \left(\gamma_{1t} I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_{2T} I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=T} \\
&+ \left[\gamma_2 h_2(t) \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since (5) or (6) fails, there exists a function h_2 such that

$$\left. \frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \right|_{(0,0)} \neq 0.$$

In fact, if not, from the arbitrariness of the function h_2 and the fundamental lemma of the calculus of the variations, (5) and (6) would be verified. Thus, we may apply the implicit function theorem, that ensures the existence of a function $\epsilon_2(\cdot)$, defined in a neighborhood of zero, such that $i(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2(\epsilon_1)) = 0$. In conclusion, there exists a subfamily of variations of the form (10) that verifies the integral constraint (4). We now seek to prove the main result. For that purpose, consider the auxiliary function $j(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \mathcal{J}(x^*, T^*)$. By hypothesis, function j attains a local minimum at $(0, 0)$ when subject to the constraint $i(\cdot, \cdot) = 0$, and we proved before that $\nabla i(0, 0) \neq 0$. Applying the Lagrange multiplier rule, we ensure the existence of a number λ such that

$$\nabla (j(0, 0) - \lambda i(0, 0)) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\left. \frac{\partial (j - \lambda i)}{\partial \epsilon_1} \right|_{(0,0)} = 0. \tag{13}$$

Let $F = L - \lambda g$. The relation (13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_a^T h_1(t) \left[\partial_2 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&+ \int_T^b \gamma_2 h_1(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&+ h_1(T) \left[\gamma_{1t} I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_{2T} I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\
&+ \Delta T \left[F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_1 \phi(t, x(t)) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) x'(t) + \lambda \psi'(t) \right]_{t=T} \\
&+ h_1(b) \gamma_2 \left[{}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right]_{t=b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As h_1 and ΔT are arbitrary, we can choose $\Delta T = 0$ and $h_1(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [T, b]$. But h_1 is arbitrary in $t \in [a, T)$. Then, we obtain the first necessary condition (7):

$$\partial_2 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, T].$$

Analogously, considering $\Delta T = 0$ and $h_1(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [a, T] \cup \{b\}$, and h_1 arbitrary on (T, b) , we obtain the second necessary condition (8):

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [T, b].$$

As (x, T) is a solution to the necessary conditions (7) and (8), then equation (14) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & h_1(T) \left[\gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\ & + \Delta T \left[F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \partial_1 \phi(t, x(t)) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) x'(t) + \lambda \psi'(t) \right]_{t=T} \\ & + h_1(b) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Transversality conditions (9) are obtained for appropriate choices of variations. \square

In the next theorem, considering the same Problem I, we rewrite the transversality conditions (9) in terms of the increment on time ΔT and on the increment of space Δx_T given by

$$\Delta x_T = (x + h_1)(T + \Delta T) - x(T). \quad (16)$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let (x, T) be a local minimizer to the functional (1) on D subject to the boundary condition (3) and the isoperimetric constraint (4). Then (x, T) satisfies the transversality conditions*

$$\begin{cases} F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) + \lambda \psi'(T) \\ \quad + x'(T) \left[\gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=T} = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0, \\ \gamma_2 \left[{}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=b} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Proof. Suppose (x^*, T^*) is an admissible variation of the form (10) with $\epsilon_1 = 1$ and $\epsilon_2 = 0$. Using Taylor's expansion up to first order for a small ΔT , and restricting the set of variations to those for which $h'_1(T) = 0$, we obtain the increment Δx_T on x :

$$(x + h_1)(T + \Delta T) = (x + h_1)(T) + x'(T)\Delta T + O(\Delta T)^2.$$

Relation (16) allows us to express $h_1(T)$ in terms of ΔT and Δx_T :

$$h_1(T) = \Delta x_T - x'(T)\Delta T + O(\Delta T)^2.$$

Substitution this expression into (15), and using appropriate choices of variations, we obtain the new transversality conditions (17). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that (x, T) gives a local minimum for functional (1) on D subject to the boundary condition (3) and the isoperimetric constraint (4). Then, there exists $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \neq (0, 0)$ such that, if we define the function $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F = \lambda_0 L - \lambda g$, (x, T) satisfies the following fractional Euler-Lagrange equations:*

$$\partial_2 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0$$

on the interval $[a, T]$, and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) = 0$$

on the interval $[T, b]$.

Proof. If (x, T) does not verifies (5) or (6), then the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied and we prove Theorem 3.4 considering $\lambda_0 = 1$. If (x, T) verifies (5) and (6), then we prove the result by considering $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$. \square

3.2 Problem II

We now consider a new isoperimetric type problem with the isoperimetric constraint of form

$$\int_a^b g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) dt = C, \quad (18)$$

where C is a given real number.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that (x, T) gives a local minimum for functional (1) on D subject to the boundary condition (3) and the isoperimetric constraint (18). If (x, T) does not satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation with respect to the isoperimetric constraint, that is, the condition*

$$\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},b}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

is not satisfied, then there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that, if we define the function $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F = L - \lambda g$, (x, T) satisfies the fractional Euler–Lagrange equations

$$\partial_2 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \lambda D_{\bar{\gamma},b}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \quad (19)$$

on the interval $[a, T]$, and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) - \lambda \left(\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \gamma_{1t} D_b^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \quad (20)$$

on the interval $[T, b]$. Moreover, (x, T) satisfies the transversality conditions

$$\begin{cases} L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) x'(T) = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_{1t} {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_{2T} {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} = 0 \\ \left[-\lambda \gamma_{1t} {}_t I_b^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Proof. Similarly as done to prove Theorem 3.2, let

$$(x^*, T^*) = (x + \epsilon_1 h_1 + \epsilon_2 h_2, T + \epsilon_1 \Delta T)$$

be a variation of the solution, and define

$$i(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \int_a^b g[x^*]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) dt - C.$$

The derivative $\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2}$, when $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0$, is

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \Big|_{(0,0)} = \int_a^b \left(\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) h_2(t) + \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) {}^C D_{\gamma}^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot),\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} h_2(t) \right) dt.$$

Integrating by parts and choosing variations such that $h_2(b) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \Big|_{(0,0)} = \int_a^b h_2(t) \left[\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},b}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt.$$

Thus, there exists a function h_2 such that

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \epsilon_2} \Big|_{(0,0)} \neq 0.$$

We may apply the implicit function theorem to conclude that there exists a subfamily of variations satisfying the integral constraint. Consider the new function $j(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \mathcal{J}(x^*, T^*)$. Since j has a local minimum at $(0, 0)$ when subject to the constraint $i(\cdot, \cdot) = 0$ and $\nabla i(0, 0) \neq 0$, there exists a number λ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_1} (j - \lambda i)(0, 0) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Let $F = L - \lambda g$. Relation (22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \int_a^T h_1(t) \left[\partial_2 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \lambda D_{\bar{\gamma}, b}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right] dt \\ & + \int_T^b h_1(t) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda \left(\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \gamma_{1t} D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right] dt \\ & + h_1(T) \left[\gamma_{1t} I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\ & + \Delta T \left[L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \partial_1 \phi(t, x(t)) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) x'(t) \right]_{t=T} \\ & + h_1(b) \left[-\lambda \gamma_{1t} I_b^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering appropriate choices of variations, we obtain the first (19) and the second (20) necessary optimality conditions, and also the transversality conditions (21). \square

Similarly to Theorem 3.4, the following result holds.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that (x, T) gives a local minimum for functional (1) on D subject to the boundary condition (3) and the isoperimetric constraint (18). Then there exists $(\lambda_0, \lambda) \neq (0, 0)$ such that, if we define the function $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F = \lambda_0 L - \lambda g$, (x, T) satisfies the fractional Euler-Lagrange equations*

$$\partial_2 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma}, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \lambda D_{\bar{\gamma}, b}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = 0$$

on the interval $[a, T]$, and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 F[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) - \lambda \left(\partial_2 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \gamma_{1t} D_b^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_3 g[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right) = 0$$

on the interval $[T, b]$.

3.3 An example

Let $\alpha(t, \tau) = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t, \tau) = \beta(\tau)$. Define the function

$$\psi(T) = \int_0^T \left(\frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} + \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))} \right)^2 dt$$

on the interval $[0, b]$ with $b > 0$. Consider the functional J defined by

$$J(x, t) = \int_0^T \left[\alpha(t) + \left({}^C D_{\gamma}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} x(t) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} + \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))} \right)^2 \right] dt$$

for $t \in [0, b]$ and $\gamma = (1/2, 1/2)$, subject to the initial condition

$$x(0) = 0$$

and the isoperimetric constraint

$$\int_0^T {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x(t) \left(\frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} + \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))} \right)^2 dt = \psi(T).$$

Define $F = L - \lambda g$ with $\lambda = 2$, that is,

$$F = \alpha(t) + \left({}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x(t) - \frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} - \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))} \right)^2.$$

Consider the function $\bar{x}(t) = t$ with $t \in [0, b]$. Because

$${}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} \bar{x}(t) = \frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} + \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))},$$

we have that \bar{x} satisfies conditions (7), (8) and the two last of (9). Using the first condition of (9), that is,

$$\alpha(t) + 2 \left(\frac{T^{1-\alpha(T)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(T))} + \frac{(b-T)^{1-\beta(T)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(T))} \right)^2 = 0,$$

we obtain the optimal time T .

4 Holonomic constraints

Consider the space

$$U = \{(x_1, x_2, T) \in C^1([a, b]) \times C^1([a, b]) \times [a, b] : x_1(a) = x_{1a} \wedge x_2(a) = x_{2a}\} \quad (23)$$

for fixed reals $x_{1a}, x_{2a} \in \mathbb{R}$. In this section we consider the functional \mathcal{J} defined in U by

$$\mathcal{J}(x_1, x_2, T) = \int_a^T L(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x_1(t), {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x_2(t)) dt + \phi(T, x_1(T), x_2(T)) \quad (24)$$

with terminal time T and terminal states $x_1(T)$ and $x_2(T)$ free. The Lagrangian $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and continuously differentiable with respect to the its i th argument, $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. To define the variational problem, we consider a new constraint of the form

$$g(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (25)$$

where $g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and continuously differentiable with respect to second and third arguments. This constraint is called a holonomic constraint. The next theorem gives fractional necessary optimality conditions to the variational problem with a holonomic constraint. To simplify the notation, we denote by x the vector (x_1, x_2) ; by ${}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x$ the vector $({}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x_1, {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x_2)$; and we use the operator

$$[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) := \left(t, x(t), {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)} x(t) \right).$$

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that (x, T) gives a local minimum to functional \mathcal{J} as in (24), under the constraint (25) and the boundary conditions defined in (23). If*

$$\partial_3 g(t, x(t)) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

then there exists a piecewise continuous function $\lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that (x, T) satisfies the following fractional Euler–Lagrange equations:

$$\partial_2 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\gamma, T}^{\beta(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_2 g(t, x(t)) = 0 \quad (26)$$

and

$$\partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + D_{\gamma, T}^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot), \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_3 g(t, x(t)) = 0 \quad (27)$$

on the interval $[a, T]$, and

$$\gamma_2 \left({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_2 g(t, x(t)) \right) = 0 \quad (28)$$

and

$${}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_3 g(t, x(t)) = 0 \quad (29)$$

on the interval $[T, b]$. Moreover, (x, T) satisfies the transversality conditions

$$\begin{cases} L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) x'_1(T) + \partial_3 \phi(T, x(T)) x'_2(T) = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0, \\ \left[\gamma_1 {}_t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - \gamma_2 {}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=T} + \partial_3 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0, \\ \gamma_2 \left[{}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=b} = 0 \\ \gamma_2 \left[{}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot, \cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) \right]_{t=b} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Proof. Consider admissible variations of the optimal solution (x, T) of the type

$$(x^*, T^*) = (x + \epsilon h, T + \epsilon \Delta T),$$

where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is a small parameter, $h = (h_1, h_2) \in C^1([a, b]) \times C^1([a, b])$ satisfies $h_i(a) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\Delta T \in \mathbb{R}$. Because

$$\partial_3 g(t, x(t)) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

by the implicit function theorem there exists a subfamily of variations of (x, T) that satisfy (25), that is, there exists a unique function $h_2(\epsilon, h_1)$ such that the admissible variation (x^*, T^*) satisfies the holonomic constraint (25):

$$g(t, x_1(t) + \epsilon h_1(t), x_2(t) + \epsilon h_2) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Differentiating this condition with respect to ϵ and considering $\epsilon = 0$, we obtain that

$$\partial_2 g(t, x(t)) h_1(t) + \partial_3 g(t, x(t)) h_2(t) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial_2 g(t, x(t)) h_1(t)}{\partial_3 g(t, x(t))} = -h_2(t). \quad (31)$$

Define j on a neighbourhood of zero by

$$j(\epsilon) = \int_a^{T+\epsilon \Delta T} L[x^*]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt + \phi(T + \epsilon \Delta T, x^*(T + \epsilon \Delta T)).$$

The derivative $\frac{\partial j}{\partial \epsilon}$ for $\epsilon = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial j}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} &= \int_a^T (\partial_2 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) h_1(t) + \partial_3 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) h_2(t) \\ &\quad + \partial_4 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_{\gamma}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_1(t) + \partial_5 L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(t) {}^C D_{\gamma}^{\alpha(\cdot, \cdot), \beta(\cdot, \cdot)} h_2(t)) dt \\ &\quad + L[x]_{\gamma}^{\alpha, \beta}(T) \Delta T + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) \Delta T + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) [h_1(T) + x'_1(T) \Delta T] \\ &\quad + \partial_3 \phi(T, x(T)) [h_2(T) + x'_2(T) \Delta T]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The third term in (32) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^T \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) {}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot),\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) dt \\
&= \int_a^T \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \left[\gamma_1 {}^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) + \gamma_2 {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) \right] dt \\
&= \gamma_1 \int_a^T \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) {}^C D_t^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) dt \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 \left[\int_a^b \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) dt - \int_T^b \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) {}^C D_b^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} h_1(t) dt \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Integrating by parts, (33) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^T h_1(t) \left[\gamma_1 t D_T^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \gamma_2 {}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&\quad + \int_T^b \gamma_2 h_1(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] dt \\
&\quad + \left[h_1(t) \left(\gamma_1 t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_2 T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=T} \\
&\quad + \left[\gamma_2 h_1(t) \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}.
\end{aligned}$$

By proceeding similarly to the 4th term in (32), we obtain an equivalent expression. Substituting these relations into (32) and considering the fractional operator $D_{\bar{\gamma},c}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)}$ as defined in (2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_a^T \left[h_1(t) \left[\partial_2 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + h_2(t) \left[\partial_3 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] \right] dt \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 \int_T^b \left[h_1(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + h_2(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right] \right] dt \\
&\quad + h_1(T) \left[\gamma_1 t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_2 T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\
&\quad + h_2(T) \left[\gamma_1 t I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_2 T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_3 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\
&\quad + \Delta T \left[L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_1 \phi(t, x(t)) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) x'_1(t) + \partial_3 \phi(t, x(t)) x'_2(t) \right]_{t=T} \\
&\quad + h_1(b) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b} \\
&\quad + h_2(b) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Define the piecewise continuous function λ by

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial_3 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t)}{\partial_3 g(t, x(t))}, & t \in [a, T] \\ -\frac{{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t)}{\partial_3 g(t, x(t))}, & t \in [T, b]. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Using equations (31) and (35), we obtain that

$$\lambda(t) \partial_2 g(t, x(t)) h_1(t) = \begin{cases} (\partial_3 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t)) h_2(t), & t \in [a, T] \\ ({}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t)) h_2(t), & t \in [T, b]. \end{cases}$$

Substituting in (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^T h_1(t) \left[\partial_2 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + D_{\bar{\gamma},T}^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot),\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_2 g(t, x(t)) \right] dt \\ &+ \gamma_2 \int_T^b h_1(t) \left[{}_a D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_T D_t^{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \lambda(t) \partial_2 g(t, x(t)) \right] dt \\ &+ h_1(T) \left[\gamma_{1t} I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_{2T} I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\ &+ h_2(T) \left[\gamma_{1t} I_T^{1-\alpha(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - \gamma_{2T} I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_3 \phi(t, x(t)) \right]_{t=T} \\ &+ \Delta T \left[L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + \partial_1 \phi(t, x(t)) + \partial_2 \phi(t, x(t)) x_1'(t) + \partial_3 \phi(t, x(t)) x_2'(t) \right]_{t=T} \\ &+ h_1(b) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_4 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b} \\ &+ h_2(b) \left[\gamma_2 \left({}_T I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) - {}_a I_t^{1-\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} \partial_5 L[x]_\gamma^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right) \right]_{t=b}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering appropriate choices of variations, we obtained the first (26) and the third (28) necessary conditions, and also the transversality conditions (30). The remaining conditions (27) and (29) follow directly from (35). \square

We end this section with a simple illustrative example. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, t) &= \int_0^T \left[\alpha(t) + \left({}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot),\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} x_1(t) - \frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))} - \frac{(b-t)^{1-\beta(t)}}{2\Gamma(2-\beta(t))} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left({}^C D_\gamma^{\alpha(\cdot,\cdot),\beta(\cdot,\cdot)} x_2(t) \right)^2 \right] dt \longrightarrow \min, \\ x_1(t) + x_2(t) &= t + 1, \\ x_1(0) = 0, \quad x_2(0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is a simple exercise to check that $x_1(t) = t$, $x_2(t) \equiv 1$ and $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ satisfy our Theorem 4.1.

5 Conclusion

Nowadays, optimization problems involving fractional derivatives constitute a very active research field due to several applications [1, 5, 9]. Here we obtained optimality conditions for two isoperimetric problems and for a new variational problem subject to a holonomic constraint, where the

Lagrangian depends on a combined Caputo derivative of variable fractional order. Main results include Euler–Lagrange and transversality type conditions. For simplicity, we considered here only linear combinations between the left and right operators. Using similar techniques as the ones developed here, one can obtain analogous results for fractional variational problems with Lagrangians containing left-sided and right-sided fractional derivatives of variable order. More difficult and interesting, would be to develop a “multi-term fractional calculus of variations”. The question seems however nontrivial, even for the nonvariable order case, because of difficulties in application of integration by parts. For the variable order case, as we consider in our work, there is yet no formula of fractional integration by parts for higher-order derivatives. This is under investigation and will be addressed elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of first author’s Ph.D., which is carried out at the University of Aveiro under the Doctoral Programme *Mathematics and Applications* of Universities of Aveiro and Minho. It was supported by Portuguese funds through the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (CIDMA), and the *Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology* (FCT), within project UID/MAT/04106/2013. Tavares was also supported by FCT through the Ph.D. fellowship SFRH/BD/42557/2007. The authors are grateful to four Reviewers, for several pertinent questions and remarks, which improved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] R. Almeida, S. Pooseh and D. F. M. Torres, *Computational methods in the fractional calculus of variations*, Imp. Coll. Press, London, 2015.
- [2] A. Atangana and A. Kilicman, On the generalized mass transport equation to the concept of variable fractional derivative, *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2014** (2014), Art. ID 542809, 9 pp.
- [3] C. F. M. Coimbra, M. Soon and M. H. Kobayashi, The variable viscoelasticity operator, *Annalen der Physik* **14** (2005), 378–389.
- [4] J. Cresson, Fractional embedding of differential operators and Lagrangian systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), no. 3, 033504, 34 pp.
- [5] A. B. Malinowska, T. Odziejewicz and D. F. M. Torres, *Advanced methods in the fractional calculus of variations*, Springer Briefs in Applied Sciences and Technology, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [6] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional calculus of variations for a combined Caputo derivative, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **14** (2011), no. 4, 523–537.
- [7] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Multiobjective fractional variational calculus in terms of a combined Caputo derivative, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012), no. 9, 5099–5111.
- [8] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Towards a combined fractional mechanics and quantization, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **15** (2012), no. 3, 407–417.
- [9] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, *Introduction to the fractional calculus of variations*, Imp. Coll. Press, London, 2012.
- [10] T. Odziejewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional variational calculus with classical and combined Caputo derivatives, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012), no. 3, 1507–1515.
- [11] T. Odziejewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional variational calculus of variable order, in *Advances in harmonic analysis and operator theory*, 291–301, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 229, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2013.

- [12] T. Odziejewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Noether's theorem for fractional variational problems of variable order, *Cent. Eur. J. Phys.* **11** (2013), no. 6, 691–701.
- [13] S. G. Samko and B. Ross, Integration and differentiation to a variable fractional order, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **1** (1993), no. 4, 277–300.
- [14] H. Sheng, H. Sun, C. Coopmans, Y. Chen and G. W. Bohannon, Physical experimental study of variable-order fractional integrator and differentiator, *Proceedings of FDA'10, The 4th IFAC Workshop Fractional Differentiation and its Applications*, I. Podlubny, B.M.V. Jara, YQ. Chen, V.F. Batlle, I.T. Balseira (eds.), Badajoz, Spain, October 18-20, 2010.
- [15] D. Tavares, R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Optimality conditions for fractional variational problems with dependence on a combined Caputo derivative of variable order, *Optimization* **64** (2015), no. 6, 1381–1391.
- [16] D. Tavares, R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Caputo derivatives of fractional variable order: numerical approximations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **35** (2016), 69–87.
- [17] D. Valério, G. Vinagre, J. Domingues and J. S. Costa, Variable-order fractional derivatives and their numerical approximations I – real orders, *Symposium on Fractional Signals and Systems Lisbon 09*, M. Ortigueira et al. (eds.) Lisbon, Portugal, November 4-6, 2009.