

ON A NON-CONVEX HYPERBOLIC DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSION

by VASILE STAICU

(Received 16th April 1990)

We prove the existence of a solution $u(\cdot, \cdot; \alpha, \beta)$ of the Darboux problem $u_{xy} \in F(x, y, u)$, $u(x, 0) = \alpha(x)$, $u(0, y) = \beta(y)$, which is continuous with respect to (α, β) . We assume that F is Lipschitzian with respect to u but not necessarily convex valued.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (1985 Revision): 34A60, 35B30.

1. Introduction and main result

Let $I = [0, 1]$, $Q = I \times I$ and denote by \mathcal{L} the σ -algebra of the Lebesgue measurable subsets of Q . Denote by 2^{R^n} the family of all closed nonempty subsets of R^n and by $\mathcal{B}(R^n)$ the family of all Borel subsets of R^n . For $x \in R^n$ and $A, B \in 2^{R^n}$ we denote by $d(x, A)$ the usual point-to-set distance from x to A and by $h(A, B)$ the Hausdorff pseudo-distance from A to B .

By $C(Q, R^n)$ (resp. $L^1(Q, R^n)$) we denote the Banach space of all continuous (resp. Bochner integrable) functions $u: Q \rightarrow R^n$ with the norm $\|u\|_\infty = \sup \{\|u(x, y)\| : (x, y) \in Q\}$ (resp. $\|u\|_1 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \|u(x, y)\| dx dy$), where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in R^n .

Recall that a subset K of $L^1(Q, R^n)$ is said to be *decomposable* ([9]) if for every $u, v \in K$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $u\chi_A + v\chi_{Q \setminus A} \in K$, where χ_A stands for the characteristic function of A . We denote by \mathcal{D} the family of all decomposable closed nonempty subsets of $L^1(Q, R^n)$.

Let $F: Q \times R^n \rightarrow 2^{R^n}$ be a multivalued map. Recall that F is called $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}(R^n)$ -measurable if for any closed subset C of R^n we have that $\{(x, y, z) \in Q \times R^n : F(x, y, z) \cap C \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}(R^n)$.

We associate to $F: Q \times R^n \rightarrow 2^{R^n}$ the Darboux problem

$$(D_{\alpha\beta}) \quad u_{xy} \in F(x, y, u), \quad u(x, 0) = \alpha(x), \quad u(0, y) = \beta(y),$$

where α, β are two continuous functions from I into R^n with $\alpha(0) = \beta(0)$.

Definition. $u(\cdot, \cdot; \alpha, \beta) \in C(Q, R^n)$ is said to be a *solution* of the Darboux problem $(D_{\alpha\beta})$ if there exists $v(\cdot, \cdot; \alpha, \beta) \in L^1(Q, R^n)$ such that

- (i) $v(x, y; \alpha, \beta) \in F(x, y, u(x, y; \alpha, \beta))$ a.e. in Q ,
- (ii) $u(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y) - \alpha(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) d\xi d\eta$, for every $(x, y) \in Q$.

Note that the function $v(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ which corresponds to $u(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ in the above definition is unique (a.e.). Consider the Banach space

$$S = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in C(I, R^n) \times C(I, R^n) : \alpha(0) = \beta(0)\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(\alpha, \beta)\| = \|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\beta\|_\infty,$$

and, for (α, β) in S , we denote by $T(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of all solutions of the problem $(D_{\alpha\beta})$. The aim of this note is to prove the following:

Theorem. *Let $F: Q \times R^n \rightarrow 2^{R^n}$ satisfy the following assumptions:*

- (H_1) F is $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}(R^n)$ -measurable,
- (H_2) there exists $L > 0$ such that $h(F(x, y, u), F(x, y, v)) \leq L\|u - v\|$ for all $u, v \in R^n$, a.e. in Q ,
- (H_3) there exists a function $\delta \in L^1(Q, R)$ such that $d(0, F(x, y, 0)) \leq \delta(x, y)$ a.e. in Q .

Then there exists $u: Q \times S \rightarrow R^n$ such that

- (i) $u(\dots; \alpha, \beta) \in T(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in S$
- (ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow u(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous from S to $C(Q, R^n)$.

In other words we prove the existence of a global solution $u(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ of the problem $(D_{\alpha\beta})$ depending continuously on (α, β) in the space S .

This result is a natural extension of the well posedness property (i.e., existence of a unique solution depending continuously on the initial data) of the Darboux problems defined by Lipschitzian single-valued maps (see [3]). We obtain the solution by a completeness argument without assumptions on the convexity or boundedness of the values of F .

Filippov has obtained in [7] the existence of solutions to an ordinary differential inclusion $x' \in F(t, x)$ defined by a multifunction F Lipschitzian with respect to x , without assumptions on the convexity or boundedness of the values $F(t, x)$ by using a successive approximation process.

Following an idea in [4] we extend this process to Darboux problems and we do it continuously with respect to (α, β) in the space S by using a result on the existence of a continuous selection from multifunctions with decomposable values, proved in [8] and extended in [2].

The construction in the proof of our theorem works for the case when F is Lipschitzian in u , but the assumption (H_2) is not only a technical one. We shall give an example showing that if (H_2) is relaxed, allowing F to be merely continuous then the conclusion of the theorem is in general no longer true.

However the Lipschitz property of F is not necessary for the existence of solutions. If F is upper semicontinuous with compact convex values then the existence of local and global solutions has been obtained in [11] and [12], by using the Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point theorem. The convexity assumption is essential in this case. To avoid the convexity assumption we have to increase the regularity of F . If F is a Carathéodory function which is compact not necessarily convex valued then there exists a solution of the Darboux problem and this fact has been proved in [13] by using a continuous selection argument and the Schauder fixed point theorem. Qualitative properties and the structure of the set of solutions of Darboux problems has been studied in [5] and [6].

Remark finally that another extension of the well posedness property of a Darboux problem defined by a multifunction Lipschitzian in u , lower semicontinuous with respect to a parameter, expressed in terms of lower semicontinuous dependence of the set of all solutions of the problem on the initial data and parameter is given in [10].

2. Proof of the main result

In the following two lemmas S is a separable metric space. Let X be a Banach space and $G: S \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multifunction. Recall that G is said to be *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.) if for every closed subset C of X the set $\{s \in S: G(s) \subset C\}$ is closed in S .

Lemma 1 ([4, Proposition 2.1]). *Assume $F_*: Q \times S \rightarrow 2^{R^n}$ to be $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{B}(S)$ -measurable, l.s.c. with respect to $s \in S$. Then the map $s \rightarrow G_*(s)$ given by*

$$G_*(s) = \{v \in L^1(Q, R^n): v(x, y) \in F_*(x, y, s) \text{ a.e. in } Q\}, \quad s \in S,$$

is l.s.c. with decomposable closed nonempty values if and only if there exists a continuous function $\sigma: S \rightarrow L^1(Q, R)$ such that $d(0, F_(x, y, s)) \leq \sigma(s)(x, y)$ a.e. in Q .*

Lemma 2 ([4, Proposition 2.2]). *Let $G: S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a l.s.c. multifunction and let $\phi: S \rightarrow L^1(Q, R^n)$ and $\psi: S \rightarrow L^1(Q, R)$ be continuous maps. If for every $s \in S$ the set*

$$H(s) = cl\{v \in G(s): \|v(x, y) - \phi(s)(x, y)\| < \psi(s)(x, y) \text{ a.e. in } Q\} \tag{2.1}$$

is nonempty then the map $H: S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ defined by (2.1) admits a continuous selection.

We note that the second lemma is a direct consequence of Proposition 4 and Theorem 3 in [2] (see also [8]).

Proof of the theorem. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon/2^{n+1}$, $n \in N$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \in S$ define $u_0(\cdot, \cdot; \alpha, \beta): Q \rightarrow R^n$ by $u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y) - \alpha(0)$ and observe that for all $(x, y) \in Q$ we have

$$\|u_0(x, y; \alpha_1, \beta_2) - u_0(x, y; \alpha_2, \beta_2)\| \leq \|\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x)\| + \|\beta_1(y) - \beta_2(y)\| + \|\alpha_1(0) - \alpha_2(0)\|$$

$$\leq 2\|(\alpha_1, \beta_1) - (\alpha_1, \beta_2)\|.$$

This implies that $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow u_0(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous from \mathbf{S} to $C(Q, R^n)$. Setting $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) = \delta(x, y) + L\|u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\|$ we obtain that σ is a continuous map from \mathbf{S} to $L^1(Q, R)$ and

$$d(0, F(x, y, u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta))) \leq \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) \text{ a.e. in } Q. \quad (2.2)$$

For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{S}$, define

$$G_0(\alpha, \beta) = \{v \in L^1(Q, X) : v(x, y) \in F(x, y, u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta)) \text{ a.e. in } Q\},$$

and

$$H_0(\alpha, \beta) = cl\{v \in G_0(\alpha, \beta) : \|v(x, y)\| < \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) + \varepsilon_0 \text{ a.e. in } Q\}.$$

Then, by (2.2) and Lemma 1, it follows that G_0 is l.s.c. from \mathbf{S} into \mathcal{D} and, by (2.2), $H_0(\alpha, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{S}$. Therefore by Lemma 2, there exists $h_0: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow L^1(Q, R^n)$, which is a continuous selection of H_0 . Set $v_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = h_0(\alpha, \beta)(x, y)$ and observe that $v_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) \in F(x, y, u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta))$ and $\|v_0(x, y)\| \leq \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) + \varepsilon_0$, for a.e. $(x, y) \in Q$. Define

$$u_1(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_0(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) d\xi d\eta,$$

and, for $n \geq 1$, set

$$\sigma_n(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) = L^{n-1} \left[\int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{(x-\xi)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{(y-\eta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i \right) \frac{(x+y)^n}{n!} \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, for every $(x, y) \in Q \setminus \{0, 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \|v_0(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta)\| d\xi d\eta \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta + \varepsilon_0(x+y) \\ &< \sigma_1(\alpha, \beta)(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d(v_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta), F(x, y, u_1(x, y; \alpha, \beta))) \leq L\|u_1(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| < L\sigma_1(\alpha, \beta)(x, y).$$

We claim that there exist two sequences $\{v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $\{u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that for each $n \geq 1$ we have:

(a) $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow v_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous from \mathbf{S} to $L^1(Q, R^n)$.

- (b) $v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta) \in F(x, y, u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta))$ for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in S$ and a.e. $(x, y) \in Q$.
- (c) $\|v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - v_{n-1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| \leq L\sigma_n(\alpha, \beta)(x, y)$ a.e. in Q .
- (d) $u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_{n-1}(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) d\xi d\eta$.

Suppose we have constructed v_1, \dots, v_n and u_1, \dots, u_n satisfying (a)–(d). Then define

$$u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_n(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) d\xi d\eta.$$

Let $(x, y) \in Q \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Using (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \|v_n(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) - v_{n-1}(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta)\| d\xi d\eta \\ & \leq L \int_0^x \int_0^y \sigma_n(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = L^n \int_0^x \int_0^y \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) \left(\int_\xi^x \frac{(x-u)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} du \int_\eta^y \frac{(y-v)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dv \right) d\xi d\eta \\ & \quad + L^n \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i \right) \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{(\xi-\eta)^n}{n!} d\xi d\eta = L^n \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{(x-\xi)^n}{n!} \frac{(y-\eta)^n}{n!} \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ & \quad + \frac{L^n}{n!} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i \right) \frac{(x+y)^{n+2} - x^{n+2} - y^{n+2}}{(n+1)(n+2)} \leq L^n \left[\int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{(x-\xi)^n}{n!} \frac{(y-\eta)^n}{n!} \sigma(\alpha, \beta)(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i \right) \frac{(x+y)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right] < \sigma_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)(x, y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Then, by virtue of (2.4) and of the assumption (H_2) , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta), F(x, y, u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta))) & \leq L \|u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| \\ & < L\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)(x, y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since σ is continuous from S to $L^1(Q, R)$, by (2.3) it follows that also σ_n is continuous from S to $L^1(Q, R)$. Therefore, by (2.5) and Lemma 1, we have that the multivalued map G_{n+1} defined by

$$G_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{v \in L^1(Q, X) : v(x, y) \in F(x, y, u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta)) \text{ a.e. in } Q\}$$

is l.s.c. from S to \mathcal{D} . Moreover, by (2.5), it follows that

$$H_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) = cl \{v \in G_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta) : \|v(x, y) - v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\| < L\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) \text{ a.e. in } Q\}$$

is nonempty. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists $h_{n+1} : S \rightarrow L^1(Q, R^n)$ a continuous selection of H_{n+1} . Set $v_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = h_{n+1}(\alpha, \beta)(x, y)$ and observe that v_{n+1} satisfies the properties (a)–(d). By (c) and the computations in (2.4) it follows that

$$\|v_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta) - v_{n-1}(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\|_1 \leq \frac{L^n}{n!} \|\sigma(\alpha, \beta)\|_1 + \varepsilon \frac{[2L]^n}{n!}. \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}(\dots; \alpha, \beta) - u_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\|_\infty &\leq \|v_{n+1}(\dots; \alpha, \beta) - v_{n-1}(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{L^n}{n!} \|\sigma(\alpha, \beta)\|_1 + \varepsilon \frac{[2L]^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore $\{u_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{v_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in $C(Q, R^n)$ and $L^1(Q, R^n)$, respectively. Moreover since $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \|\sigma(\alpha, \beta)\|_1$ is continuous, it is locally bounded; hence the Cauchy condition is satisfied locally uniformly with respect to (α, β) . Let $u(\dots; \alpha, \beta) \in C(Q, R^n)$ and $v(\dots; \alpha, \beta) \in L^1(Q, R^n)$ be the limit of $\{u_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\}$ and $\{v_n(\dots; \alpha, \beta)\}$ respectively. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow u(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous from S to $C(Q, X)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow v(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous from S to $L^1(Q, R^n)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (d) we obtain that

$$u(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = u_0(x, y; \alpha, \beta) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v(\xi, \eta; \alpha, \beta) d\xi d\eta \quad \text{for any } (x, y) \in Q. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, since

$$d(v_n(x, y; \alpha, \beta), F(x, y, u(x, y; \alpha, \beta))) \leq L \|u_{n+1}(x, y; \alpha, \beta) - u(x, y; \alpha, \beta)\|$$

and F has closed values, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$v(x, y; \alpha, \beta) \in F(x, y, u(x, y; \alpha, \beta)) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q. \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that $u(\dots; \alpha, \beta)$ is a solution of $(D_{\alpha\beta})$, which completes the proof.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 remains true (with the same proof) if R^n is replaced by a separable Banach space X and F is a multifunction from $Q \times X$ to the closed nonempty subsets of X satisfying the assumptions $(H_1) - (H_3)$.

Remark 2. If the assumption (H_2) is relaxed, allowing F to be merely continuous then the conclusion of the theorem is in general no longer true. To see this consider the Darboux problem

$$(D_{\alpha, \beta}) \quad u_{xy} = \sqrt[3]{u}, \quad u(x, 0) = \alpha(x), \quad u(0, y) = \beta(y), \quad (x, y) \in Q$$

Remark that $f(u) = \sqrt[3]{u}$ is continuous but not Lipschitzean in a neighbourhood of 0 and, for $\alpha_0(x) = 0 = \beta_0(y)$, the problem (D_{α_0, β_0}) admits as solutions:

$$u_0^+(x, y) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 x^{3/2} y^{3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad u_0^-(x, y) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 x^{3/2} y^{3/2}.$$

Let

$$\alpha_n^+(x) = \left(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{n}}\right)^3 x^{3/2}, \quad \alpha_n^-(x) = -\left(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{n}}\right)^3 x^{3/2}, \quad \beta_n^+(y) = 0 = \beta_n^-(y).$$

Then

$$(\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+), (\alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-) \in S \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+)\| = \|(\alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-)\| = \left(\frac{2}{3\sqrt{n}}\right)^3,$$

therefore (α_n^+, β_n^+) and (α_n^-, β_n^-) converge to $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (0, 0)$ in the space S .

On the other hand the unique solution of the Darboux problem $(D_{\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+})$ (resp. $(D_{\alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-})$) is given by

$$u_n^+(x, y) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 x^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + y\right)^{3/2}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } u_n^-(x, y) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 x^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + y\right)^{3/2}\right).$$

which for $n \rightarrow \infty$ converges to u_0^+ (resp. u_0^-).

Suppose that there exists $r: S \rightarrow C(Q, X)$ a continuous selection of the solution map $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow T(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $r((\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+)) = u_n^+$ converges to u_0^+ and $r((\alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-)) = u_n^-$ converges to u_0^- . This is a contradiction to the continuity of r .

REFERENCES

1. J. P. AUBIN and A. CELLINA, *Differential Inclusions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
2. A. BRESSAN and G. COLOMBO, Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values, *Studia Math.* **90** (1988), 69–86.
3. M. CINQUINI-CIBRARIO and S. CINQUINI, *Equazioni a Derivate Parziali di Tipo Iperbolico Parziali* (Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1964).
4. R. M. COLOMBO, A. FRYSZKOWSKI, T. RZEUCHOWSKI and V. STAICU, Continuous selection of solutions sets of Lipschitzean differential inclusions, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **34** (1991), 321–330.

5. F. S. DE BLASI and J. MYJAK, On the set of solutions of a differential inclusion, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica.* **14** (1986), 271–275.
6. F. S. DE BLASI and J. MYJAK, On the structure of the set of solutions of the Darboux problem of hyperbolic equations, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **29** (1986), 7–14.
7. A. F. FILIPPOV, Classical solutions of differential equations with multivalued right hand side, *SIAM J. Control* **5** (1967), 609–621.
8. A. FRYSZKOWSKI, Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps, *Studia Math.* **76** (1983), 163–174.
9. F. HIAI and H. UMEGAKI, Integrals, conditions expectations and martingales of multivalued functions, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **7** (1971), 149–182.
10. S. MARANO, Generalized solutions of partial differential inclusions depending on a parameter, *Rend. Acad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat.* **107** (1989), 281–295.
11. G. TEODORU, Le problem de Darboux pour une equation aux derivees partielles multivoque, *An. Stiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi Sect. I a Mat.* **31** (1985), 173–176.
12. G. TEODORU, Sur le problem de Darboux pour l'equation $\partial^2 z / \partial x \partial y \in F(x, y, z)$, *An. Stiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi Sect. I a Mat.* **32** (1986), 41–49.
13. G. TEODORU, Continuous selections for multifunctions satisfying Carathéodory type conditions. The Darboux problem associated to a multivalued equation, *Proc. Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity*, Faculty of Mathematics, Cluj-Napoca, Preprint **6** (1987), 281–286.

INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL FOR ADVANCED STUDIES
STRADA COSTIERA II.
34014 TRIESTE
ITALY